

---

## Appendix C. Statistical Methodology

---

### THE SCREENING PHASE AND THE MAIL LIST MODEL

The 1997 Census of Agriculture featured a pre-census screening phase that surveyed selected records, by mail or telephone, for presence or absence of agricultural activity. Records selected for screening had a low probability of qualifying as farms. All records responding to the screener and reporting no agricultural activity were removed from the census mail list. Eliminating nonfarm records from the mail list reduced respondent burden and data collection costs.

The screening phase included nearly 500,000 records. Records were selected for screening using one of the following criteria:

- 1) Records on selected agriculture specialty lists that had no other list source,
- 2) Records identified by a mail list model as having a low probability of being a farm.

A mail list model predicted the probability that an addressee on the 1997 preliminary census mail list operated a farm. The model defined groups based on combinations of characteristics such as source(s) of the mail list record, expected value of agricultural production, and geographic location. Farm proportions were estimated for these groups by calculating the proportion of 1992 census respondent records that were farms which exhibited the characteristics defined by the group. This proportion, also called the in-scope rate, provided an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

Each address record on the 1997 preliminary census mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms. Records with a farm probability of approximately 30 percent or less were selected for screening, along with records included on selected agriculture specialty lists as noted above.

Before screening, the preliminary census mail list consisted of 3,314,790 records. There were 478,298 records selected for screening. Of these, 125,570 records were determined to be nonfarms as a result of the screening phase and were removed. These records were removed from the final census mail list. The remaining 3,189,220 records received census report forms.

### CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

All name and address records on the final census mail list were designated to receive a 1997 Census of Agriculture report form. Two different types of census report forms, sample and nonsample, were used to collect data. Sections 1 through 20 and 28 through 32 of the sample form were identical to sections on the nonsample census form. Sample form sections 21 through 27 contained additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers. There were 11 regional versions of the nonsample form and 13 regional versions of the sample form with listings of crops varying by region. These different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Mail list records were selected into the sample with certainty if they (1) were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) were multi-unit operations (i.e., separate farms producing under one company organization), (3) were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1992, or (4) had other special characteristics. Farms with special characteristics were abnormal farms, such as institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Mail list records in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2; records in counties containing 200 to 299 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 4; and records in counties containing 300 or more farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. The remaining mail list records not chosen to receive the sample form received the nonsample census form. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties.

### EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The census of agriculture complex edit and imputation system is an automated computerized system that performed the following functions:

- Ensured reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, combinations of commodities, and economic interactions.
- Ensured necessary consistencies were present (there were more than 70 distinct consistency requirements).
- Ensured climatic, geographic, legal, and physical constraints were met.

The system performed these and similar functions for more than 900 data key codes for sample records and approximately 850 data key codes for nonsample records.

For the 1997 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data for that record from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known fixed price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships was assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several Standard Industrial Classifications and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for the same sections of the report form was processed by the

computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions. An edit run usually consisted of 10,000 or more records.

After the initial computer edit, all keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data had been keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for 17 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy and to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record re-edited.

## CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1997 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures to account for whole farm nonresponse and sample data collection. The procedures were necessary because some farm operators did not respond to the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and estimates for certain data items were based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

### Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

Whole farm nonresponse to the census occurred when a response was never received for a record. If the record was a large farm, as defined by value of production or acreage, or a unique farm operation, intensive telephone or personal followup was conducted during census processing to obtain a response. If these attempts failed, either the NASS survey database, the census historic database, or other more current sources were used to impute data for the record.

During mail list development, the State Statistical Offices (SSOs), in an effort to reduce respondent burden, identified records that participated in multiple NASS surveys and/or situations where there were special reporting relationships between an enumerator and a respondent. These records were referred to as tagged records. The SSOs had full responsibility for the data collection for these records, including imputation of data for the record if a response was not obtainable.

Whole farm nonresponse that occurred within the remaining universe of records was accounted for by a statistical weighting procedure. The weights of the responding farms were adjusted to account for farms that did not respond. The information needed for this process was obtained from the 1997 Nonresponse Survey. The SSOs conducted the nonresponse survey using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (Blaise-CATI) or personal enumeration when telephone contact was not possible. Alaska and Rhode

Island were not eligible for the survey because all nonrespondents were subject to extensive followup. In these cases, data were collected by telephone or other methods. The nonresponse survey collected information from a sample of census nonrespondents to determine farm status and estimate the proportion of farms in the nonresponse universe. The information was then used to estimate the number of nonresponding farm operations by State and county.

The 1997 Nonresponse Survey consisted of a stratified systematic sample of the nonresponse records within each State. The sample was selected near the end of the census follow-up operations. Five strata were defined to be homogeneous on probability of farm status and were based on screener status, total value produced, and list source(s) of the mail list record.

Based on survey results, estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents operating farms were made for each stratum in the State. The estimates were applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum, providing a State estimate of the number of census nonrespondents that operated farms. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms was then derived for each county by stratum. This estimation procedure assumed that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county was the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. Census respondent farms that were designated as large farms or tagged records or as farms that exhibited "rare" commodities were ineligible to represent nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting procedure. These records were assigned nonresponse weights of 1.0.

The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms, divided by the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight never exceeded 2.0. For the published tabulations of the complete count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record. For the sample count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final sample weight.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in this table are percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided in this table do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of this item nonresponse is discussed in the "Census Nonsampling Error" section.

## Sample Estimation

Sample data estimation determined the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. The estimates were obtained from a weighting procedure that assigned a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm were multiplied by 6.

The noninteger sample weight is calculated for each respondent sample farm by multiplying the noninteger nonrespondent weight by the sampling factor. For published tabulations of the sample count items, the noninteger sample weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight for each record. For certainty farms, the sampling factor equals 1 so the sample weight is just equal to the nonresponse weight. Sampling factor calculation for non-certainty farms is described below.

Within a county, the weighting procedure for non-certainty farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1997 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. The second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01, 08 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure classified the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial strata formed by the three variable groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample factor equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This factor was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure combined, when necessary, the 32 initial strata to increase the reliability of the weighting procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms or had a factor greater than twice the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2, 4, or 6,

depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2, 1 in 4, or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the 32 initial strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each final strata and used to calculate final sample factors.

The final step calculated the noninteger sample weight as the product of the final sampling factor and the noninteger nonresponse weight. As described previously, the noninteger sample weight for each record is randomly rounded to an integer weight which is used in published tabulations. For example, if the final weight for a farm was 7.2, then the record would be rounded to either 7 or 8.

## CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1997 Census of Agriculture was only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. In this context, "sample" refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive sample forms.

The standard error, or sampling error, of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. It is a measure of precision - that is, how well an estimate from a particular sample approximates the true population parameter. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate, then multiplied by 100. The true population parameter can be defined or conceptualized several different ways. One way is to think of the true population parameter as the average result of all possible samples (selected using a given sample design). A second way is to think of the true population parameter as the figure obtained from carrying out a complete enumeration of the population.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary to produce a confidence statement for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is 0.1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94).

If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the true population parameter. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. All farm operators were asked the complete count items. Examples of complete count items were: land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Only a sample of farm operators were asked the sample count items. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers.

Variability in the estimates of complete count items was due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. With regard to the estimates of sample count items, variability was due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Therefore, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates. Percent relative standard error is a common measure of variability.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county that reported complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard errors for estimated number of farms in a county that reported a complete count item, and the bottom half relates to sample count items. These reliability estimates are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used to produce each section of table B. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for the appropriate counties in the State. To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1992 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count

item estimates came only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures. The estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Use caution when referring to the "Sample Count Item" section of table B to make inferences on counties. Some counties may have been sampled at the rate of 1 in 2 or 1 in 4, but the reliability estimates shown were computed using only data from counties sampled at the rate of 1 in 6. Therefore, the reliability estimates shown would likely be overstated (or conservative) if the county was actually sampled at a higher rate.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the standard error for percent change in State totals from 1992 to 1997. The general purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1997 and the 1992 estimate for that characteristic to the 1992 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The standard error of a percent change estimate is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in the (1) total number of farms, (2) number of large farms included with certainty, (3) size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) amount of nonresponse, (5) general agricultural characteristics, and (6) specific characteristic being measured.

The farm counts and related estimates displayed in tables A through F relate to unadjusted census totals. These totals are the same as the "Census total" displayed in the first column of table G (which will be discussed later in this appendix).

For most of the tables in this appendix, and also many of the tables throughout the publication, there is a footnote that reads "Data are based on a sample of farms." The table entries that this footnote relate to are estimates of totals. To illustrate, suppose that the entry "other farm-related income" is shown with this footnote and has some number of farms given. This number given would represent an estimated total number of farms with "other farm-related income," based on the farms that were in the sample. This number should not be interpreted as the number of farms in the sample that have "other farm-related income."

## CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts is affected jointly by sampling errors (described in the previous section) and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to

design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures. Nonsampling errors arise from many sources, including respondent or enumerator error or incorrect data keying, editing, or imputing for missing data. These nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Nonsampling error due to mail list incompleteness and duplication as well as misclassification of records on the mail list is called coverage error. The section titled "Coverage Evaluation" discusses the evaluation studies conducted to measure the extent of this error in the census.

### Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the census report form or to the questions posed by an enumerator can introduce error into the census data. To reduce reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each respondent. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on previous tests of the report form. In addition, each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency by the complex edit and imputation system.

### Item Nonresponse

As information flowed from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses were identified on the census report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the census report form that logically should have been present created a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. In this case, information from a similar farm was used to impute for these missing data items. The resulting data may have been biased if the characteristics of the nonreporting respondents were different from those of reporting respondents for those items.

### Processing Error

All phases of processing for each census report form were potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. An automated check-in recorded that the report had been returned and excluded from further followup mailings. Approximately one-third of the mail returns were reviewed to resolve questions dealing with multiple reports, respondent remarks, or no reported data. The remaining mail returns (about two-thirds) were batched and sent directly to data keying, along with some of the reviewed cases containing farm data. Keyed records were transmitted, formatted, and run through the complex edit and imputation system. About one-fifth of all forms edited were clerically reviewed for inconsistencies, omissions, or questionable values. While reviewing these forms, the edit review staff determined if the action taken by the computer edit and imputation system was correct. Edited records were tabulated to the county level. Each county was reviewed and, when necessary, individual records were corrected prior to publication.

Developing accurate processing methods is complicated by the complex structure of agriculture. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agriculture operations, the difficulty of contacting and identifying some types of contractor/contractee relationships, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census. During data collection and processing of the census, all operations underwent a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible.

## COVERAGE EVALUATION

### Coverage Overview

The primary objectives of the census of agriculture are to accurately count U.S. farms, measure commodity production and sales, and measure demographic characteristics of farm operators. Since 1945, an evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture to provide estimates of the completeness of census farm counts. These results help to identify problems and focus improvements for future censuses.

According to coverage evaluation results, the past five censuses of agriculture included an average of 92 percent of U.S. farms and 98 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used for an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, and the difficulty in classifying those operations just around the \$1,000 sales range. In 1997, extensive efforts were made to compile as complete and accurate a mail list as possible, while reducing the duplication and number of nonfarm operations on the list.

The 1997 coverage evaluation program was designed to measure four components of error in the census farm counts. These components include:

1. Undercount due to farms Not on the Mail List (NML)
2. Overcount due to farms Duplicated or enumerated more than once (DUP)
3. Undercount due to farms Incorrectly Classified as nonfarms (ICU)
4. Overcount due to nonfarms Incorrectly Classified as farms (ICO).

The first component, mail list undercount, is by far the largest component of coverage error. Duplication, though occurring far less frequently, can involve larger farms and have a larger impact on acreage and sales estimates. The

last two components involve the misclassification of either farms or nonfarms. Misclassification can arise from errors in either reporting or processing the data.

Table G - Coverage Estimates - illustrates the effect of coverage adjustments on census farm counts by demographic characteristics, land in farms, and total value of sales. The coverage total is defined as the net difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. The adjusted census total is the sum of the census total and the net coverage total. The relative standard error is shown for the final census coverage adjusted number. This number will be similar to the relative standard error for the census number, except when the coverage total is negative or close to zero. The coverage adjustment percentage shows the coverage total as a percentage of total census adjusted farms for that characteristic.

The 1997 Census of Agriculture is the first census to include all four components of coverage error in table G. Previous publications only included the coverage error component due to farms not on the mail list (NML). Because of this, caution should be taken when comparing coverage estimates from table G with previous years. In addition, the coverage total is a negative number for some characteristics. This means that the number of farms overcounted for this characteristic was greater than the number of farms undercounted.

### Area Frame Surveys to Measure Mail List Undercoverage

Names and addresses collected in the 1997 June Agricultural Survey and 1997 Fall Area Survey were used to estimate the undercount due to farms not on the census mail list (NML). These names were matched to the census mail list, and those that did not match were contacted by telephone or person. The enumerator verified whether the operation had reported in the census, and if not, a census of agriculture report form was completed.

The percentage of farms missed in the census varies considerably by State. In general, farms not on the mail list tended to be small in acreage, production, and sales of agricultural products. Farm operations could be missed for various reasons, including the possibility that the operation started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any agriculture-related source lists, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout.

### Classification Error Survey to Measure Three Types of Coverage Error

The remaining three types of coverage error were measured by the Classification Error Survey. This survey was used to estimate the number of farms counted more than once (DUP), the number of farms misclassified as nonfarms (ICU), and the number of nonfarms misclassified as farms (ICO). A sample of census of agriculture respondents was selected for reinterview to determine their farm/nonfarm status and collect information to identify

potential duplication. The farm classification from this interview was compared with the classification on the census of agriculture report form. Any differences between these two classifications were reconciled to determine the true farm status. Each operation was reviewed for duplication by matching the additional information received from the reinterview (landlords, tenants, other names, etc.) to the list of census respondents. Potential duplication was reviewed and discrepancies reconciled.

In general, the classification error rate is higher for small farms close to the \$1,000 agricultural sales requirement. This rate is also higher for farms with small acreage (less than 49 acres), higher for tenant farms than for full- or part-owner farms, and higher for farms where farming is not the operator's principal occupation.

### Coverage Estimation

The adjusted census total,  $T$ , is estimated as the census farm count,  $C$ , plus undercount and minus overcount adjustments. Undercount includes 1) farms not on the mail

list (NML) and 2) farms incorrectly classified as nonfarms (ICU). Overcount includes 3) nonfarms incorrectly classified as farms (ICO) and 4) farms duplicated in the census (DUP). Altogether, the adjusted census total is:

$$T = C + (NML + ICU) - (ICO + DUP).$$

In some States, estimates of misclassification of farms owned by operators having rare demographic characteristics were based on particularly small sample sizes. Where such small sample sizes occurred, a form of small area estimation was used in which data from similar States contributed to that State's estimates. In these cases, the coverage totals are weighted totals of the direct State estimate and the direct estimate from the region. Direct estimates were used to the largest extent possible, based on the amount of survey cases available for the particular item being estimated.

**Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1997**

Item	Percent of total	Item	Percent of total
Farms ..... number..	10.9	Corn for grain or seed ..... acres..	6.5
Land in farms ..... acres..	7.9	Wheat for grain ..... acres..	7.2
Estimated market value of land and buildings <sup>1</sup> ..... \$1,000..	7.9	Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Market value of agricultural products sold ..... \$1,000..	5.1	Cattle and calves..... number..	7.8
Harvested cropland..... acres..	7.1	Hogs and pigs .....	3.6
		Layers 20 weeks old and older..... number..	.1

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1997**

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>COMPLETE COUNT ITEM</b>			
Number of farms reporting:			
25 .....	5.8	25 .....	39.6
50 .....	4.0	50 .....	28.2
75 .....	3.3	75 .....	23.3
100 .....	2.8	100 .....	20.4
150 .....	2.3	150 .....	16.9
200 .....	1.9	200 .....	14.9
300 .....	1.5	300 .....	12.6
500 .....	1.1	500 .....	10.4
750 .....	.8	750 .....	9.0
1,000.....	.6	1,000.....	8.3
1,500.....	.2	1,500.....	7.5
2,000.....	.1	2,000.....	7.1

**Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)			
<b>F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS</b>								
Farms .....	68 591	.4	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup>					
Land in farms .....	14 103 085	.3	Total farm production expenses .....	farms..	68 591			
Average size of farm .....	206	.6	\$1,000..	3 608 839	.4			
			Average per farm .....	dollars..	52 614			
<b>M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD</b>								
Total sales (see text) .....	68 591	.4	Livestock and poultry purchased .....	farms..	18 692			
\$1,000.	4 684 277	.3	\$1,000..	267 858	1.4			
Average per farm .....	68 293	.5	Feed for livestock and poultry .....	farms..	31 975			
			\$1,000..	713 397	1.0			
Farms by value of sales:			Commercially mixed formula feeds .....	farms..	21 937			
Less than \$1,000 (see text) .....	8 600	.7	\$1,000..	508 232	1.3			
\$1,000.	1 749	.9	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees .....	farms..	44 036			
\$1,000 to \$2,499 .....	7 367	.7	\$1,000..	237 751	.7			
\$2,500 to \$4,999 .....	12 326	.7	Commercial fertilizer .....	farms..	47 671			
\$5,000 to \$9,999 .....	8 105	.6	\$1,000..	345 896	.8			
\$10,000 to \$19,999 .....	29 014	.6	Agricultural chemicals .....	farms..	40 577			
\$20,000 to \$24,999 .....	8 645	.6	\$1,000..	224 526	.9			
\$25,000 to \$39,999 .....	62 112	.6	Petroleum products .....	farms..	64 360			
\$40,000 to \$49,999 .....	8 651	.6	\$1,000..	180 186	.5			
\$50,000 to \$99,999 .....	123 209	.6	Electricity .....	farms..	47 360			
\$100,000 to \$249,999 .....	2 793	.8	\$1,000..	54 824	.7			
\$250,000 to \$499,999 .....	62 263	.8	Hired farm labor .....	farms..	19 017			
\$500,000 or more .....	5 309	.7	\$1,000..	314 865	1.4			
	168 137	.7	Contract labor .....	farms..	4 587			
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			\$1,000..	19 117	3.2			
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops .....	1 056 718	.6	Repair and maintenance .....	farms..	57 400			
Grains .....	47 754	.4	\$1,000..	258 610	.6			
Corn for grain .....	2 827 924	.3	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment .....	farms..	23 037			
Wheat .....	35 741	.5	\$1,000..	54 657	1.3			
Soybeans .....	2 186 494	.3	Interest .....	farms..	28 926			
Sorghum for grain .....	26 159	.5	\$1,000..	238 538	1.1			
Barley .....	914 996	.3	Secured by real estate .....	farms..	21 601			
Oats .....	18 526	.5	\$1,000..	163 868	1.4			
Other grains .....	176 261	.4	Not secured by real estate .....	farms..	15 117			
	28 480	.5	\$1,000..	74 670	1.6			
Cotton and cottonseed .....	1 078 728	.3	Cash rent .....	farms..	18 757			
Tobacco .....	17	7.5	\$1,000..	273 619	1.3			
Hay, silage, and field seeds .....	88	13.5	Property taxes .....	farms..	63 031			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons .....	143	2.3	\$1,000..	103 622	.5			
Fruits, nuts, and berries .....	316	2.5	All other farm production expenses .....	farms..	61 699			
Nursery and greenhouse crops .....	2 191	.7	\$1,000..	321 371	.5			
Other crops .....	4 043	.9			.7			
Livestock, poultry, and their products .....	541	1.2	<b>NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)<sup>1</sup></b>					
Poultry and poultry products .....	12 062	.7	All farms .....	number..	68 591	.4		
Dairy products .....	-		Average per farm .....	\$1,000..	1 039 324	1.0		
Cattle and calves .....	-		Average per farm .....	dollars..	15 152	1.1		
Hogs and pigs .....	-		Farms with net gains <sup>2</sup> .....	number..	36 745	.8		
Sheep, lambs, and wool .....	-		\$1,000..	1 255 686	.7			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) .....	-		Average net gain .....	dollars..	34 173	1.1		
			Farms with net losses .....	number..	31 846	.9		
			\$1,000..	216 362	1.5			
			Average net loss .....	dollars..	6 794	1.8		
<b>G GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME</b>								
Government payments .....	-		Government payments .....	farms..	29 019	.5		
Other farm-related income <sup>1</sup> .....	-		\$1,000..	141 185	4			
Customwork and other agricultural services .....	-		\$1,000..	18 506	1.5			
Gross cash rent or share payments .....	-		\$1,000..	80 987	3.0			
Forest products, excluding Christmas trees and maple products .....	-		\$1,000..	6 201	2.7			
Other farm-related income sources .....	-		\$1,000..	36 724	5.0			
			\$1,000..	25 801	5.2			
<b>C COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS</b>								
Total .....	4 877	.6	Total .....	farms..	2 627	.7		
\$1,000..	28 221	.7	\$1,000..	92 486	.5			

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
<b>LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE</b>							
Total cropland .....	farms..	63 669	All operators .....	farms..	68 591		
	acres..	11 340 967		acres..	14 103 085		
Harvested cropland .....	farms..	58 048	Full owners .....	farms..	40 819		
	acres..	9 900 570		acres..	4 207 470		
Farms by acres harvested:			Part owners .....	farms..	21 505		
1 to 9 acres .....	farms..	8 063		acres..	8 522 158		
	acres..	35 272	Tenants .....	farms..	6 267		
10 to 19 acres .....	farms..	6 568		acres..	1 373 457		
	acres..	88 388			.6		
20 to 29 acres .....	farms..	5 076	<b>OWNED AND RENTED LAND</b>				
	acres..	117 723	Land owned .....	farms..	62 566		
30 to 49 acres .....	farms..	7 661		acres..	8 268 187		
	acres..	288 795	Owned land in farms .....	farms..	62 324		
50 to 99 acres .....	farms..	9 912		acres..	7 511 635		
	acres..	690 744	Land rented or leased from others .....	farms..	27 966		
100 to 199 acres .....	farms..	7 994		acres..	6 639 171		
	acres..	1 111 120	Rented or leased land in farms .....	farms..	90 080		
200 to 499 acres .....	farms..	7 567		acres..	27 772		
	acres..	2 378 750	Rented or leased land in farms .....	farms..	6 591 450		
500 to 999 acres .....	farms..	3 453		acres..	9 398		
	acres..	2 370 595	Land rented or leased to others .....	farms..	804 273		
1,000 acres or more .....	farms..	1 754		acres..	.5		
	acres..	2 819 183			.7		
Cropland:			<b>OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS</b>				
Pasture or grazing only .....	farms..	23 505	Operators by place of residence:				
	acres..	847 865	On farm operated .....	farms..	53 398		
Other cropland .....	farms..	16 145	Not on farm operated .....	farms..	10 983		
	acres..	592 532	Not reported .....	farms..	4 210		
Total woodland .....	farms..	36 236	Operators by principal occupation:				
	acres..	1 551 022	Farming .....	farms..	31 022		
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and			Other .....	farms..	37 569		
woodland pastured .....	farms..	13 440	Operators by days worked off farm:				
	acres..	575 232	Any .....	farms..	40 955		
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ....	farms..	47 113	200 days or more .....	farms..	29 742		
	acres..	635 864	Operators by sex:				
Irrigated land .....	farms..	1 778	Male .....	farms..	63 852		
	acres..	33 997	Female .....	farms..	13 577 127		
Acres irrigated:					.3		
1 to 9 acres .....	farms..	1 449	Male .....	acres..	4 739		
	acres..	3 190	Female .....	acres..	525 958		
10 to 49 acres .....	farms..	218	Average age of operator .....	years..	53.1		
	acres..	4 570			.6		
50 to 99 acres .....	farms..	46	<b>FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION</b>				
	acres..	3 249	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) .....	farms..	59 716		
100 to 199 acres .....	farms..	30		acres..	10 774 004		
	acres..	4 121	Partnership .....	farms..	6 471		
200 to 499 acres .....	farms..	24		acres..	2 276 265		
	acres..	6 992	Corporation:				
500 to 999 acres .....	farms..	7	Family held .....	farms..	1 830		
	acres..	4 811	More than 10 stockholders .....	farms..	888 619		
1,000 acres or more .....	farms..	4	10 or less stockholders .....	farms..	46		
	acres..	7 064		farms..	1 784		
Harvested cropland irrigated .....	farms..	1 739	Other than family held .....	farms..	176		
	acres..	33 506	More than 10 stockholders .....	farms..	52 412		
Pasture and other land irrigated .....	farms..	57	10 or less stockholders .....	farms..	19		
	acres..	491		farms..	157		
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands			Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ....	farms..	398		
Reserve Programs .....	farms..	8 193		acres..	111 785		
	acres..	350 123			1.6		
					1.3		
<b>VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS<sup>1</sup></b>							
Estimated market value of land and buildings .....	farms..	68 591	<b>HIRED FARM LABOR<sup>1</sup></b>				
	\$1,000..	.4	Hired workers by days worked:				
Average per farm .....	dollars..	.6	150 days or more .....	farms..	7 058		
Average per acre .....	dollars..	.8		workers..	19 851		
		.9	Less than 150 days .....	farms..	17 371		
				workers..	58 599		
					2.1		
					1.3		
					1.5		
					1.8		
<b>VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT<sup>1</sup></b>							
Estimated market value of all machinery and			<b>INJURIES AND DEATHS</b>				
equipment .....	farms..	68 585	Farm-related injuries:				
	\$1,000..	.4	Operator and family members .....	farms..	630		
Average per farm .....	dollars..	.6		number..	702		
		.8	Hired workers .....	farms..	339		
		.9		number..	685		
					1.2		
					1.3		
					1.0		
					.7		
<b>AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS<sup>1</sup></b>							
Commercial fertilizer .....	farms..	47 322	Farm-related deaths:				
	acres on which used..	7 622 092	Operator and family members .....	farms..	21		
				number..	21		
			Hired workers .....	farms..	2		
				number..	(D)		
					(D)		

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>F FARMS BY SIZE</b>					
1 to 9 acres .....	farms..	.7	Cattle and calves inventory..... farms..	28 244	.4
	acres..	.7	number..	1 282 546	.4
10 to 49 acres .....	farms..	.6	Beef cows .....	17 060	.5
	acres..	.6	number..	293 570	.5
50 to 69 acres .....	farms..	.6	Milk cows .....	5 425	.6
	acres..	.6	number..	262 834	.4
70 to 99 acres .....	farms..	.6	Cattle and calves sold .....	26 278	.4
	acres..	.6	number..	711 149	.4
100 to 139 acres .....	farms..	.6	\$1,000..	344 743	.4
	acres..	.6	Hogs and pigs inventory .....	5 952	.5
140 to 179 acres .....	farms..	.6	number..	1 700 491	.3
	acres..	.6	Hogs and pigs sold..... farms..	5 938	.5
180 to 219 acres .....	farms..	.7	number..	3 531 228	.3
	acres..	.7	\$1,000..	364 754	.3
220 to 259 acres .....	farms..	.7	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory..... farms..	3 549	.7
	acres..	.7	number..	134 906	.9
260 to 499 acres .....	farms..	.8	Sheep and lambs sold..... farms..	3 338	.7
	acres..	.8	number..	113 631	.9
500 to 999 acres .....	farms..	.6	Horses and ponies inventory .....	11 668	.5
	acres..	.6	number..	76 249	.6
1,000 to 1,999 acres .....	farms..	—	Horses and ponies sold..... farms..	3 064	.7
	acres..	—	number..	10 729	1.6
2,000 acres or more .....	farms..	—	<b>P Poultry</b>		
	acres..	—	Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text) .....	farms..	.7
		—	number..	3 190	.7
		—	Layers 20 weeks old and older .....	farms..	.2
		—	number..	29 023 796	.2
		—	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold..... farms..	3 065	.7
		—	number..	26 135 888	.2
		—		496	1.3
		—		41 135 469	.8
<b>F FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM</b>					
Oilseed and grain farming (1111) .....	farms..	.5	<b>S SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED</b>		
	acres..	.4	Corn for grain or seed .....	farms..	.5
Vegetable and melon farming (1112) .....	farms..	1.0	acres..	3 378 205	.3
	acres..	1.0	bushels..	429 619 833	.3
Fruit and tree nut farming (1113) .....	farms..	.7	Corn for silage or green chop..... farms..	5 526	.6
	acres..	.7	acres..	177 045	.5
Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (1114) .....	farms..	.8	tons, green..	2 710 560	.5
	acres..	.8	farms..	18 747	.5
Other crop farming (1119) .....	farms..	1.0	acres..	994 276	.4
	acres..	1.0	bushels..	55 105 157	.4
Beef cattle ranching and farming (11211) .....	farms..	.5	Oats for grain .....	farms..	.6
	acres..	.5	acres..	5 728	.6
Cattle feedlots (112112) .....	farms..	.6	bushels..	81 168	.6
	acres..	.6	Tobacco .....	farms..	.6
Dairy cattle and milk production (11212) .....	farms..	.7	acres..	5 393 500	.6
	acres..	.7	cwt..	2 811	.6
Hog and pig farming (1122) .....	farms..	.5	Soybeans for beans .....	farms..	.9
	acres..	.5	acres..	11 457	.9
Poultry and egg production (1123) .....	farms..	.6	bushels..	28 554	.5
	acres..	.6	Tubers, excluding sweetpotatoes..... farms..	4 115 575	.4
Sheep and goat farming (1124) .....	farms..	1.0	acres..	172 972 596	.3
	acres..	1.0	cwt..	281	1.8
Animal aquaculture and other animal production (1125, 1129) .....	farms..	.7	Sugar beets for sugar .....	farms..	.3
	acres..	.7	acres..	1 119 057	.7
		.9	tons..	33	3.9
		.9	farms..	1 824	3.2
		.9	acres..	32 282	3.8
		.9	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	farms..	.4
		.9	acres..	31 475	.4
		.9	tons, dry..	1 196 243	.4
		.9	farms..	2 813 975	.4
		.9	acres..	18 851	.5
		.9	tons, dry..	533 971	.5
		.9	farms..	1 527 152	.5
		.9	acres..	2 177	.7
		.9	tons, dry..	45 591	.5
		.9	farms..	1 395	.9
		.9	acres..	14 078	1.1

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

<sup>2</sup>Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:  
1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS</b>					
Farms .....	35 874	.5	Total farm production expenses .....	35 845	.5
Land in farms .....	11 753 965	.4	farms.. \$1,000..	3 412 297	.4
Average size of farm .....	328	.6	Average per farm .....	95 196	.6
<b>M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD</b>					
Total sales (see text) .....	35 874	.5	Livestock and poultry purchased .....	10 942	1.8
farms.. \$1,000..	4 579 075	.2	farms.. \$1,000..	254 812	1.6
Average per farm .....	127 643	.5	Feed for livestock and poultry .....	16 516	1.3
Farms by value of sales:			farms.. \$1,000..	694 512	.8
\$10,000 to \$19,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.5	Commercially mixed formula feeds .....	12 121	1.6
123 209	.6	farms.. \$1,000..	499 249	1.0	
\$20,000 to \$24,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.8	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees .....	31 707	.7
2 793	.8	farms.. \$1,000..	231 958	.7	
\$25,000 to \$39,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.8	Commercial fertilizer .....	31 525	.7
62 263	.8	farms.. \$1,000..	333 847	.8	
\$40,000 to \$49,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.7	Agricultural chemicals .....	29 183	.8
168 137	.7	farms.. \$1,000..	218 433	.9	
\$50,000 to \$99,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.9	Petroleum products .....	35 247	.5
6 150	.7	farms.. \$1,000..	165 721	.7	
\$100,000 to \$249,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.7	Electricity .....	29 284	.7
438 804	.7	farms.. \$1,000..	49 238	.8	
\$250,000 to \$499,999 .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.6	Hired farm labor .....	13 563	1.5
1 056 718	.6	farms.. \$1,000..	310 904	.8	
\$500,000 or more .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.7	Contract labor .....	3 117	3.7
1 699 499	.7	farms.. \$1,000..	17 785	3.2	
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Repair and maintenance .....	33 033	.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.5	farms.. \$1,000..	226 985	.8
31 716	.5	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment .....	16 148	1.4	
Grains .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.3	farms.. \$1,000..	51 131	1.9
2 770 625	.3	Interest .....	20 429	1.1	
27 578	.5	farms.. \$1,000..	213 560	1.2	
Corn for grain .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.3	Secured by real estate .....	14 545	1.5
2 016	.5	farms.. \$1,000..	141 223	1.5	
Wheat .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.4	Not secured by real estate .....	12 443	1.6
172 932	.4	farms.. \$1,000..	72 337	1.5	
Soybeans .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.5	Cash rent .....	16 094	1.3
1 062 615	.3	farms.. \$1,000..	271 089	1.1	
Sorghum for grain .....	farms.. \$1,000..	9.0	Property taxes .....	32 054	.6
11	14.6	farms.. \$1,000..	71 321	1.0	
Barley .....	farms.. \$1,000..	2.5	All other farm production expenses .....	35 843	.5
116	2.5	farms.. \$1,000..	301 002	.7	
Oats .....	farms.. \$1,000..	2.7	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT) <sup>1</sup>		
1 285	.8	All farms .....	35 845	.5	
Other grains .....	farms.. \$1,000..	1.2	number.. \$1,000..	1 130 323	.9
464	.7	Average per farm .....	31 534	1.0	
11 964	.7	Farms with net gains <sup>2</sup> .....	28 261	.8	
Cotton and cottonseed .....	farms.. \$1,000..	—	number.. \$1,000..	1 239 070	.7
Tobacco .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.7	Average net gain .....	43 844	1.1
Hay, silage, and field seeds .....	farms.. \$1,000..	1.0	Farms with net losses .....	7 584	2.4
7 072	.6	number.. \$1,000..	108 746	2.4	
62 104	.6	Average net loss .....	14 339	3.4	
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.8	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
1 442	.4	Government payments .....	20 781	.5	
Fruits, nuts, and berries .....	farms.. \$1,000..	1.2	farms.. \$1,000..	122 690	.4
577	.9	Other farm-related income <sup>1</sup> .....	11 989	1.7	
Nursery and greenhouse crops .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.8	farms.. \$1,000..	60 232	3.6
1 753	.2	Customwork and other agricultural services .....	4 788	3.0	
Other crops .....	farms.. \$1,000..	1.5	farms.. \$1,000..	32 601	5.5
348	.9	Gross cash rent or share payments .....	2 113	4.8	
Livestock, poultry, and their products .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.5	farms.. \$1,000..	14 285	7.1
Poultry and poultry products .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.2	Forest products, excluding Christmas trees and maple products .....	972	7.4
1 305	.8	farms.. \$1,000..	6 178	9.8	
Dairy products .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.1	Other farm-related income sources .....	7 106	2.3
572 510	.6	farms.. \$1,000..	7 168	5.0	
Cattle and calves .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.4	Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) .....	2 438	.7
509 850	.4	farms.. \$1,000..	92 317	.5	
Hogs and pigs .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.5	Total .....	2 438	.7
309 770	.4	farms.. \$1,000..	92 317	.5	
Sheep, lambs, and wool .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.6			
4 448	.6				
361 993	.3				
1 531	.9				
6 734	1.3				
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) .....	farms.. \$1,000..	.8			
1 725	.8				
47 594	.8				
COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS					

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:  
1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
<b>LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE</b>							
Total cropland .....	farms..		Individual or family (sole proprietorship) .....	farms..	.5		
acres..		.4	acres..	29 554	.5		
Harvested cropland .....	farms..	.5	Partnership .....	farms..	8 652	.4	
acres..		.5	acres..	672	.4		
Cropland:			Corporation:				
Pasture or grazing only .....	farms..	.5	Family held .....	farms..	4 508	.7	
acres..		.6	More than 10 stockholders .....	farms..	2 108	.4	
10 385			10 or less stockholders .....	farms..	521	.4	
481 162			Other than family held .....	farms..	1 479	.8	
Total woodland .....	farms..	.5	More than 10 stockholders .....	farms..	855	.5	
acres..		.5	10 or less stockholders .....	farms..	307	.3	
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and			Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ....	farms..	43	.8	
woodland pastured.....	farms..	.6	farms..	1 436	.8		
acres..		.6	acres..	123	.2		
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ....	farms..	.5	More than 10 stockholders .....	farms..	46 820	.2	
acres..		.5	10 or less stockholders .....	farms..	14	1.7	
Irrigated land .....	farms..	.5	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ....	farms..	109	.4	
acres..		.8	acres..	210	.2		
1 333			farms..	90 645	.1		
32 715			acres..	1.3			
Harvested cropland irrigated .....	farms..	.5	<b>Hired Farm Labor<sup>1</sup></b>				
acres..		.8	Hired workers by days worked:				
1 323			150 days or more .....	farms..	5 570	2.2	
32 408			workers..	18 292	1.3		
Pasture and other land irrigated .....	farms..	.5	Less than 150 days .....	farms..	12 038	1.6	
acres..		.8	workers..	46 372	1.9		
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands			<b>INJURIES AND DEATHS</b>				
Reserve Programs .....	farms..	.7	Farm-related injuries:				
acres..		.8	Operator and family members .....	farms..	401	1.4	
3 912			number..	447	1.5		
133 631			Hired workers .....	farms..	313	1.0	
<b>VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS<sup>1</sup></b>							
Estimated market value of land and buildings .....	farms..	.5	number..	651	.7		
\$1,000..			Farm-related deaths:				
Average per farm .....	dollars..	.7	Operator and family members .....	farms..	12	—	
Average per acre .....	dollars..	.9	number..	(D)	(D)		
<b>VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT<sup>1</sup></b>							
Estimated market value of all machinery and			Hired workers .....	farms..	—	—	
equipment .....	farms..	.5	number..	—	—		
\$1,000..			<b>FARMS BY SIZE</b>				
Average per farm .....	dollars..	.9	1 to 9 acres .....		1 354	.9	
35 845			10 to 49 acres .....		2 764	.7	
23 071			50 to 69 acres .....		1 820	.8	
102			70 to 99 acres .....		3 812	.7	
643			100 to 139 acres .....		4 412	.7	
635			140 to 179 acres .....		3 495	.7	
1 987			180 to 219 acres .....		2 725	.8	
<b>AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS<sup>1</sup></b>			220 to 259 acres .....		2 014	.9	
Commercial fertilizer .....	farms..	.7	260 to 499 acres .....		6 788	.7	
acres on which used..		.7	500 to 999 acres .....		4 459	.6	
31 344			1,000 to 1,999 acres .....		1 779	—	
7 287			2,000 acres or more .....		452	—	
<b>TENURE OF OPERATOR</b>			<b>FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM</b>				
All operators .....	farms..	.5	Oilseed and grain farming (1111) .....		20 691	.5	
acres..		.4	Vegetable and melon farming (1112) .....		599	1.2	
Full owners .....	farms..	.5	Fruit and tree nut farming (1113) .....		221	1.8	
acres..		.5	Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (1114) .....		1 500	.8	
Part owners .....	farms..	.5	Other crop farming (1119) .....		2 638	.7	
acres..		.5	Beef cattle ranching and farming (112111) .....		2 211	.7	
Tenants .....	farms..	.6	Cattle feedlots (112112) .....		1 118	1.0	
acres..		.6	Dairy cattle and milk production (11212) .....		3 732	.7	
35 874			Hog and pig farming (1122) .....		1 760	.8	
11 753			Poultry and egg production (1123) .....		582	.8	
965			Sheep and goat farming (1124) .....		118	2.8	
14 511			Animal aquaculture and other animal production (1125, 1129) .....		704	1.3	
2 410							
803			<b>LIVESTOCK</b>				
16 965			Cattle and calves inventory .....	farms..	14 376	.5	
8 062			number..	1 052	.4		
815			Beef cows .....	farms..	6 501	.6	
4 398			number..	176	.6		
1 281			Milk cows .....	farms..	4 802	.6	
6 864			number..	260	.4		
<b>OWNED AND RENTED LAND</b>			Cattle and calves sold .....	farms..	14 170	.5	
Land owned .....	farms..	.5	number..	615	.4		
acres..		.4	\$1,000..	502	.4		
Owned land in farms .....	farms..	.5	309	770	.4		
acres..		.5	number..	1 676	.3		
Land rented or leased from others .....	farms..	.5	Hogs and pigs inventory .....	farms..	4 282	.6	
acres..		.3	number..	3 499	.3		
Rented or leased land in farms .....	farms..	.4	Hogs and pigs sold .....	farms..	4 448	.6	
acres..		.4	number..	361	.3		
21 454			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory .....	farms..	1 553	.9	
6 311			number..	81	.2		
894			Sheep and lambs sold .....	farms..	1 451	.9	
78 541			number..	75	1.1		
21 363			Horses and ponies inventory .....	farms..	3 931	.7	
6 277			number..	29	.9		
101			Horses and ponies sold .....	farms..	1 197	1.0	
<b>OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS</b>			number..	6 596	2.4		
Operators by place of residence:							
On farm operated .....		.5					
Not on farm operated .....		.7					
Not reported .....		.7					
Operators by principal occupation:							
Farming .....		.5					
Other .....		.6					
Operators by days worked off farm:							
Any .....		.5					
200 days or more .....		.6					
Operators by sex:							
Male .....		.5					
Female .....		1.0					
Average age of operator .....	years..	.7					

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:  
1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
<b>POULTRY</b>						
Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text) .....	farms.. number..	.9 .2	Oats for grain .....	farms.. acres.. bushels..	4 289 69 890 4 784 626	.6 .6 .7
Layers 20 weeks old and older .....	farms.. number..	1 250 .2	Tobacco .....	farms.. acres.. pounds..	1 539 9 391 18 432 008	.7 1.0 1.0
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	farms.. number..	329 .8	Soybeans for beans .....	farms.. acres.. bushels..	23 915 4 022 229 170 041 636	.5 .4 .3
<b>SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED</b>						
Corn for grain or seed .....	farms.. acres.. bushels..	25 772 .5 .3	Potatoes, excluding sweetpotatoes .....	farms.. acres.. cwt..	170 5 106 1 107 670	2.2 1.0 .7
Corn for silage or green chop .....	farms.. acres.. tons, green..	423 402 597 .3 .6	Sugar beets for sugar .....	farms.. acres.. tons..	32 (D) (D)	3.6 (D) (D)
Wheat for grain .....	farms.. acres.. bushels..	2 652 632 967 130 .4	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	farms.. acres.. tons, dry..	15 691 830 442 2 163 356	.5 .5 .5
			Alfalfa hay .....	farms.. acres.. tons, dry..	11 360 410 496 1 271 636	.5 .5 .5
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) .....	farms.. acres..	1 444 43 936	.8 .5
			Land in orchards .....	farms.. acres..	466 9 586	1.3 1.3

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

<sup>2</sup>Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains.

**Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1992 to 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate
Farms .....	-3.0	1.1	-5.5	1.4
Land in farms .....	-1.0	1.0	-1.3	1.1
Average size of farm .....	2.5	1.6	4.5	1.9
Estimated market value of land and buildings <sup>1</sup> :				
Average per farm .....	dollars..	42.2	2.4	45.2
Average per acre .....	dollars..	40.0	2.4	40.0
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment <sup>1</sup> :				
Average per farm .....	dollars..	17.6	2.2	21.8
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres .....		-2.7	1.3	-12.2
10 to 49 acres .....		3.4	1.2	15.0
50 to 179 acres .....		-4.3	.8	-6.1
180 to 499 acres .....		-8.3	1.1	-10.5
500 to 999 acres .....		-4.3	1.2	-4.8
1,000 to 1,999 acres .....		4.2	-	4.2
2,000 acres or more .....		35.1	-	35.7
Total cropland .....	farms..	-4.0	1.1	-5.2
	acres..	-1.6	1.0	-1.3
Harvested cropland .....	farms..	-7.2	1.1	-5.2
	acres..	1.1	1.0	2.3
Irrigated land .....	farms..	1.3	1.2	7.7
	acres..	15.3	1.1	20.6
Market value of agricultural products sold .....	\$1,000..	19.7	.9	20.6
Average per farm .....	dollars..	23.4	1.7	27.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops .....	\$1,000..	28.8	1.0	30.2
Livestock, poultry, and their products .....	\$1,000..	8.0	.8	8.4
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500 .....		14.6	1.3	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999 .....		-9.9	1.0	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999 .....		-12.0	1.0	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999 .....		-13.3	1.1	-13.3
\$25,000 to \$49,999 .....		-9.3	1.4	-9.3
\$50,000 to \$99,999 .....		-9.3	1.7	-9.3
\$100,000 to \$249,999 .....		1.4	1.4	1.4
\$250,000 to \$499,999 .....		22.2	-	22.2
\$500,000 or more .....		54.5	-	54.5
Total farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,000..	15.7	1.0	16.4
Average per farm .....	dollars..	19.3	1.7	23.0
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup> .....	farms..	-3.0	1.1	-5.4
	\$1,000..	32.1	2.0	32.0
Average per farm .....	dollars..	36.1	2.6	39.5
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming .....		-10.4	1.1	-10.4
Other .....		4.0	1.2	3.6
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any .....		.9	1.2	-3
200 days or more .....		1.4	1.2	1.3
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory .....	farms..	-5.5	1.1	-10.6
	number..	-5.9	1.0	-7.8
Beef cows .....	farms..	1.0	1.2	.6
	number..	7.6	1.3	5.8
Milk cows .....	farms..	-22.3	1.2	-23.9
	number..	-41.1	1.0	-11.2
Cattle and calves sold .....	farms..	-5.7	1.1	-11.1
	number..	-7.9	.9	-10.2
Hogs and pigs inventory .....	farms..	-36.6	.8	-38.3
	number..	-13.1	.8	-12.3
Hogs and pigs sold .....	farms..	-38.4	.8	-39.1
	number..	-10.3	.8	-9.4
Sheep and lambs inventory .....	farms..	-18.0	1.1	-19.9
	number..	-27.6	1.2	-29.6
Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text) .....	farms..	-18.3	1.1	-21.7
	number..	25.0	.3	25.1
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	farms..	-6.8	2.0	3.1
	number..	62.9	3.0	62.9
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for grain or seed .....	farms..	-15.6	1.1	-10.6
	acres..	-3.1	.9	-2.0
	bushels..	-8.0	.9	-7.2
Corn for silage or green chop .....	farms..	-17.8	1.3	-19.0
	acres..	.4	1.1	.3
	tons, green..	-8.7	1.0	-8.8
Wheat for grain .....	farms..	-22.1	1.1	-16.4
	acres..	-8.7	1.0	-6.6
	bushels..	2.0	1.1	4.0
Oats for grain .....	farms..	-28.8	1.1	-27.7
	acres..	-29.9	1.0	-29.3
	bushels..	-31.7	1.0	-31.4
Soybeans for beans .....	farms..	-9.7	1.2	-3.3
	acres..	9.0	1.1	11.1
	bushels..	18.9	1.2	20.8
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	farms..	-4.9	1.1	-9.4
	acres..	-.4	1.2	-4.1
	tons, dry..	-4.6	1.1	-8.9
Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) .....	farms..	-7.3	1.2	.1
	acres..	-17.1	.6	-16.3

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm <sup>1</sup>		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment <sup>1</sup>	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	68 591	.4	14 103 085	.3	206	.6	414 773	.8	3 952 140	.8
Adams .....	1 315	.5	194 701	.8	148	1.0	209 292	7.1	45 941	6.8
Allen .....	918	.4	189 906	.8	207	.9	446 141	4.6	66 211	8.8
Ashland .....	929	.4	163 948	.8	176	.9	382 947	5.3	59 311	9.0
Ashtabula .....	993	.5	149 319	.9	150	1.0	241 977	4.8	49 395	8.3
Athens .....	481	.5	82 873	1.0	172	1.1	229 559	7.0	14 238	13.0
Auglaize .....	1 001	.4	213 219	.7	213	.8	464 180	4.1	74 847	6.7
Belmont .....	622	.4	148 287	.8	238	.9	212 954	6.3	25 934	15.5
Brown .....	1 378	.5	195 654	.9	142	1.1	268 801	5.2	57 646	7.2
Butler .....	849	.5	134 506	1.0	158	1.2	517 084	6.7	42 399	5.7
Carroll .....	683	.4	113 338	.9	166	1.0	235 710	5.1	29 444	8.1
Champaign .....	836	.4	221 584	.7	265	.8	560 610	3.1	62 990	4.2
Clark .....	671	.4	172 026	.8	256	.9	595 179	3.3	48 031	5.8
Clermont .....	744	.6	88 298	1.3	119	1.5	321 509	7.6	28 159	9.2
Clinton .....	761	.6	222 922	.8	293	1.0	606 000	3.9	51 275	4.1
Columbiana .....	980	.5	138 197	.8	141	.9	266 508	4.9	47 471	6.0
Coshocton .....	864	.4	170 087	.7	197	.8	292 837	5.9	48 906	11.5
Crawford .....	712	.4	226 641	.6	318	.7	577 797	3.1	59 630	6.4
Cuyahoga .....	118	.5	4 268	4.8	36	4.9	435 200	11.3	7 127	5.0
Darke .....	1 726	.4	329 475	.6	191	.7	460 346	2.7	115 518	2.8
Defiance .....	861	.5	186 385	.9	216	1.0	382 411	7.5	48 909	7.4
Delaware .....	627	.4	160 770	.8	256	.9	721 125	4.8	33 213	5.8
Erie .....	380	.4	89 871	1.0	237	1.0	482 690	6.4	31 252	6.1
Fairfield .....	1 024	.5	196 930	.9	192	1.0	481 505	4.9	55 663	5.1
Fayette .....	520	.4	242 573	.5	466	.7	914 031	2.3	52 070	3.4
Franklin .....	407	.5	79 620	1.1	196	1.3	587 588	5.4	26 092	9.6
Fulton .....	794	.6	197 309	.8	249	1.0	554 345	3.5	56 936	6.9
Gallia .....	776	.6	117 180	1.1	151	1.2	200 004	6.9	26 762	7.1
Geauga .....	661	.6	59 238	1.5	90	1.6	348 155	6.7	19 294	6.7
Greene .....	764	.4	178 332	.8	233	.9	549 034	4.2	52 216	8.0
Guernsey .....	802	.6	137 653	.9	172	1.0	166 917	6.1	22 022	9.4
Hamilton .....	302	.5	29 153	1.6	97	1.6	383 803	7.7	10 719	8.8
Hancock .....	979	.5	277 209	.8	283	.9	650 762	4.2	74 532	6.3
Hardin .....	837	.6	246 852	.9	295	1.1	483 248	3.4	51 247	6.1
Harrison .....	423	.5	109 685	.9	259	1.1	233 847	8.7	18 579	13.5
Henry .....	872	.4	244 305	.6	280	.7	596 444	4.0	68 311	4.8
Highland .....	1 239	.5	242 450	.8	196	1.0	354 224	4.8	59 805	7.9
Hocking .....	353	.5	47 950	1.5	136	1.5	219 650	13.2	11 267	10.2
Holmes .....	1 404	.4	171 732	.7	122	.8	349 203	5.2	61 536	7.8
Huron .....	782	.6	231 586	.7	296	.9	454 376	3.0	71 317	4.9
Jackson .....	408	.4	74 035	1.1	181	1.2	222 050	9.3	20 750	8.7
Jefferson .....	410	.6	71 304	1.4	174	1.5	155 906	7.7	10 774	10.5
Knox .....	1 103	.5	206 255	.9	187	1.0	364 165	6.8	54 724	7.1
Lake .....	274	.4	19 053	1.4	70	1.5	388 257	4.6	16 626	6.5
Lawrence .....	490	.8	59 454	1.4	121	1.6	125 599	7.5	10 992	7.7
Licking .....	1 218	.4	237 014	.7	195	.8	466 330	2.6	68 301	6.8
Logan .....	895	.5	218 957	.7	245	.9	383 294	4.6	50 601	6.2
Lorain .....	778	.4	130 631	.8	168	.9	476 507	3.7	65 466	6.0
Lucas .....	385	.4	79 583	1.0	207	1.1	627 988	6.2	32 877	4.1
Madison .....	667	.5	262 350	.6	393	.8	809 729	3.6	62 512	6.4
Mahoning .....	542	.4	73 415	1.0	135	1.0	321 846	5.5	26 216	5.1
Marion .....	543	.5	220 683	.7	406	.8	701 416	3.0	60 409	4.7
Medina .....	851	.5	104 060	1.0	122	1.1	432 900	7.1	42 190	8.2
Meigs .....	491	.4	84 761	1.2	173	1.2	201 459	9.3	13 938	6.9
Mercer .....	1 255	.4	260 935	.5	208	.6	582 282	3.8	101 293	5.2
Miami .....	983	.5	192 376	.8	196	.9	561 826	3.7	55 267	4.6
Monroe .....	589	.3	109 650	.8	186	.8	160 795	5.8	22 565	14.0
Montgomery .....	760	.4	105 965	1.1	139	1.2	409 818	6.4	42 660	9.7
Morgan .....	500	.5	98 265	.9	197	1.0	186 129	8.4	12 275	8.7
Morrow .....	759	.4	161 202	.8	212	.9	379 932	5.6	35 081	4.7
Muskingum .....	1 018	.4	180 034	.7	177	.8	204 280	4.9	41 366	7.5
Noble .....	519	.4	99 003	.8	191	.8	156 439	8.6	13 131	10.2
Ottawa .....	474	.4	105 771	.9	223	.9	469 769	5.1	34 149	12.8
Paulding .....	542	.5	209 983	.7	387	.9	614 545	4.3	45 564	5.1
Perry .....	606	.6	96 603	1.2	159	1.3	216 608	8.3	20 284	10.9
Pickaway .....	703	.4	267 300	.6	380	.7	784 227	3.6	55 200	5.2
Pike .....	435	.6	78 311	1.2	180	1.4	230 011	8.3	19 053	15.5
Portage .....	719	.4	87 453	1.1	122	1.2	371 633	6.7	28 944	6.5
Preble .....	977	.4	197 141	.7	202	.8	424 071	4.0	53 912	5.0
Putnam .....	1 352	.4	292 335	.6	216	.7	452 408	4.1	96 472	4.2
Richland .....	908	.6	155 516	1.0	171	1.2	328 597	4.8	48 597	8.0
Ross .....	885	.6	251 675	.7	284	.9	431 653	4.7	42 290	4.8
Sandusky .....	795	.4	199 446	.7	251	.8	439 147	5.3	56 301	6.3
Scioto .....	630	.5	102 981	1.0	163	1.1	218 310	8.2	21 539	8.6
Seneca .....	1 210	.5	292 662	.8	242	1.0	429 675	3.9	80 669	5.2
Shelby .....	991	.4	201 998	.7	204	.8	491 120	4.6	60 868	5.1
Stark .....	1 086	.4	136 737	.8	126	.9	346 693	3.9	47 253	5.1
Summit .....	251	.5	17 235	2.1	69	2.1	340 804	14.5	7 625	10.9
Trumbull .....	788	.4	112 477	1.0	143	1.1	282 609	6.0	41 925	7.9
Tuscarawas .....	920	.6	141 358	1.0	154	1.2	308 350	6.1	41 582	6.9
Union .....	811	.4	204 693	.7	252	.8	530 649	6.2	58 116	5.9
Van Wert .....	707	.3	237 244	.6	336	.6	718 770	4.5	73 027	6.2
Vinton .....	202	.5	37 111	1.4	184	1.5	184 743	11.3	4 406	15.5
Warren .....	741	.4	118 430	.8	160	.9	553 790	7.3	37 858	7.5
Washington .....	900	.4	146 504	.8	163	.9	214 087	8.9	34 382	8.1

See footnotes at end of table.

## C-16 APPENDIX C

## 1997 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm <sup>1</sup>		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment <sup>1</sup>	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne .....	1 601	.5	240 905	.7	150	.8	402 208	3.2	101 420	4.9
Williams .....	908	.6	203 201	.9	224	1.0	342 144	4.0	45 129	6.0
Wood .....	1 015	.5	303 986	.7	298	.9	633 489	3.0	90 749	5.4
Wyandot .....	608	.4	209 012	.7	344	.9	565 793	3.6	56 596	4.3
Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm <sup>1</sup>		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup>			
							Total farm production expenses			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	57 624	.9	4 684 277	.3	68 293	.5	68 591	.4	3 608 839	.4
Adams .....	34 936	6.9	27 278	1.2	20 744	1.3	1 315	.6	21 754	8.2
Allen .....	72 204	8.9	59 375	.8	64 678	.9	917	.6	45 115	3.5
Ashland .....	63 913	9.0	48 933	.8	52 672	.9	928	.6	40 931	2.5
Ashstabula .....	49 844	8.3	34 907	.9	35 153	1.0	991	.6	30 969	4.4
Athens .....	29 601	13.0	6 208	1.8	12 906	1.9	481	.9	6 692	16.1
Auglaize .....	74 847	6.7	85 557	.6	85 472	.7	1 000	.6	67 634	1.8
Belmont .....	41 494	15.5	12 308	1.7	19 788	1.8	625	.7	9 499	8.8
Brown .....	41 833	7.2	37 773	1.0	27 412	1.1	1 378	.6	28 801	4.4
Butler .....	49 939	5.7	35 032	1.1	41 262	1.2	849	.7	29 820	3.8
Carroll .....	42 983	8.1	21 278	1.1	31 153	1.2	685	.7	16 432	4.0
Champaign .....	75 257	4.2	71 281	.6	85 265	.7	837	.6	55 468	2.0
Clark .....	71 582	5.8	73 418	.5	109 416	.7	671	.6	56 843	1.9
Clermont .....	37 849	9.2	16 665	1.6	22 399	1.7	744	.7	12 355	8.5
Clinton .....	67 467	4.2	65 828	.8	86 502	1.0	760	.8	46 572	2.4
Columbiana .....	48 489	6.1	46 923	.8	47 880	.9	979	.6	36 041	4.6
Coshcotton .....	56 538	11.5	37 124	.8	42 968	.9	865	.6	31 125	3.5
Crawford .....	83 867	6.4	75 204	.5	105 623	.7	711	.6	56 943	1.6
Cuyahoga .....	60 397	5.3	17 147	.9	145 310	1.0	118	1.7	12 716	1.5
Darke .....	66 851	2.9	247 071	.3	143 147	.5	1 728	.5	216 073	.8
Defiance .....	56 805	7.5	45 248	.9	52 553	1.0	861	.6	31 374	4.8
Delaware .....	53 398	5.9	53 806	.6	85 814	.8	628	.6	41 320	2.9
Erie .....	82 241	6.2	35 462	.8	93 321	.9	380	.8	25 976	4.0
Fairfield .....	54 305	5.2	51 306	.9	50 103	1.1	1 025	.7	40 018	2.4
Fayette .....	100 328	3.5	72 179	.5	138 805	.6	519	.6	48 722	1.8
Franklin .....	64 267	9.6	41 165	.6	101 143	.8	406	.8	26 629	2.4
Fulton .....	71 617	6.9	87 848	.6	110 639	.9	795	.8	69 475	1.7
Gallia .....	34 487	7.1	15 155	1.3	19 529	1.4	776	.7	12 909	6.0
Geauga .....	29 101	6.8	18 282	1.5	27 658	1.6	663	.8	15 303	4.5
Greene .....	68 346	8.0	58 595	.7	76 695	.8	764	.7	42 123	3.5
Guernsey .....	27 425	9.5	10 754	1.8	13 408	1.9	803	.8	9 149	16.7
Hamilton .....	35 495	8.8	17 301	.8	57 289	1.0	302	.8	11 950	5.8
Hancock .....	76 130	6.3	81 864	.7	83 620	.9	979	.6	55 306	2.3
Hardin .....	61 227	6.1	114 970	.5	137 360	.8	837	.8	77 085	1.9
Harrison .....	43 923	13.5	10 010	1.5	23 664	1.6	423	.8	7 096	6.7
Henry .....	78 338	4.8	75 092	.6	86 114	.7	872	.5	51 158	2.6
Highland .....	48 268	7.9	46 179	.9	37 271	1.1	1 239	.6	35 809	3.0
Hocking .....	31 917	10.2	3 432	3.6	9 724	3.6	353	.9	4 361	12.4
Holmes .....	43 860	7.8	87 815	.6	62 546	.8	1 403	.6	73 590	2.3
Huron .....	91 199	4.9	77 539	.6	99 154	.8	782	.7	54 355	1.7
Jackson .....	50 859	8.8	17 515	.7	42 928	.8	408	.7	15 843	4.9
Jefferson .....	26 151	10.5	6 703	2.3	16 348	2.3	412	.8	5 566	8.1
Knox .....	49 614	7.1	61 891	.8	56 111	1.0	1 103	.6	49 054	2.6
Lake .....	60 239	6.6	73 572	.3	268 510	.5	276	.8	55 122	.9
Lawrence .....	22 432	7.8	3 954	1.7	8 070	1.8	490	1.0	3 300	7.8
Licking .....	56 076	6.8	128 880	.3	105 813	.5	1 218	.5	109 335	.9
Logan .....	56 601	6.2	89 077	.5	99 528	.7	894	.6	70 844	1.7
Lorain .....	84 255	6.1	82 972	.3	106 647	.5	777	.6	66 376	1.6
Lucas .....	85 395	4.1	60 875	.4	158 117	.6	385	.7	48 748	1.5
Madison .....	93 721	6.4	80 277	.6	120 355	.8	667	.7	54 842	2.6
Mahoning .....	48 458	5.2	31 997	.8	59 035	.8	541	.7	26 210	3.6
Marion .....	111 250	4.7	64 262	.6	118 347	.7	543	.8	50 211	2.6
Medina .....	49 577	8.2	34 080	.9	40 047	1.0	851	.7	27 401	5.8
Meigs .....	28 388	6.9	12 982	1.2	26 439	1.3	491	.7	9 561	6.6
Mercer .....	80 776	5.2	287 662	.2	229 213	.4	1 254	.5	234 829	.7
Miami .....	56 109	4.7	64 097	.8	65 206	.9	985	.6	43 592	3.1
Monroe .....	38 246	14.0	7 398	1.7	12 560	1.7	590	.7	7 531	10.6
Montgomery .....	56 131	9.7	36 178	1.0	47 603	1.1	760	.6	27 984	3.4
Morgan .....	24 501	8.8	8 402	1.4	16 804	1.5	501	.8	6 734	9.3
Morrow .....	46 281	4.8	41 635	.8	54 855	.9	758	.7	30 476	3.7
Muskingum .....	40 635	7.5	25 776	.9	25 320	1.0	1 018	.5	20 489	5.5
Noble .....	25 204	10.2	3 823	1.3	7 366	1.4	521	.7	4 152	12.0
Ottawa .....	72 045	12.8	28 643	.7	60 427	.8	474	.8	23 414	5.0
Paudling .....	84 066	5.2	54 144	.6	99 897	.8	542	.7	32 334	2.4
Perry .....	33 473	11.0	15 351	1.6	25 331	1.7	606	.8	12 455	8.0

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm <sup>1</sup>		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup>			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms	Value		
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Pickaway .....	78 633	5.3	79 338	.5	112 857	.6	702	.6	53 844	1.8
Pike .....	43 900	15.5	7 517	1.7	17 281	1.8	434	.9	6 891	13.2
Portage .....	40 369	6.5	23 778	1.0	33 071	1.1	717	.8	18 652	5.1
Preble .....	55 181	5.0	67 931	.6	69 531	.7	977	.6	51 596	3.5
Putnam .....	71 355	4.2	102 883	.5	76 097	.6	1 352	.5	73 546	2.2
Richland .....	53 580	8.0	47 145	1.1	51 922	1.3	907	.7	38 392	5.9
Ross .....	47 785	4.9	45 806	.8	51 758	1.0	885	.8	35 975	3.8
Sandusky .....	73 242	6.3	66 000	.6	83 019	.7	796	.6	48 307	3.6
Scioto .....	34 189	8.6	13 937	1.3	22 123	1.4	630	.7	11 425	6.9
Seneca .....	66 724	5.2	83 691	.7	69 166	.9	1 209	.6	63 026	2.8
Shelby .....	61 421	5.2	71 798	.7	72 451	.8	991	.6	54 954	2.6
Stark .....	43 551	5.1	72 998	.5	67 217	.7	1 085	.6	62 695	1.9
Summit .....	30 502	10.9	8 932	1.8	35 586	1.9	250	1.1	6 977	14.7
Trumbull .....	53 408	8.0	25 467	1.1	32 319	1.2	785	.7	22 415	6.5
Tuscarawas .....	45 149	7.0	56 229	.8	61 119	1.0	921	.8	45 876	3.0
Union .....	71 748	6.0	69 625	.5	85 851	.7	810	.6	49 913	2.0
Van Wert .....	103 291	6.2	72 552	.5	102 619	.6	707	.5	48 935	2.5
Vinton .....	21 814	15.5	1 704	2.0	8 437	2.1	202	1.2	1 710	11.7
Warren .....	51 159	7.6	33 781	.8	45 589	.9	740	.6	26 464	6.3
Washington .....	38 118	8.1	20 428	1.2	22 698	1.2	902	.6	17 372	3.7
Wayne .....	63 388	4.9	155 757	.5	97 287	.7	1 600	.6	123 554	1.5
Williams .....	49 647	6.1	51 383	.8	56 590	1.0	909	.7	40 952	2.7
Wood .....	89 408	5.4	96 452	.6	95 027	.8	1 015	.7	65 708	2.1
Wyandot .....	93 085	4.3	63 690	.6	104 753	.8	608	.7	47 769	1.8
Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.										
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased			Feed for livestock and poultry			Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	18 692	1.4	267 858	1.5	31 975	1.0	713 397	.8	44 036	.7
Adams .....	293	11.1	950	14.6	565	6.6	3 815	23.8	797	4.8
Allen .....	174	14.7	2 299	18.0	250	12.3	6 600	19.1	754	2.6
Ashland .....	366	8.7	2 592	11.6	522	6.4	6 683	5.7	660	3.3
Ashtabula .....	284	10.4	1 524	11.2	463	7.4	6 525	11.7	508	6.5
Athens .....	141	18.0	134	30.3	329	7.5	1 152	16.1	151	17.8
Auglaize .....	313	10.5	5 606	14.1	415	8.7	17 114	3.6	840	2.2
Belmont .....	207	13.1	1 139	12.9	427	6.4	2 345	14.5	142	15.2
Brown .....	252	13.5	830	10.1	565	7.5	1 487	18.2	803	4.9
Butler .....	361	9.2	3 143	26.2	514	6.6	2 947	13.3	510	5.5
Carroll .....	203	13.0	533	14.2	377	7.2	2 211	12.5	377	6.6
Champaign .....	251	10.1	3 042	8.9	451	6.2	7 312	9.8	537	3.7
Clark .....	195	14.0	2 627	3.3	276	11.4	2 770	10.7	409	4.2
Clermont .....	160	19.9	200	28.8	303	11.5	435	13.6	398	9.3
Clinton .....	136	17.6	718	4.5	228	13.5	2 842	8.9	580	4.0
Columbiana .....	244	13.1	2 652	22.2	571	6.7	7 827	5.3	580	6.2
Coshocton .....	379	8.0	2 987	13.4	549	5.5	5 423	7.1	509	5.8
Crawford .....	190	12.5	3 705	9.3	279	9.9	6 097	4.6	574	2.9
Cuyahoga .....	11	22.6	(D)	(D)	25	16.4	120	23.6	57	7.5
Darke .....	572	6.2	26 629	3.3	782	5.0	106 571	1.9	1 411	2.0
Defiance .....	128	20.5	1 889	9.1	223	15.0	4 212	26.3	619	3.1
Delaware .....	152	15.9	1 687	46.4	318	9.0	3 020	18.5	401	4.8
Erie .....	123	15.1	1 156	22.0	184	10.3	2 125	16.0	280	4.5
Fairfield .....	300	12.4	2 212	21.5	514	7.6	3 507	6.2	613	5.5
Fayette .....	155	16.1	914	11.3	231	10.7	2 001	6.2	406	4.7
Franklin .....	97	22.1	515	24.3	173	13.7	975	9.9	212	8.4
Fulton .....	230	11.4	10 605	6.4	318	8.9	8 036	7.7	645	3.6
Gallia .....	267	11.2	1 674	7.4	487	6.6	1 731	13.3	385	7.7
Geauga .....	206	11.7	1 354	20.8	401	6.7	2 198	17.2	368	6.8
Greene .....	135	17.9	1 311	12.3	331	9.6	2 901	16.7	526	3.7
Guerney .....	244	12.0	707	13.3	494	6.4	1 348	21.1	251	13.4
Hamilton .....	29	46.3	628	23.4	133	16.0	426	15.4	175	10.3
Hancock .....	176	15.2	2 184	17.4	255	11.9	4 185	15.8	868	2.4
Hardin .....	124	20.1	8 893	1.7	203	13.7	22 364	2.1	649	4.0
Harrison .....	132	17.8	1 239	6.5	266	8.9	908	4.3	109	15.5
Henry .....	107	20.7	1 561	7.5	185	14.9	2 153	16.6	786	2.6
Highland .....	236	14.2	3 206	7.4	544	7.2	2 064	11.6	823	3.6
Hocking .....	158	14.5	403	40.6	264	7.5	354	13.0	157	12.6
Holmes .....	778	5.5	8 334	9.2	1 155	2.4	34 570	4.9	973	3.2
Huron .....	244	12.1	2 447	12.7	319	9.5	4 166	7.1	574	4.3
Jackson .....	138	15.7	(D)	(D)	248	9.9	1 470	4.3	140	17.9
Jefferson .....	102	22.0	514	6.0	243	11.1	789	11.2	171	10.8
Knox .....	340	10.4	9 485	2.3	624	6.5	5 140	14.6	689	4.7
Lake .....	49	29.3	259	46.7	69	22.5	280	31.1	149	10.7
Lawrence .....	107	20.7	82	29.8	260	10.4	449	30.1	215	10.7
										88

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Licking.....	363	9.7	3 122	8.6	678	5.3	35 623	1.5	647	4.4	3 081	3.2
Logan.....	285	11.9	7 837	4.8	477	8.1	19 817	3.5	553	4.7	3 060	5.5
Lorain.....	115	17.9	2 056	19.6	205	13.9	2 849	4.0	537	5.2	8 687	2.6
Lucas.....	48	31.4	2 553	12.7	92	19.0	7 780	2.4	298	5.8	3 420	2.9
Madison.....	178	14.4	1 956	3.5	279	10.4	3 440	9.1	510	3.3	4 826	3.6
Mahoning.....	148	15.2	2 613	38.2	311	6.6	4 431	11.8	344	6.3	852	6.4
Marion.....	118	16.0	3 100	2.6	176	13.0	3 426	10.6	400	3.9	3 733	4.0
Medina.....	229	13.4	1 488	9.3	398	8.2	3 356	14.7	540	4.7	1 591	12.1
Meigs.....	122	18.4	512	34.3	299	7.6	824	13.2	158	12.7	582	10.3
Mercer.....	543	5.9	30 822	3.5	619	4.8	133 744	1.0	1 030	2.2	5 032	3.1
Miami.....	177	15.1	1 732	11.7	340	10.0	4 348	10.2	753	3.6	4 250	3.5
Monroe.....	178	13.1	532	17.3	411	6.5	2 065	19.9	186	12.4	85	21.4
Montgomery.....	175	15.6	526	26.1	263	12.8	1 390	10.5	541	5.3	3 054	5.4
Morgan.....	148	17.5	340	14.9	336	7.7	2 090	21.9	186	11.0	166	15.1
Morrow.....	160	15.8	2 092	6.5	280	10.6	4 054	10.6	444	5.5	2 057	4.4
Muskingum.....	299	11.1	3 180	18.6	727	3.8	3 483	8.3	463	7.7	981	12.3
Noble.....	190	13.8	372	14.6	366	6.7	522	7.5	102	18.1	57	22.4
Ottawa.....	67	31.3	360	9.6	108	21.8	1 139	28.5	374	6.4	1 873	6.6
Paulding.....	31	26.4	919	19.9	66	22.8	4 255	4.9	453	4.0	2 852	4.0
Perry.....	162	14.1	1 018	15.8	318	8.1	1 371	30.7	284	8.5	707	13.2
Pickaway.....	227	13.0	2 598	17.0	278	11.6	4 245	6.7	489	5.2	5 227	2.4
Pike.....	70	26.3	389	14.7	212	10.8	1 211	42.5	165	12.5	333	12.5
Portage.....	212	13.0	804	15.5	379	7.7	2 129	9.6	316	8.2	1 016	16.9
Preble.....	304	10.5	5 399	22.1	483	6.7	7 978	7.0	689	3.6	3 279	5.6
Putnam.....	297	10.9	4 486	10.0	359	9.8	12 194	5.5	1 204	2.1	5 798	6.3
Richland.....	341	9.6	3 807	26.0	534	6.6	7 791	16.7	568	5.7	2 328	6.8
Ross.....	269	12.3	2 565	26.6	474	7.4	2 348	14.6	479	6.8	3 199	4.7
Sandusky.....	159	17.0	1 462	29.6	212	14.4	1 758	19.6	641	3.7	3 973	6.2
Scioto.....	119	19.3	655	10.6	326	9.3	1 716	4.3	318	9.2	857	16.9
Seneca.....	318	10.6	4 853	16.0	440	8.5	5 288	13.8	1 014	2.7	4 742	5.7
Shelby.....	257	12.3	4 409	15.4	346	9.2	10 983	8.4	773	2.5	4 196	5.1
Stark.....	339	10.2	6 256	6.3	546	6.5	18 966	3.9	703	4.3	2 549	14.7
Summit.....	75	23.9	144	40.3	133	14.1	382	28.4	128	13.1	661	6.8
Trumbull.....	198	14.0	2 525	24.5	366	9.3	2 455	15.1	458	6.1	1 538	11.8
Tuscarawas.....	329	9.7	2 476	20.5	573	5.9	17 898	8.1	510	6.4	1 163	10.0
Union.....	203	13.0	4 520	2.4	335	9.5	5 425	6.2	519	4.2	3 280	4.5
Van Wert.....	92	20.9	1 174	6.5	174	13.4	6 341	4.0	648	2.0	4 707	5.4
Vinton.....	31	33.7	(D)	(D)	101	14.7	314	40.6	63	20.1	68	24.8
Warren.....	200	14.6	1 005	11.1	331	7.9	1 990	33.6	380	5.8	3 055	3.7
Washington.....	312	10.9	1 660	16.5	583	5.8	2 798	7.7	393	7.5	1 003	16.4
Wayne.....	709	6.2	12 599	7.6	1 098	3.3	42 418	3.6	1 073	3.3	4 125	4.8
Williams.....	143	14.5	6 182	9.0	216	11.7	3 987	7.6	531	4.1	3 449	6.9
Wood.....	153	16.7	2 113	19.1	217	14.0	3 096	12.6	891	2.7	7 259	3.6
Wyandot.....	110	18.1	2 449	9.9	177	14.1	8 030	3.3	499	4.1	3 233	4.5
Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Ohio</b> .....	<b>47 671</b>	<b>.7</b>	<b>345 896</b>	<b>.8</b>	<b>40 577</b>	<b>.8</b>	<b>224 526</b>	<b>.9</b>	<b>64 360</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>180 186</b>	<b>.7</b>
Adams.....	1 110	2.6	2 632	14.5	605	6.1	918	17.4	1 238	1.8	1 716	13.8
Allen.....	762	3.1	7 171	9.0	691	3.4	4 037	6.8	858	2.1	2 888	7.4
Ashland.....	652	3.2	4 453	6.9	659	4.2	2 877	6.5	890	1.5	2 560	6.6
Ashstabula.....	628	5.1	1 919	9.0	477	7.0	1 154	10.1	927	1.8	1 716	4.9
Athens.....	228	13.1	320	12.3	115	20.5	112	11.6	464	1.9	341	6.8
Auglaize.....	820	2.9	7 042	6.0	746	3.7	4 903	6.1	899	2.0	3 236	7.5
Belmont.....	311	9.0	489	8.5	129	17.8	65	9.3	603	1.9	597	7.8
Brown.....	1 175	2.3	5 242	10.0	766	5.2	2 660	7.7	1 316	1.6	1 774	4.5
Butler.....	561	5.5	3 103	7.9	450	7.0	1 884	9.3	820	1.7	1 555	5.7
Carroll.....	447	5.1	1 088	11.9	323	8.8	574	9.4	665	1.5	956	5.5
Champaign.....	577	3.9	6 507	4.5	508	4.9	4 792	4.1	782	2.0	2 786	3.9
Clark.....	407	5.4	6 053	4.8	409	6.2	3 800	5.0	649	1.8	2 859	4.7
Clermont.....	512	6.3	1 711	17.0	362	9.3	766	14.3	700	2.7	841	13.6
Clinton.....	603	4.4	7 640	4.6	538	4.7	4 773	6.0	751	1.4	2 629	4.4
Columbiana.....	646	5.4	2 190	7.7	512	6.9	1 499	9.2	928	1.7	1 770	3.9
Coshcotton.....	533	5.6	2 593	8.5	456	7.1	1 550	8.8	819	2.1	1 702	5.7
Crawford.....	590	3.2	8 012	3.9	565	3.6	4 806	4.5	683	1.6	3 449	3.1
Cuyahoga.....	60	6.8	98	4.7	59	7.6	91	8.8	108	3.1	891	1.6
Darke.....	1 368	2.4	10 364	3.8	1 387	2.4	7 186	4.6	1 648	1.1	5 179	2.5
Defiance.....	595	4.4	4 443	8.6	624	4.1	3 111	6.5	741	2.8	1 915	5.0
Delaware.....	412	5.5	4 036	4.5	407	4.6	2 774	4.8	572	2.8	2 018	4.2
Erie.....	255	5.6	2 434	4.6	273	6.7	2 028	7.1	363	2.6	1 649	3.4
Fairfield.....	651	5.1	5 474	5.6	586	5.8	3 354	7.7	978	1.8	2 648	5.8
Fayette.....	398	5.0	8 702	3.0	365	6.8	5 142	4.9	481	2.7	2 937	4.0

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Franklin.....	246	7.6	2 187	6.1	242	8.4	1 418	9.0	376	3.7	1 887	2.9
Fulton.....	663	3.0	7 530	3.9	617	4.4	4 614	4.3	778	1.4	3 490	4.0
Gallia.....	536	5.5	1 155	9.1	291	9.4	296	23.0	705	2.3	825	9.4
Geauga.....	400	6.3	619	11.7	302	8.2	343	22.3	623	2.3	819	6.5
Greene.....	564	3.6	5 424	5.6	551	4.0	3 768	4.5	712	2.2	2 163	6.7
Guernsey.....	439	8.4	1 051	40.4	182	16.8	281	46.5	721	2.9	769	24.1
Hamilton.....	164	12.2	629	11.8	120	15.6	259	18.5	288	3.0	750	6.3
Hancock.....	840	2.8	8 817	4.9	823	3.0	5 414	3.9	946	1.3	3 525	4.2
Hardin.....	662	4.1	6 604	5.2	546	5.5	4 232	5.4	794	1.9	3 024	4.0
Harrison.....	199	11.8	395	16.8	137	16.6	245	13.3	393	3.5	506	13.5
Henry.....	787	2.4	9 081	4.6	720	3.5	4 913	6.8	830	1.9	2 971	4.2
Highland.....	834	4.0	5 186	7.7	711	5.4	3 237	9.2	1 155	1.6	2 302	5.2
Hocking.....	244	7.8	354	14.6	112	15.6	143	23.2	342	2.3	352	14.0
Holmes.....	1 035	3.4	2 508	9.0	954	3.5	1 243	8.3	1 297	1.6	2 582	3.6
Huron.....	547	4.8	6 427	4.7	551	4.7	4 454	5.3	746	2.2	3 211	3.7
Jackson.....	276	7.7	653	21.5	87	22.2	280	44.6	389	2.7	612	7.1
Jefferson.....	209	10.6	358	14.9	160	13.5	196	36.3	402	1.4	467	9.9
Knox.....	648	5.2	4 379	7.1	579	5.7	3 227	9.1	1 034	2.0	2 684	4.7
Lake.....	158	9.0	830	2.7	140	10.3	722	5.7	261	2.9	1 832	3.3
Lawrence.....	329	6.4	287	15.1	169	11.7	86	24.3	452	3.5	317	13.1
Licking.....	701	4.7	5 022	7.0	632	4.2	3 616	4.3	1 126	1.8	3 397	2.3
Logan.....	562	5.9	4 790	7.3	537	5.9	3 421	7.5	751	3.3	2 598	2.9
Lorain.....	582	4.4	3 078	5.1	516	5.2	2 816	7.1	751	1.6	4 268	5.4
Lucas.....	252	7.0	2 871	6.0	242	8.7	2 251	7.9	366	2.6	2 825	2.4
Madison.....	514	4.3	8 345	3.8	471	4.8	5 729	6.3	595	3.2	3 147	4.4
Mahoning.....	366	6.1	1 562	9.3	325	7.2	1 079	9.1	507	2.5	1 441	7.6
Marion.....	386	5.3	7 888	4.6	381	4.9	5 559	5.2	515	2.2	3 049	3.6
Medina.....	553	5.6	1 690	9.3	476	7.1	1 442	14.0	813	1.7	1 661	5.4
Meigs.....	266	8.4	643	19.5	140	14.3	222	24.3	473	1.9	952	10.4
Mercer.....	1 012	2.6	9 191	3.6	945	3.0	4 406	5.7	1 182	1.8	5 150	3.7
Miami.....	818	2.8	5 468	5.3	773	3.4	4 403	5.3	936	1.8	2 496	4.1
Monroe.....	337	7.5	409	15.1	205	11.1	91	17.9	551	2.3	506	9.7
Montgomery.....	520	6.0	3 214	6.2	450	7.2	2 291	9.6	718	2.4	1 411	5.7
Morgan.....	307	8.4	432	11.7	156	15.6	101	30.9	476	2.8	388	12.6
Morrow.....	418	5.9	3 734	4.9	372	5.9	2 834	10.8	675	3.0	2 014	3.6
Muskingum.....	573	6.6	1 753	10.6	340	9.8	696	13.4	972	1.5	1 251	7.8
Noble.....	283	8.8	455	27.6	53	28.4	53	40.2	477	2.9	331	7.5
Ottawa.....	382	5.8	2 906	7.6	358	6.8	2 500	10.2	463	2.3	1 215	4.4
Paulding.....	392	5.2	4 342	4.8	408	5.2	3 366	4.6	481	2.5	2 092	5.4
Perry.....	329	8.4	1 211	13.3	249	9.7	693	13.0	576	2.2	704	10.0
Pickaway.....	483	5.4	8 258	3.0	457	6.8	5 404	3.4	653	2.8	2 881	4.5
Pike.....	257	8.2	650	9.5	108	15.6	278	14.8	398	2.7	528	12.1
Portage.....	375	7.7	1 388	11.9	298	8.9	819	11.4	673	2.0	887	5.8
Preble.....	696	4.1	6 476	5.1	647	4.5	4 549	5.9	909	1.8	2 790	5.5
Putnam.....	1 212	2.1	8 591	4.4	1 153	2.4	6 169	4.8	1 301	1.1	4 002	5.3
Richland.....	547	6.2	3 238	7.5	533	5.9	2 264	8.1	827	3.0	2 499	8.5
Ross.....	550	5.9	4 739	4.6	398	8.2	3 476	4.6	802	2.5	2 283	4.6
Sandusky.....	602	4.4	6 910	6.0	588	4.5	5 053	7.8	772	1.9	2 496	6.3
Scioto.....	455	5.3	1 604	15.8	256	10.5	747	11.1	590	3.0	643	8.2
Seneca.....	950	3.0	8 838	5.5	952	3.0	5 700	7.5	1 165	1.4	3 908	5.5
Shelby.....	783	2.6	5 886	5.5	758	3.3	4 345	6.1	869	2.3	2 999	6.2
Stark.....	727	4.1	2 558	5.9	611	5.5	1 752	8.1	1 030	1.8	2 564	10.1
Summit.....	155	8.8	258	15.6	125	12.6	157	32.7	239	2.6	414	7.6
Trumbull.....	457	6.3	2 106	11.6	416	6.6	1 236	14.5	751	1.9	1 116	7.8
Tuscarawas.....	595	5.2	2 411	13.3	472	6.4	1 122	10.8	885	1.8	1 967	7.0
Union.....	537	4.4	5 423	5.4	546	4.1	3 881	5.8	702	2.5	2 741	4.0
Van Wert.....	621	2.4	7 686	3.5	573	2.8	4 942	8.1	677	1.7	3 292	4.1
Vinton.....	106	13.2	197	17.8	24	37.8	46	36.1	188	3.8	163	15.2
Warren.....	401	5.8	2 707	8.2	367	5.4	2 111	5.6	684	2.4	1 219	7.1
Washington.....	560	5.2	1 443	9.8	374	7.7	760	12.8	863	1.6	1 123	6.7
Wayne.....	1 076	3.6	5 890	7.1	981	4.0	3 341	6.5	1 487	1.7	4 471	6.0
Williams.....	512	4.3	4 745	5.6	544	4.1	3 350	4.9	805	3.0	2 169	5.2
Wood.....	864	3.0	10 066	3.8	881	2.8	6 897	4.7	976	1.9	3 827	3.9
Wyandot.....	516	3.7	6 635	4.1	480	4.4	4 333	5.7	583	2.2	2 611	3.5
Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	47 360	.7	54 824	.7	19 017	1.4	314 865	.8	4 587	3.2	19 117	3.0
Adams.....	756	5.6	517	11.8	561	6.7	1 010	14.8	172	15.5	365	27.1
Allen.....	707	4.4	564	6.9	180	13.7	1 047	12.4	72	27.0	95	24.7
Ashland.....	720	3.2	809	4.1	209	11.4	2 602	4.8	78	21.6	214	10.5
Ashtabula.....	729	4.2	794	5.6	342	9.3	4 192	9.3	87	21.8	377	32.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Athens.....	272	9.2	117	8.8	120	20.6	1 268	48.3	31	46.4	38	60.2
Auglaize.....	690	4.9	1 012	5.3	257	12.1	2 675	6.7	28	38.2	466	41.1
Belmont.....	465	5.6	213	11.5	124	17.5	670	40.9	34	45.2	71	74.5
Brown.....	847	4.6	541	7.7	453	8.6	1 351	16.6	96	22.1	177	19.5
Butler.....	563	5.7	551	9.4	227	13.0	1 954	22.1	46	34.6	130	59.1
Carroll.....	514	4.3	362	6.4	136	15.8	2 905	4.2	39	32.2	320	68.9
Champaign.....	637	3.5	856	4.9	275	9.1	2 917	7.6	47	23.7	261	34.0
Clark.....	499	5.6	851	3.6	181	12.8	10 720	2.2	34	33.6	127	27.3
Clermont.....	451	8.6	226	11.3	250	13.2	1 428	14.9	109	24.2	244	31.3
Clinton.....	487	6.4	565	5.1	225	11.8	2 194	12.8	66	29.3	139	8.3
Columbiana.....	647	5.0	875	6.2	317	9.8	4 584	8.0	79	24.4	506	14.8
Coshocton.....	617	4.7	441	5.6	214	13.0	2 895	2.7	50	30.6	100	24.9
Crawford.....	552	4.3	635	4.5	190	12.6	3 130	16.5	35	31.9	373	3.8
Cuyahoga.....	79	7.6	292	2.9	63	7.7	4 506	1.4	12	23.1	101	26.7
Darke.....	1 323	2.7	2 540	2.4	518	7.4	8 428	2.1	110	20.2	167	18.1
Defiance.....	567	5.8	545	12.5	174	15.8	583	9.2	47	39.1	82	33.2
Delaware.....	471	5.3	512	8.0	233	10.2	5 450	3.9	53	23.1	416	20.1
Erie.....	264	6.3	514	5.4	114	14.5	3 393	11.0	25	36.0	101	15.6
Fairfield.....	643	5.3	605	9.6	349	10.7	3 027	18.5	59	30.4	268	58.5
Fayette.....	386	6.9	651	4.3	244	9.3	2 537	4.4	29	27.9	109	28.5
Franklin.....	293	7.8	419	4.6	103	17.8	5 060	1.3	37	36.1	875	13.3
Fulton.....	652	3.9	911	5.2	230	10.3	3 885	1.9	40	32.1	77	15.8
Gallia.....	535	5.4	270	6.9	338	9.4	1 167	7.7	71	26.0	255	31.2
Geauga.....	420	6.7	388	8.6	190	12.4	1 960	12.5	57	24.4	284	13.1
Greene.....	533	5.4	631	5.5	210	11.5	3 542	1.3	85	25.2	112	22.5
Guernsey.....	503	6.0	200	10.5	121	22.3	346	32.8	45	39.5	57	63.5
Hamilton.....	193	10.4	222	6.7	91	18.6	3 994	12.5	31	42.7	159	16.3
Hancock.....	733	4.0	562	4.9	210	12.7	1 892	14.2	89	21.6	180	23.0
Hardin.....	515	6.4	828	4.3	197	14.0	4 165	4.4	47	34.1	626	7.2
Harrison.....	238	10.8	150	9.5	34	39.1	677	1.0	49	33.4	76	43.3
Henry.....	671	4.2	621	6.3	185	13.9	2 573	3.0	63	25.7	273	19.6
Highland.....	794	4.6	500	6.9	402	9.6	1 239	16.9	60	28.3	80	22.6
Hocking.....	215	9.6	96	26.7	103	18.3	108	74.2	7	73.2	3	64.1
Holmes.....	878	4.7	812	6.6	359	10.3	2 837	10.2	43	32.9	81	32.0
Huron.....	599	4.6	807	3.9	178	13.4	6 826	2.9	49	26.1	99	28.0
Jackson.....	289	8.0	553	4.4	147	16.3	(D)	(D)	11	82.7	11	32.9
Jefferson.....	274	7.0	143	13.9	78	25.9	547	38.1	17	56.9	46	48.1
Knox.....	749	4.4	716	6.6	272	11.7	3 075	9.3	55	29.6	349	15.1
Lake.....	166	9.4	543	4.1	128	11.1	29 402	.9	36	29.1	148	20.3
Lawrence.....	314	8.2	117	13.4	211	13.1	150	15.4	50	35.5	35	54.5
Licking.....	786	4.5	1 753	2.0	257	11.4	17 844	1.1	116	19.7	324	19.9
Logan.....	574	5.8	976	4.0	247	11.8	7 866	5.9	63	30.7	100	38.9
Lorain.....	522	6.0	1 139	3.3	244	10.3	15 814	2.0	57	29.3	697	2.5
Lucas.....	291	6.0	841	3.0	108	16.8	10 022	2.8	29	34.0	472	3.6
Madison.....	538	4.2	690	5.0	207	11.6	2 597	5.1	44	27.7	154	25.6
Mahoning.....	393	5.4	649	4.8	155	13.3	4 750	6.0	41	33.4	62	43.3
Marion.....	399	5.4	551	6.2	150	11.9	2 152	4.3	27	38.7	46	35.9
Medina.....	596	5.4	498	5.9	287	11.3	3 749	6.0	51	33.1	82	33.6
Meigs.....	316	6.7	228	21.5	149	15.1	2 376	13.9	26	35.9	33	14.5
Mercer.....	1 046	2.9	3 029	2.5	425	6.7	5 233	4.0	90	14.4	224	8.6
Miami.....	720	4.6	722	5.5	226	11.8	1 684	11.7	54	30.8	229	8.1
Monroe.....	397	6.6	235	12.6	162	13.6	383	29.9	40	33.9	32	52.8
Montgomery.....	595	4.9	541	6.3	233	13.0	4 171	6.9	82	24.5	149	28.4
Morgan.....	235	11.5	128	15.0	80	23.1	499	23.2	42	34.4	71	25.5
Morrow.....	489	5.6	448	7.7	214	13.1	1 525	8.5	14	29.7	73	5.7
Muskingum.....	666	4.1	393	8.5	179	16.2	906	3.2	32	34.8	260	6.1
Noble.....	364	5.9	97	9.9	67	24.7	113	10.2	43	32.3	101	68.4
Ottawa.....	340	7.4	353	7.7	152	14.1	2 454	11.5	31	37.9	215	4.3
Paulding.....	341	6.6	441	2.8	130	13.0	1 716	4.4	61	23.7	188	28.4
Perry.....	365	7.3	187	12.9	147	15.1	1 135	10.0	36	33.3	26	48.8
Pickaway.....	463	6.4	533	7.3	195	12.9	2 465	4.0	61	31.1	760	19.6
Pike.....	210	10.4	121	16.2	64	31.2	321	28.8	8	76.3	55	(H)
Portage.....	393	7.4	373	9.5	215	11.9	2 411	5.5	16	34.0	134	2.2
Prelble.....	750	4.1	760	7.3	277	10.1	1 544	4.6	72	26.3	127	37.1
Putnam.....	1 024	3.3	1 161	4.4	298	10.7	3 934	6.0	79	23.6	371	24.2
Richland.....	649	4.7	645	8.8	181	14.8	2 231	3.0	58	28.3	156	43.2
Ross.....	507	6.7	438	8.7	230	13.4	1 524	8.9	68	26.8	535	5.4
Sandusky.....	616	4.7	727	5.7	139	16.1	4 831	1.4	45	35.0	724	3.9
Scioto.....	383	8.4	173	6.4	163	15.4	574	6.1	43	36.1	105	46.5
Seneca.....	975	3.4	875	5.5	290	11.0	2 419	15.7	27	38.1	664	1.7
Shelby.....	706	4.2	951	6.2	249	10.5	1 876	6.6	55	28.4	156	36.5
Stark.....	822	4.2	1 200	4.7	372	9.0	9 230	5.1	99	21.5	214	20.8
Summit.....	151	11.2	130	14.0	83	20.0	1 916	34.7	26	44.0	98	37.1
Trumbull.....	508	5.9	602	14.5	194	12.8	1 578	10.7	82	24.8	126	31.3
Tuscarawas.....	587	5.6	954	11.2	262	10.9	4 508	9.5	35	32.6	108	21.7
Union.....	522	5.5	751	4.8	254	10.8	5 426	1.9	62	26.6	330	52.1
Van Wert.....	525	4.8	587	6.5	160	13.5	1 370	3.8	38	34.2	410	4.8
Vinton.....	78	15.5	32	25.1	44	24.9	(D)	(D)	5	61.4	15	10.6
Warren.....	475	5.6	423	11.3	286	10.5	3 102	2.8	72	20.7	143	12.9
Washington.....	620	5.0	400	7.3	231	12.4	1 399	12.6	74	28.7	140	47.1

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne .....	1 233	3.1	2 109	3.5	679	6.7	10 118	4.7	55	20.3	271	22.1
Williams .....	582	5.6	587	6.7	187	14.2	1 173	5.1	37	29.0	92	8.2
Wood .....	703	4.9	856	5.9	261	10.5	6 001	9.0	88	21.0	252	13.3
Wyandot .....	455	5.5	464	4.4	141	11.6	2 166	2.6	23	34.4	518	2.2
Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.												
Geographic area	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	57 400	.6	258 610	.8	23 037	1.3	54 657	1.8	28 926	1.1	238 538	1.1
Adams .....	1 014	3.6	2 353	9.0	332	9.6	363	14.3	450	8.6	1 573	14.1
Allen .....	737	3.4	3 250	8.5	413	8.5	882	14.4	439	7.8	3 751	14.6
Ashland .....	866	1.9	3 937	4.3	334	9.7	588	14.0	469	7.4	3 395	10.2
Ashtabula .....	844	2.7	2 583	6.4	222	12.9	426	9.9	325	10.4	1 841	8.0
Athens .....	384	4.0	613	7.6	60	26.9	30	17.8	155	15.3	704	22.8
Auglaize .....	793	3.1	4 193	4.8	502	7.3	1 840	21.7	472	7.6	4 010	7.1
Belmont .....	518	4.3	1 010	9.9	111	20.1	175	39.6	210	11.5	660	15.6
Brown .....	1 080	3.5	2 781	7.3	397	9.7	740	22.8	553	7.9	2 461	11.0
Butler .....	738	3.2	2 733	8.2	258	12.1	266	15.1	261	12.4	2 082	11.3
Carroll .....	575	3.8	2 303	7.3	146	15.8	381	39.1	210	12.0	926	16.2
Champaign .....	750	2.6	3 967	6.0	343	8.1	1 184	10.5	451	6.1	4 119	6.4
Clark .....	582	4.1	3 760	7.1	266	10.1	925	9.9	355	7.6	4 118	6.1
Clermont .....	581	5.1	1 391	15.6	182	17.1	157	19.4	199	17.6	1 160	20.2
Clinton .....	648	3.5	3 972	6.5	387	9.1	1 519	20.2	331	9.2	3 986	7.0
Columbiana .....	817	3.6	3 030	6.2	297	12.2	532	13.0	398	9.7	2 532	8.4
Coshcotton .....	776	3.0	2 722	8.6	269	11.5	505	15.2	382	8.6	2 653	11.3
Crawford .....	671	2.0	4 174	5.0	253	10.9	647	8.6	363	7.8	4 198	6.4
Cuyahoga .....	95	3.4	864	3.1	14	22.5	(D)	(D)	42	8.8	344	6.1
Darke .....	1 482	2.1	9 395	3.3	713	6.1	1 610	8.9	937	4.6	9 230	5.3
Defiance .....	720	3.4	3 037	8.0	248	13.8	466	27.5	373	9.8	2 652	8.3
Delaware .....	554	3.4	2 496	6.0	258	10.2	600	5.7	297	8.9	2 645	10.5
Erie .....	347	3.4	2 104	5.1	151	12.9	361	14.1	166	11.1	1 474	9.9
Fairfield .....	837	3.7	3 656	8.8	304	10.1	710	15.4	358	9.3	2 977	10.6
Fayette .....	392	5.8	3 434	4.2	190	11.2	1 045	9.5	323	8.2	4 234	6.1
Franklin .....	339	6.2	2 048	5.8	99	18.4	334	22.7	152	14.3	1 590	7.6
Fulton .....	702	3.5	3 934	5.3	401	8.1	1 358	9.5	470	6.3	4 996	6.4
Gallia .....	595	4.5	1 357	7.5	205	12.9	225	20.1	292	10.8	1 033	11.8
Geauga .....	501	4.9	1 360	10.9	164	14.2	247	15.0	244	11.4	1 239	15.5
Greene .....	643	3.5	3 201	6.6	298	10.7	939	12.0	287	10.2	2 918	9.5
Guernsey .....	624	4.9	1 274	16.5	200	17.0	102	23.0	225	14.5	556	16.7
Hamilton .....	278	4.2	917	15.4	27	48.7	29	3.7	83	20.2	553	13.8
Hancock .....	816	3.5	4 269	4.9	468	7.8	915	11.5	516	6.3	4 926	7.7
Hardin .....	644	4.3	4 263	5.5	348	10.2	804	10.5	429	8.1	4 448	8.9
Harrison .....	322	6.8	675	11.8	44	35.9	93	64.6	84	25.0	507	40.1
Henry .....	780	2.9	3 676	6.8	380	9.2	943	10.4	497	6.5	3 702	9.1
Highland .....	1 036	3.0	3 283	9.4	309	11.4	778	18.9	596	7.0	3 939	8.1
Hocking .....	299	5.0	579	17.5	83	19.6	280	39.1	99	17.4	711	28.7
Holmes .....	1 187	2.5	4 369	7.5	552	7.3	1 009	8.1	597	6.9	3 721	8.3
Huron .....	667	3.1	4 449	3.4	304	8.7	846	11.7	377	7.4	3 837	5.3
Jackson .....	346	4.9	935	11.0	96	21.7	151	37.3	129	16.8	710	27.0
Jefferson .....	370	4.4	711	13.5	82	26.7	65	26.6	156	13.4	526	26.8
Knox .....	924	3.2	4 046	7.5	319	9.8	871	13.4	496	7.4	3 257	8.7
Lake .....	189	8.2	1 583	2.6	41	23.1	255	.5	72	14.3	2 228	1.9
Lawrence .....	382	6.1	376	11.7	92	22.2	60	24.4	118	20.3	383	29.5
Licking .....	1 054	2.4	8 428	2.7	333	9.8	1 085	8.4	426	8.6	2 901	7.9
Logan .....	654	4.8	3 952	4.4	363	10.3	1 262	8.9	410	9.0	4 791	7.4
Lorain .....	715	2.6	4 767	3.4	220	11.4	385	6.7	309	9.4	4 286	4.1
Lucas .....	339	5.0	2 585	3.6	179	12.9	1 041	3.6	182	11.1	1 661	7.5
Madison .....	596	3.2	3 924	5.8	378	7.8	1 267	7.9	367	7.5	4 885	6.0
Mahoning .....	464	4.1	1 840	5.8	194	12.0	405	12.8	230	11.2	1 207	9.1
Marion .....	481	2.7	3 409	4.5	206	11.0	811	11.3	316	7.0	4 300	4.4
Medina .....	748	2.7	2 514	7.4	307	10.7	743	19.8	245	11.8	1 892	10.9
Meigs .....	434	3.6	802	11.0	125	17.5	79	21.0	131	16.3	694	19.1
Mercer .....	1 154	2.0	7 926	2.6	639	5.9	1 649	7.1	677	5.0	8 025	4.1
Miami .....	756	4.2	3 944	6.4	398	9.3	808	13.1	429	7.5	3 763	10.2
Monroe .....	476	5.0	1 137	10.3	138	17.1	75	18.8	163	14.7	397	20.3
Montgomery .....	658	3.4	2 276	11.3	299	9.6	593	18.3	250	11.1	1 970	14.9
Morgan .....	411	5.1	678	7.2	103	21.5	46	22.8	140	16.2	501	16.6
Morrow .....	617	4.2	2 265	4.8	228	11.7	533	10.8	278	10.6	2 236	10.2
Muskingum .....	818	3.9	1 856	7.0	272	13.0	428	22.7	233	12.7	1 318	23.6
Noble .....	421	4.9	580	12.1	65	25.1	71	67.5	146	15.6	433	25.4
Ottawa .....	442	3.4	1 793	6.3	200	15.3	368	26.9	203	14.0	1 750	13.7
Paudling .....	414	4.4	2 869	5.2	165	12.3	450	12.2	277	8.2	3 074	6.2
Perry .....	458	4.8	1 454	14.5	173	13.1	259	25.1	218	11.9	943	18.1

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Pickaway .....	543	5.2	4 601	4.6	266	11.6	954	11.4	331	8.0	3 778	7.2
Pike .....	367	5.2	2 857	12.2	90	23.7	121	38.1	118	22.0	550	22.5
Portage .....	578	4.0	2 285	7.7	170	14.3	415	25.7	212	12.1	1 533	12.9
Pueblo .....	846	2.8	3 941	5.7	358	9.5	579	11.8	475	7.1	4 434	16.6
Putnam .....	1 178	2.2	5 598	5.7	666	6.3	1 197	13.2	694	5.9	6 185	8.8
Richland .....	712	4.2	3 231	7.5	239	14.2	421	15.4	402	8.0	2 753	16.4
Ross .....	702	4.0	2 876	5.7	208	15.4	437	15.2	393	9.0	2 782	6.8
Sandusky .....	729	2.3	3 597	9.1	303	10.4	1 258	12.5	435	7.6	3 494	8.0
Scioto .....	481	5.7	1 061	9.9	131	17.6	249	27.7	188	14.1	788	18.8
Seneca .....	1 080	2.2	5 429	5.1	503	8.1	910	11.3	587	6.5	5 534	7.4
Shelby .....	799	3.4	4 065	6.6	349	9.4	626	11.7	457	6.4	3 887	12.1
Stark .....	962	2.7	4 029	5.9	280	12.2	585	11.2	390	8.8	3 347	12.6
Summit .....	188	6.2	949	20.9	40	29.9	68	45.9	76	22.4	488	49.1
Trumbull .....	676	3.4	2 455	20.5	221	13.6	434	15.5	271	10.4	1 885	19.1
Tuscarawas .....	780	3.4	3 073	7.2	302	10.8	840	10.4	314	9.4	2 094	8.0
Union .....	640	3.8	3 514	4.6	293	10.9	860	10.6	332	8.8	3 519	9.7
Van Wert .....	606	3.2	3 492	6.0	297	9.3	585	13.5	395	7.0	4 403	9.7
Vinton .....	172	6.1	247	23.2	23	35.0	(D)	(D)	68	19.2	146	31.5
Warren .....	576	4.2	2 101	6.3	212	13.5	617	17.5	261	10.1	2 126	12.8
Washington .....	716	3.9	1 774	6.4	208	14.3	260	18.3	312	10.3	1 187	15.9
Wayne .....	1 396	2.2	8 691	3.6	750	5.7	2 055	10.8	746	5.5	7 477	6.6
Williams .....	711	3.5	2 791	5.4	344	9.1	779	12.8	571	5.6	3 658	5.5
Wood .....	881	3.0	4 755	5.0	410	8.3	1 178	12.1	487	6.9	4 526	6.0
Wyandot .....	526	3.6	3 127	4.2	227	11.4	557	8.5	343	7.2	3 428	7.8
Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	18 757	1.3	273 619	1.1	63 031	.5	103 622	.8	61 699	.5	321 371	.7
Adams .....	181	14.8	775	27.4	1 199	2.0	1 029	8.8	1 098	3.0	2 548	13.6
Aiken .....	323	8.3	4 821	11.0	803	3.0	1 467	9.3	834	2.5	2 840	8.0
Ashland .....	349	7.4	2 545	7.0	897	1.5	1 397	3.9	886	1.7	3 761	3.1
Ashland .....	207	13.1	749	10.8	958	1.6	1 304	4.1	901	2.4	4 149	6.9
Athens .....	52	32.0	50	36.6	463	2.6	723	16.0	406	4.4	975	41.2
Auglaize .....	377	8.1	4 794	10.2	881	2.8	1 590	6.8	905	2.0	4 959	3.8
Belmont .....	103	18.5	436	28.8	588	2.4	581	6.1	516	4.0	914	8.8
Brown .....	244	10.6	2 152	8.8	1 287	1.7	1 594	12.3	1 219	2.5	2 706	6.4
Butler .....	238	12.8	2 877	12.2	790	2.5	1 424	5.8	766	3.1	2 608	7.6
Carroll .....	150	13.6	512	13.7	638	2.3	656	6.3	576	3.5	2 073	6.5
Champaign .....	288	8.5	6 444	6.7	749	2.3	1 433	5.7	762	2.4	5 366	5.5
Clark .....	246	10.2	5 874	5.8	600	3.4	1 431	7.1	591	3.5	6 202	4.4
Clermont .....	130	20.5	882	22.3	709	2.6	825	7.7	634	4.2	1 217	8.3
Clinton .....	268	10.8	5 974	8.9	652	3.7	1 337	5.7	679	3.2	3 754	7.0
Columbiana .....	269	11.8	1 029	11.6	926	2.3	1 287	8.4	871	2.9	3 761	5.4
Coshocton .....	220	12.2	1 510	13.4	825	1.9	1 064	6.0	776	2.7	3 242	4.0
Crawford .....	321	7.4	8 107	4.8	633	3.0	1 431	9.7	684	1.6	3 603	7.2
Cuyahoga .....	20	24.6	75	16.4	112	3.0	401	9.5	112	2.6	2 283	1.8
Darke .....	546	6.9	8 989	6.4	1 545	2.0	2 957	5.9	1 577	1.6	10 950	3.2
Defiance .....	195	15.6	1 927	15.9	793	2.9	1 156	8.0	784	2.5	2 642	8.8
Delaware .....	200	11.8	4 387	5.2	596	2.3	1 133	5.3	595	2.3	3 106	5.4
Erie .....	162	10.6	2 498	7.3	353	2.9	762	5.5	348	2.7	2 591	7.0
Fairfield .....	237	10.9	3 261	9.0	935	2.4	1 356	6.1	949	2.1	3 674	5.1
Fayette .....	208	10.6	7 042	4.6	429	4.6	1 194	5.0	482	3.2	4 226	2.6
Franklin .....	118	16.5	1 786	7.7	372	3.9	791	9.3	388	3.1	2 959	3.6
Fulton .....	341	8.4	8 944	5.3	718	3.1	1 512	5.5	757	2.2	5 153	4.1
Gallia .....	116	18.0	507	28.3	750	1.7	571	5.6	680	3.1	1 434	6.0
Geauga .....	128	16.1	405	16.6	629	1.9	1 068	5.2	568	3.7	2 067	5.4
Greene .....	224	11.5	6 114	7.5	648	3.9	1 298	6.7	666	2.9	3 799	15.7
Guerney .....	109	22.2	297	48.2	759	2.5	837	5.5	721	2.7	966	17.1
Hamilton .....	25	32.9	536	13.8	289	3.0	560	10.3	258	6.5	1 239	12.6
Hancock .....	341	8.1	7 540	6.7	918	2.2	1 926	6.4	924	1.8	4 139	5.8
Hardin .....	286	9.1	6 730	8.3	696	4.1	1 430	7.9	744	3.0	4 843	4.8
Harrison .....	90	20.6	362	10.2	404	3.1	447	10.1	360	4.2	722	9.0
Henry .....	352	8.0	7 932	6.9	781	2.8	1 754	12.7	844	1.6	3 809	5.6
Highland .....	258	10.7	2 865	8.6	1 110	2.3	1 300	5.8	1 082	2.2	2 972	6.7
Hocking .....	53	21.5	39	29.3	341	2.6	405	8.6	277	6.5	313	13.2
Holmes .....	331	10.9	1 785	14.1	1 323	1.7	2 497	3.7	1 315	1.6	5 588	9.3
Huron .....	267	9.4	5 504	8.2	722	2.3	1 252	4.5	735	2.5	5 955	3.8
Jackson .....	37	29.7	205	33.5	400	1.7	468	7.5	348	3.7	1 759	3.7
Jefferson .....	53	28.1	59	30.3	412	.8	340	8.0	354	4.4	652	14.9
Knox .....	296	9.1	3 167	10.5	1 029	2.1	1 743	5.7	987	2.4	4 085	7.8
Lake .....	28	21.1	784	1.6	269	1.8	637	5.4	253	2.1	10 843	1.1
Lawrence .....	34	40.4	23	46.7	469	2.7	341	9.1	419	4.0	505	12.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Licking.....	289	9.8	3 704	5.6	1 126	2.0	2 300	4.2	1 115	1.9	17 134	1.1
Logan.....	243	12.9	4 009	3.1	860	2.0	1 541	6.1	759	3.0	4 825	5.7
Lorain.....	245	11.7	2 339	10.0	726	2.6	1 791	6.1	692	3.2	11 406	1.3
Lucas.....	159	7.3	3 802	9.8	333	4.6	789	6.3	355	3.6	5 836	3.6
Madison.....	262	9.8	7 175	7.6	572	3.6	1 701	5.8	619	2.2	5 006	3.5
Mahoning.....	140	15.5	1 037	14.7	512	2.6	727	4.9	485	2.9	3 553	6.1
Marion.....	228	9.2	7 321	4.3	507	2.2	1 514	3.1	519	1.6	3 351	5.9
Medina.....	176	15.6	1 308	14.9	835	1.5	1 501	5.1	747	3.2	3 886	10.6
Meigs.....	80	22.2	257	17.9	482	1.7	454	6.4	424	4.0	904	9.7
Mercer.....	450	7.4	6 205	6.7	1 177	1.8	2 788	4.3	1 141	1.7	11 405	2.8
Miami.....	283	8.9	4 376	10.6	842	3.2	1 389	4.8	849	3.0	3 980	5.5
Monroe.....	68	22.9	67	26.5	572	1.7	441	6.5	552	2.5	1 076	15.2
Montgomery.....	206	12.4	2 869	12.4	687	3.1	1 459	6.4	686	2.8	2 069	6.4
Morgan.....	96	18.1	142	15.4	466	3.3	323	6.5	466	3.0	827	6.9
Morrow.....	189	11.8	3 211	9.1	699	2.5	1 010	6.0	710	2.5	2 389	7.6
Muskingum.....	163	16.3	603	13.5	954	2.0	985	4.3	920	2.1	2 395	8.3
Noble.....	113	18.4	136	31.8	491	2.4	374	6.2	464	3.1	457	16.6
Ottawa.....	183	13.0	3 892	10.8	433	3.9	818	7.1	441	3.6	1 778	8.2
Paulding.....	122	13.2	2 071	9.2	515	2.0	896	7.1	512	2.3	2 802	4.4
Perry.....	86	18.4	758	18.3	575	1.7	675	6.3	530	3.3	1 312	12.8
Pickaway.....	229	11.8	6 482	3.4	606	3.9	1 411	7.2	634	3.2	4 248	2.4
Pike.....	50	34.5	342	38.9	416	2.7	440	9.3	319	6.3	684	13.1
Portage.....	161	12.7	769	10.4	680	2.1	1 145	6.1	644	2.5	2 543	5.8
Preble.....	189	12.5	4 657	9.1	910	2.4	1 555	5.9	856	2.5	3 529	4.0
Putnam.....	509	7.1	6 486	7.1	1 188	2.3	1 705	5.4	1 212	1.8	5 670	7.0
Richland.....	169	14.7	2 569	16.4	841	2.5	1 261	6.4	832	2.7	3 199	7.9
Ross.....	205	12.5	4 520	7.5	837	2.1	1 046	7.1	776	3.0	3 207	5.6
Sandusky.....	313	8.9	7 126	8.6	713	2.9	1 191	6.4	760	1.6	3 708	5.7
Scioto.....	106	17.9	435	15.0	613	1.7	704	14.2	518	4.2	1 115	13.0
Seneca.....	420	7.6	7 409	10.3	1 009	3.2	1 701	7.0	1 161	1.5	4 757	5.6
Shelby.....	358	8.1	5 022	6.9	907	2.2	1 341	4.5	893	2.2	4 212	5.4
Stark.....	334	8.7	1 910	5.8	997	2.1	1 541	5.0	945	2.8	5 995	3.5
Summit.....	61	18.1	150	22.8	215	5.5	481	8.8	219	4.2	681	7.3
Trumbull.....	207	12.0	1 007	17.8	738	2.2	1 049	6.8	720	2.7	2 304	9.5
Tuscarawas.....	230	11.9	1 506	20.5	870	2.0	1 259	8.5	822	2.8	4 497	6.4
Union.....	280	8.6	5 007	4.8	743	2.7	1 483	5.8	728	2.3	3 754	2.8
Van Wert.....	236	10.7	4 010	9.0	638	2.6	1 523	6.4	667	2.1	4 413	6.0
Vinton.....	15	49.2	43	28.3	179	3.7	176	11.6	161	7.1	163	13.6
Warren.....	172	13.5	2 336	8.8	656	3.2	1 199	8.4	673	2.4	2 330	10.2
Washington.....	182	13.4	371	11.7	877	1.4	854	6.0	750	3.4	2 201	8.5
Wayne.....	591	6.9	4 670	7.9	1 422	2.2	2 623	4.8	1 535	1.1	12 696	3.7
Williams.....	265	9.7	3 936	9.7	829	2.5	1 153	4.8	803	2.7	2 902	5.5
Wood.....	416	7.3	8 030	4.6	876	2.6	1 781	5.6	941	2.2	5 069	4.1
Wyandot.....	257	9.0	6 252	6.0	507	4.7	816	4.7	513	4.0	3 151	3.9
Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup>				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Ohio.....	.4	1 039 324	1.0	63 669	.4	11 340 967	.3	58 048	.4	9 900 570	.3
Adams.....	1 315	.6	5 810	18.1	1 266	.5	110 346	1.0	1 154	.6	59 014	1.2
Allen.....	917	.6	13 180	7.8	853	.5	172 737	.9	799	.5	166 576	.9
Ashland.....	928	.6	9 079	11.1	864	.5	128 036	.9	772	.6	108 172	1.0
Ashtabula.....	991	.6	4 571	15.6	955	.5	102 903	1.0	871	.6	72 153	1.1
Athens.....	481	.9	-472	94.4	456	.6	39 369	1.4	412	.8	19 910	1.9
Auglaize.....	1 000	.6	18 056	6.3	931	.5	195 112	.8	854	.5	182 151	.8
Belmont.....	625	.7	1 358	32.6	587	.5	65 322	1.0	547	.6	40 988	1.2
Brown.....	1 378	.6	8 129	13.7	1 314	.6	144 283	1.0	1 207	.6	106 546	1.2
Butler.....	849	.7	6 301	13.5	778	.6	107 613	1.2	686	.8	90 330	1.2
Carroll.....	685	.7	4 252	11.6	646	.5	67 988	1.0	579	.6	47 612	1.1
Champaign.....	837	.6	15 911	6.1	726	.6	196 118	.7	661	.7	183 300	.8
Clark.....	671	.6	19 467	6.2	616	.6	153 899	.8	561	.7	142 470	.8
Clermont.....	744	.7	1 997	39.0	688	.7	65 699	1.6	617	.8	52 645	1.9
Clinton.....	760	.8	16 665	6.2	718	.6	201 176	.9	658	.7	186 548	.9
Columbiana.....	979	.6	9 134	14.2	909	.6	98 720	.9	828	.6	79 886	1.0
Coshocton.....	865	.6	3 063	23.8	798	.5	104 165	.8	707	.6	70 174	1.0
Crawford.....	711	.6	16 885	7.0	662	.5	206 957	.6	614	.6	199 653	.6
Cuyahoga.....	118	1.7	4 301	3.2	102	1.3	2 707	5.0	85	1.9	1 286	5.4
Darke.....	1 728	.5	26 915	7.1	1 608	.4	302 082	.6	1 539	.4	291 079	.6
Defiance.....	861	.6	13 369	8.6	816	.5	165 673	.9	707	.7	151 319	1.0
Delaware.....	628	.6	9 318	9.8	578	.6	144 511	.9	526	.7	135 010	.9
Erie.....	380	.8	9 039	6.8	337	.6	80 856	1.0	312	.7	77 229	1.1
Fairfield.....	1 025	.7	14 571	8.9	937	.6	161 831	1.0	820	.7	138 789	1.1
Fayette.....	519	.6	23 112	4.4	478	.5	223 869	.6	435	.6	210 603	.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup>				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Franklin .....	406	.8	12 973	6.6	370	.7	68 796	1.2	337	.9	61 612	1.3
Fulton .....	795	.8	16 179	5.1	739	.7	184 853	.8	696	.8	178 842	.8
Gallia .....	776	.7	2 312	23.3	723	.7	53 220	1.3	661	.7	25 617	1.4
Geauga .....	663	.8	3 068	22.9	582	.7	38 206	1.7	531	.8	27 453	1.9
Greene .....	764	.7	14 043	8.5	716	.5	155 339	.8	636	.6	142 808	.8
Guernsey .....	803	.8	425	(H)	755	.6	70 185	1.1	695	.7	38 066	1.4
Hamilton .....	302	.8	3 438	12.9	260	.8	20 645	1.8	228	1.1	15 104	2.1
Hancock .....	979	.6	27 834	4.0	934	.5	258 811	.8	917	.6	252 910	.8
Hardin .....	837	.8	37 547	3.4	786	.7	224 509	.9	707	.8	206 759	1.0
Harrison .....	423	.8	905	27.7	394	.7	52 782	1.3	364	.8	31 943	1.4
Henry .....	872	.5	24 589	5.6	840	.4	229 793	.6	829	.4	226 761	.6
Highland .....	1 239	.6	10 639	15.8	1 170	.5	190 143	.9	972	.7	141 524	1.0
Hocking .....	353	.9	—366	(H)	323	.7	23 032	1.7	280	.9	13 265	2.5
Holmes .....	1 403	.6	15 057	9.8	1 281	.5	112 711	.8	1 173	.6	84 861	.9
Huron .....	782	.7	22 188	4.4	732	.6	202 788	.7	665	.7	188 859	.8
Jackson .....	408	.7	2 547	12.3	375	.6	42 972	1.4	339	.7	22 411	1.9
Jefferson .....	412	.8	612	96.5	389	.7	39 782	1.5	355	.8	24 532	1.7
Knox .....	1 103	.6	12 199	7.3	1 016	.6	158 522	1.0	916	.7	130 632	1.1
Lake .....	276	.8	17 693	1.7	264	.5	13 081	1.6	240	.8	10 113	1.8
Lawrence .....	490	1.0	—199	(H)	447	.9	23 065	1.6	394	1.0	9 762	2.1
Licking .....	1 218	.5	17 579	6.2	1 114	.4	183 912	.7	1 000	.5	154 313	.8
Logan .....	894	.6	16 311	9.3	820	.6	188 214	.8	692	.7	163 489	.8
Lorain .....	777	.6	14 682	6.1	719	.5	109 918	.9	671	.6	97 720	.9
Lucas .....	385	.7	12 520	4.2	361	.6	75 312	1.1	332	.7	72 880	1.1
Madison .....	667	.7	27 627	6.0	617	.6	238 360	.6	574	.7	226 996	.7
Mahoning .....	541	.7	5 210	14.5	508	.5	56 414	1.1	458	.6	46 712	1.2
Marion .....	543	.8	16 697	5.9	492	.6	207 030	.7	460	.7	199 980	.7
Medina .....	851	.7	6 443	18.0	787	.6	81 152	1.0	708	.7	69 598	1.1
Meigs .....	491	.7	3 576	24.7	476	.4	38 605	1.4	437	.6	21 077	1.8
Mercer .....	1 254	.5	51 114	3.7	1 117	.4	236 888	.6	1 088	.5	228 175	.6
Miami .....	985	.6	20 852	6.6	908	.5	174 265	.9	855	.6	166 773	.9
Monroe .....	590	.7	1 027	59.7	549	.4	47 555	1.1	509	.5	23 563	1.3
Montgomery .....	760	.6	7 915	9.0	701	.5	93 117	1.2	656	.6	85 468	1.2
Morgan .....	501	.8	772	44.2	461	.6	42 285	1.2	432	.7	22 337	1.1
Morrow .....	758	.7	9 893	5.7	681	.6	132 124	.9	576	.7	119 652	.9
Muskingum .....	1 018	.5	1 669	38.2	950	.4	102 596	.8	869	.5	64 629	.9
Noble .....	521	.7	—904	45.2	488	.5	51 185	1.1	455	.6	21 922	1.0
Ottawa .....	474	.8	6 112	11.0	451	.5	97 255	.9	427	.6	91 848	.9
Paulding .....	542	.7	19 465	4.2	523	.5	195 568	.7	497	.6	186 926	.8
Perry .....	606	.8	2 271	25.7	572	.7	62 971	1.2	512	.8	45 623	1.4
Pickaway .....	702	.6	24 760	4.1	647	.5	240 818	.6	574	.6	223 117	.6
Pike .....	434	.9	352	(H)	405	.7	43 544	1.4	333	1.0	24 215	1.8
Portage .....	717	.8	1 855	32.5	657	.6	62 010	1.3	602	.6	49 417	1.4
Preble .....	977	.6	19 865	10.3	887	.5	172 108	.7	816	.5	162 658	.8
Putnam .....	1 352	.5	25 625	5.7	1 294	.4	275 137	.6	1 279	.4	265 704	.6
Richland .....	907	.7	11 382	11.8	858	.6	120 471	1.1	735	.8	102 027	1.2
Ross .....	885	.8	12 707	8.7	823	.6	183 980	.8	680	.8	145 749	.9
Sandusky .....	796	.6	14 296	10.8	741	.5	185 638	.7	710	.5	177 505	.7
Scioto .....	630	.7	2 786	29.3	578	.7	58 539	1.1	504	.8	38 722	1.4
Seneca .....	1 209	.6	23 518	6.8	1 136	.6	261 278	.8	1 080	.6	247 550	.8
Shelby .....	991	.6	16 320	8.9	921	.5	181 199	.7	847	.5	172 053	.8
Stark .....	1 085	.6	8 361	9.8	999	.5	106 899	.8	908	.6	90 826	.9
Summit .....	250	1.1	991	38.2	227	.8	11 308	2.4	200	1.1	7 344	3.1
Trumbull .....	785	.7	2 374	41.1	745	.5	82 052	1.1	695	.5	64 424	1.3
Tuscarawas .....	921	.8	12 743	6.0	846	.7	90 194	1.2	780	.7	63 674	1.3
Union .....	810	.6	20 314	8.7	753	.5	185 126	.7	655	.6	170 628	.8
Van Wert .....	707	.5	26 159	6.2	668	.3	224 940	.6	652	.4	221 436	.6
Vinton .....	202	1.2	—234	53.8	192	.7	19 618	2.0	168	1.0	10 632	1.8
Warren .....	740	.6	4 728	17.4	657	.6	94 343	.8	563	.7	82 454	.9
Washington .....	902	.6	2 716	27.0	829	.5	72 096	.9	770	.6	42 236	1.0
Wayne .....	1 600	.6	33 647	6.0	1 411	.5	195 298	.7	1 305	.6	172 504	.8
Williams .....	909	.7	10 629	6.9	845	.7	175 237	.9	636	.9	146 595	1.0
Wood .....	1 015	.7	28 279	4.9	971	.6	288 322	.7	942	.6	281 220	.7
Wyandot .....	608	.7	16 624	5.7	565	.5	190 879	.8	520	.6	180 922	.8
Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	1 778	.8	33 997	.5	28 244	.4	1 282 546	.4	17 060	.5	293 570	.5
Adams .....	12	9.4	91	19.5	719	.9	25 966	1.3	602	1.0	11 871	1.4
Allen .....	7	9.1	(D)	(D)	158	2.0	7 196	3.9	62	3.4	709	5.8
Ashland .....	15	7.6	56	6.0	492	1.0	29 424	1.1	200	2.0	2 934	3.3
Ashtabula .....	36	4.8	371	7.6	460	1.1	20 632	1.2	216	1.8	1 776	2.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Athens.....	17	7.6	45	9.4	307	1.1	10 161	1.8	239	1.4	4 379	2.1
Auglaize.....	3	14.8	(D)	(D)	299	1.4	19 570	1.3	60	3.6	698	5.3
Belmont.....	7	11.5	13	7.2	483	.8	23 569	1.2	386	1.0	11 032	1.5
Brown.....	19	6.6	75	13.1	608	1.1	17 620	1.6	502	1.2	7 950	1.7
Butler.....	28	6.3	159	14.3	442	1.2	20 935	1.5	328	1.5	7 800	1.6
Carroll.....	11	8.3	43	4.4	435	.9	17 809	1.5	300	1.3	4 955	2.3
Champaign.....	12	8.0	(D)	(D)	302	1.4	15 550	1.9	167	2.1	2 510	3.4
Clark.....	25	5.4	2 305	1.0	218	1.7	9 953	1.8	139	2.3	2 731	4.2
Clermont.....	25	6.7	67	17.1	280	1.6	6 419	2.6	229	1.8	2 981	2.8
Clinton.....	7	10.4	54	1.3	188	2.1	6 122	2.8	143	2.5	2 457	3.5
Columbiana.....	41	4.5	581	1.2	566	.9	27 265	1.1	299	1.5	4 164	1.8
Coshocton.....	6	9.0	(D)	(D)	525	.8	24 329	1.1	345	1.2	7 423	1.5
Crawford.....	5	10.2	15	10.8	190	1.8	9 420	2.0	59	3.7	828	4.1
Cuyahoga.....	43	3.4	160	4.6	7	12.0	160	20.2	7	12.0	93	22.9
Darke.....	18	6.7	452	19.7	473	1.1	27 774	1.2	168	2.0	1 796	3.0
Defiance.....	6	13.7	6	13.7	132	2.5	10 022	1.6	43	4.6	370	7.2
Delaware.....	32	5.0	343	3.9	138	2.3	5 879	3.0	64	3.5	755	3.1
Erie.....	15	5.5	262	2.0	98	2.3	4 239	3.0	40	4.1	540	6.3
Fairfield.....	13	9.5	34	7.9	444	1.2	17 018	2.1	296	1.6	5 161	2.7
Fayette.....	5	14.9	13	14.4	151	1.9	6 561	2.8	108	2.4	2 427	2.8
Franklin.....	43	4.1	270	6.0	69	3.2	2 516	3.5	34	4.9	535	3.8
Fulton.....	21	5.5	356	4.6	183	2.1	18 932	1.7	46	4.5	522	6.0
Gallia.....	9	11.4	18	14.1	516	1.0	22 432	1.9	440	1.1	9 688	1.5
Geauga.....	58	3.9	192	5.9	280	1.5	8 187	2.3	87	3.2	757	6.0
Greene.....	23	5.1	582	1.8	276	1.5	9 287	2.1	210	1.8	3 495	2.6
Guernsey.....	9	8.8	28	14.4	604	.8	22 189	1.2	512	.9	9 785	1.4
Hamilton.....	66	2.8	739	4.9	94	2.6	2 277	3.3	71	3.2	844	4.3
Hancock.....	8	9.9	50	6.5	144	2.3	4 125	2.0	31	5.4	278	5.7
Hardin.....	5	12.6	(D)	(D)	192	2.2	9 244	2.1	73	3.9	1 259	4.2
Harrison.....	-	-	-	-	314	1.0	15 530	1.3	255	1.3	6 187	1.8
Henry.....	12	7.9	862	5.4	118	2.4	5 918	2.7	39	4.3	454	5.8
Highland.....	21	7.2	142	3.2	547	1.1	25 135	1.3	422	1.3	8 254	1.9
Hocking.....	5	15.1	20	25.0	191	1.5	4 156	2.2	168	1.6	2 369	2.6
Holmes.....	24	6.0	60	7.1	1 059	.6	47 789	.8	293	1.6	4 176	2.6
Huron.....	26	5.0	3 351	.5	226	1.8	9 218	2.4	89	3.0	1 043	3.6
Jackson.....	7	7.6	16	12.4	272	1.0	12 656	1.7	228	1.2	5 946	2.0
Jefferson.....	5	16.4	(D)	(D)	298	1.1	10 939	1.8	250	1.3	4 511	2.0
Knox.....	11	7.9	63	16.3	563	1.1	26 423	2.1	349	1.5	6 024	2.4
Lake.....	96	2.1	3 544	.9	37	4.2	722	6.5	19	6.3	113	9.1
Lawrence.....	8	9.5	27	13.5	328	1.3	7 468	2.2	270	1.5	3 807	2.5
Licking.....	20	5.8	45	5.2	605	.9	25 122	1.3	447	1.1	7 458	1.6
Logan.....	6	11.6	(D)	(D)	301	1.5	15 455	1.5	150	2.3	3 980	1.9
Lorain.....	88	2.7	1 407	1.7	191	1.8	11 130	1.2	69	3.3	895	2.3
Lucas.....	61	2.8	1 407	.6	30	4.8	1 548	3.3	12	9.0	115	13.2
Madison.....	13	8.9	101	3.1	204	1.8	13 229	2.3	115	2.7	2 695	4.1
Mahoning.....	45	3.8	746	2.3	240	1.4	13 302	1.5	117	2.2	2 139	2.3
Marion.....	4	18.8	22	24.6	134	2.2	9 007	1.1	70	3.5	1 042	2.9
Medina.....	42	4.3	372	7.2	329	1.4	12 372	1.7	177	2.1	1 447	3.3
Meigs.....	38	4.2	503	4.2	316	1.0	10 879	1.8	266	1.2	4 356	2.0
Mercer.....	4	11.6	(D)	(D)	399	1.1	43 581	.9	34	4.0	932	6.7
Miami.....	22	5.8	666	5.8	279	1.6	9 997	1.9	172	2.1	1 908	2.7
Monroe.....	4	12.6	5	13.3	494	.6	16 543	1.0	425	.7	7 439	1.4
Montgomery.....	40	4.5	325	4.5	211	1.9	5 045	2.5	133	2.5	1 341	3.2
Morgan.....	4	11.8	4	11.8	391	.8	15 993	1.2	349	.9	6 597	1.3
Morrow.....	7	11.9	8	12.2	275	1.5	11 060	1.8	165	2.0	1 988	2.6
Muskingum.....	24	5.1	91	4.2	705	.7	29 625	1.1	596	.8	12 929	1.1
Noble.....	1	23.1	(D)	(D)	414	.7	13 868	1.0	381	.8	7 412	1.1
Ottawa.....	15	5.5	802	1.2	44	4.0	1 022	2.4	19	6.5	143	8.8
Paulding.....	-	-	-	-	74	3.2	2 432	3.0	33	5.1	257	7.8
Perry.....	13	8.8	51	18.5	389	1.1	12 297	1.8	319	1.3	5 023	2.0
Pickaway.....	13	8.1	642	1.0	219	1.6	10 844	2.3	140	2.2	3 883	2.6
Pike.....	10	10.4	30	12.9	244	1.4	8 617	2.2	196	1.7	3 655	2.9
Portage.....	43	3.8	533	8.4	292	1.4	9 636	1.6	175	1.9	1 732	2.8
Prelle.....	11	5.9	489	.5	388	1.1	17 368	1.3	203	1.8	2 320	2.7
Putnam.....	13	7.5	29	7.9	246	1.6	10 759	2.1	50	4.2	695	6.5
Richland.....	23	5.7	93	11.6	441	1.2	22 928	1.9	178	2.2	2 987	2.7
Ross.....	7	9.7	(D)	(D)	425	1.2	19 441	1.6	374	1.4	7 865	1.6
Sandusky.....	17	5.1	234	1.2	178	1.9	6 913	2.4	70	3.4	1 380	3.6
Scioto.....	7	12.2	26	24.6	374	1.1	11 469	1.9	319	1.3	5 023	2.8
Seneca.....	13	5.5	(D)	(D)	327	1.5	11 107	2.4	109	2.9	1 315	4.2
Shelby.....	6	11.0	13	10.2	314	1.3	22 107	2.0	66	3.4	788	4.7
Stark.....	60	3.7	889	3.2	550	.9	26 179	1.0	295	1.4	3 348	2.6
Summit.....	35	4.8	329	4.8	54	3.7	1 447	4.7	40	4.1	604	4.6
Trumbull.....	30	5.4	248	14.8	379	1.1	12 978	1.5	191	1.7	2 114	2.7
Tuscarawas.....	15	7.8	97	7.7	588	1.0	30 138	1.2	345	1.5	5 157	2.4
Union.....	18	6.9	65	11.6	207	1.8	11 942	1.5	99	2.7	1 126	2.8
Van Wert.....	2	17.8	(D)	(D)	90	2.3	3 347	2.7	24	4.6	230	6.8
Vinton.....	2	14.9	(D)	(D)	123	1.6	4 157	2.4	107	1.8	2 198	2.6
Warren.....	33	4.8	232	6.9	236	1.6	8 154	2.7	175	1.9	3 163	4.3
Washington.....	28	5.3	423	2.8	643	.7	24 783	1.2	524	.9	8 464	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne .....	44	4.7	677	3.7	1 017	.7	82 477	.7	272	1.7	4 835	2.0
Williams .....	2	19.3	(D)	2.2	175	2.2	12 828	2.5	63	4.0	974	4.6
Wood .....	25	5.9	232	10.1	153	2.4	4 791	2.7	60	3.9	571	4.4
Wyandot .....	5	14.9	23	15.0	130	2.3	5 893	3.2	48	4.2	660	5.4
Livestock and poultry—Con.												
Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio .....	5 425	.6	262 834	.4	5 952	.5	1 700 491	.3	3 549	.7	134 906	.9
Adams .....	72	3.5	3 096	3.2	72	3.3	10 985	4.5	36	4.9	907	6.1
Allen .....	14	6.0	909	2.0	93	2.6	41 156	1.8	31	5.2	785	5.6
Ashland .....	178	1.9	9 514	1.3	115	2.7	20 894	2.9	83	3.3	4 050	4.0
Ashtabula .....	169	2.1	8 209	1.6	69	3.4	2 225	9.2	23	6.1	1 184	11.6
Athens .....	41	4.1	1 135	4.1	24	6.2	213	10.2	28	5.2	1 429	6.3
Auglaize .....	110	2.3	6 775	1.8	86	2.7	40 325	1.9	45	4.3	1 401	6.1
Belmont .....	77	2.9	2 779	3.4	26	5.6	727	13.9	43	4.4	1 804	8.7
Brown .....	41	4.8	1 761	4.7	41	4.9	2 369	11.4	27	6.2	610	8.2
Butler .....	47	4.1	2 490	3.4	66	3.7	14 231	2.7	42	4.7	797	6.3
Carroll .....	104	2.6	4 281	2.7	35	4.7	969	10.3	35	4.7	1 490	8.1
Champaign .....	63	3.4	3 197	2.8	79	3.1	27 042	1.6	44	4.3	1 400	5.8
Clark .....	15	6.7	816	4.1	49	4.0	13 531	2.1	48	4.3	1 114	5.4
Clermont .....	14	9.3	325	10.4	33	5.4	1 032	12.3	13	8.7	266	16.8
Clinton .....	12	9.3	508	9.7	78	2.9	30 152	1.7	19	8.3	695	20.2
Columbiana .....	167	1.9	10 519	1.4	81	3.3	6 699	4.4	43	4.6	2 450	7.7
Coshocton .....	110	2.3	4 355	2.4	110	2.4	30 027	1.4	87	2.8	4 169	4.6
Crawford .....	24	4.5	1 793	2.7	95	2.6	54 985	.9	58	3.8	2 307	5.5
Cuyahoga .....	—	—	—	—	4	13.8	55	15.0	4	16.0	89	17.5
Darke .....	106	2.3	8 304	1.6	217	1.6	140 105	.8	83	3.1	1 976	4.8
Defiance .....	31	5.1	1 474	4.0	56	3.9	13 755	3.8	32	5.3	737	9.5
Delaware .....	31	5.4	1 204	6.1	33	4.8	15 055	1.7	48	4.4	1 608	15.2
Erie .....	25	5.1	1 306	4.7	28	4.6	5 878	4.7	21	5.9	577	11.8
Fairfield .....	56	4.3	1 847	5.0	87	3.3	17 566	2.3	62	3.8	1 514	4.9
Fayette .....	7	9.4	210	7.4	69	2.5	26 899	1.7	34	4.3	2 715	4.7
Franklin .....	12	7.1	387	6.7	26	5.9	3 634	3.8	20	6.3	1 203	2.7
Fulton .....	32	5.8	1 657	4.3	113	2.6	65 230	1.6	25	6.8	480	11.6
Gallia .....	32	4.9	1 661	3.8	44	4.5	2 369	12.1	18	7.1	324	5.1
Geauga .....	140	2.3	3 288	3.1	67	3.7	1 528	7.4	35	5.4	714	8.0
Greene .....	19	7.4	602	8.4	80	3.1	27 407	2.0	51	4.2	2 354	4.6
Guernsey .....	52	4.0	1 419	4.7	46	4.3	3 880	6.5	57	3.6	2 861	6.0
Hamilton .....	4	13.4	305	7.1	8	9.9	(D)	(D)	12	9.7	185	11.4
Hancock .....	16	5.2	1 167	2.1	89	2.7	37 887	1.7	45	4.8	1 280	6.3
Hardin .....	49	4.9	1 014	6.0	115	2.8	50 934	1.0	55	4.4	2 793	4.5
Harrison .....	27	5.4	1 549	3.4	20	6.4	291	13.7	54	3.6	5 903	2.9
Henry .....	25	4.9	1 222	4.6	52	3.5	13 267	3.0	19	6.7	257	8.8
Highland .....	41	4.7	1 462	4.6	73	3.4	10 072	5.1	36	5.0	1 554	8.3
Hocking .....	8	10.0	35	12.8	32	4.8	701	11.3	24	5.9	798	8.6
Holmes .....	636	.9	16 428	.9	351	1.4	41 244	1.9	121	2.5	2 706	6.8
Huron .....	52	4.3	2 792	3.6	67	3.4	24 172	2.3	46	4.9	1 415	8.6
Jackson .....	14	6.7	471	6.2	16	7.0	635	7.6	13	7.6	286	10.8
Jefferson .....	24	6.0	1 009	6.3	22	6.3	1 611	16.4	19	6.5	547	10.0
Knox .....	126	2.7	5 927	2.6	100	3.2	24 599	3.3	111	2.9	6 172	4.7
Lake .....	7	11.0	119	14.8	12	8.2	319	2.7	9	11.1	162	18.9
Lawrence .....	13	7.7	274	7.3	20	7.1	719	14.0	12	10.2	289	13.4
Licking .....	76	2.9	4 725	1.8	90	2.9	17 222	2.2	92	2.9	3 871	4.4
Logan .....	69	3.3	3 784	2.3	60	3.7	18 450	2.8	63	3.9	2 785	7.0
Lorain .....	58	3.0	3 972	1.4	51	3.6	11 941	2.0	41	4.6	904	7.6
Lucas .....	3	12.9	142	12.4	27	5.2	16 874	1.7	14	7.7	217	9.0
Madison .....	37	5.0	2 178	3.5	43	4.2	10 986	4.6	48	4.2	1 865	5.2
Mahoning .....	73	2.8	4 547	2.2	42	3.8	3 493	4.9	30	4.6	1 207	5.3
Marion .....	10	7.6	593	1.3	59	3.5	28 578	1.8	54	4.1	2 562	3.6
Medina .....	90	3.1	4 474	2.2	61	4.0	1 948	5.4	50	4.4	950	5.6
Meigs .....	28	5.2	1 140	5.4	18	6.6	76	9.8	15	6.6	328	7.2
Mercer .....	197	1.5	16 154	1.0	229	1.4	160 494	.7	23	6.1	781	12.2
Miami .....	28	5.2	1 682	4.3	92	2.8	23 417	1.9	54	4.2	1 915	5.0
Monroe .....	60	2.8	2 048	3.2	26	4.8	658	11.0	22	5.1	832	8.7
Montgomery .....	17	7.0	644	6.6	59	3.8	13 808	3.9	40	4.7	795	6.0
Morgan .....	32	4.3	963	4.7	23	5.5	(D)	(D)	34	4.7	1 388	8.6
Morrow .....	54	3.9	1 978	3.5	67	3.5	17 479	1.9	69	3.7	3 168	5.3
Muskingum .....	56	3.4	2 046	3.4	77	2.8	13 746	2.9	65	3.3	4 280	5.8
Noble .....	21	5.7	294	6.9	23	5.5	151	9.3	43	3.9	1 422	9.3
Ottawa .....	3	8.8	285	2.3	20	6.3	4 948	7.4	9	10.1	225	10.1
Paudling .....	12	7.9	389	5.9	18	6.2	9 982	.6	5	14.1	72	6.0
Perry .....	29	5.4	1 051	4.7	53	4.1	3 479	7.3	46	4.4	2 117	5.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Pickaway .....	16	6.3	837	4.3	72	3.1	31 416	1.5	36	4.9	1 189	6.3
Pike .....	21	6.4	910	4.9	15	7.6	522	18.3	13	8.3	160	11.4
Portage .....	51	3.7	2 895	2.6	44	4.2	2 698	8.2	32	5.4	1 112	7.1
Preble .....	42	3.9	2 067	3.5	138	2.0	63 486	1.2	57	3.8	1 164	5.6
Putnam .....	44	3.6	2 450	3.4	157	2.0	78 517	1.5	37	4.1	1 237	5.0
Richland .....	161	2.4	6 831	2.6	95	3.3	14 451	4.8	59	4.1	1 734	6.2
Ross .....	19	7.1	771	4.9	65	3.8	9 052	5.6	42	5.1	2 251	7.1
Sandusky .....	28	4.4	1 621	3.9	73	3.1	15 041	3.0	37	4.7	687	7.0
Scioto .....	16	7.4	506	9.2	28	5.8	486	8.9	9	9.4	99	15.0
Seneca .....	32	5.2	1 365	5.2	131	2.4	39 624	2.1	76	3.5	3 524	7.3
Shelby .....	110	2.2	7 198	1.8	117	2.4	39 805	2.0	48	4.0	1 684	6.0
Stark .....	141	2.0	9 174	1.3	90	2.8	9 354	2.1	51	3.8	1 371	5.8
Summit .....	5	12.2	208	12.8	7	12.6	276	22.3	15	7.3	525	9.5
Trumbull .....	103	2.5	3 892	2.4	50	3.9	1 185	8.7	24	5.6	320	10.6
Tuscarawas .....	144	2.1	9 469	1.4	88	3.3	10 450	5.1	49	4.5	1 734	10.3
Union .....	31	4.4	2 596	2.7	63	3.1	38 809	.8	58	3.8	3 298	3.5
Van Wert .....	17	5.5	662	4.4	47	3.0	17 474	2.1	23	4.4	848	4.0
Vinton .....	6	9.9	104	13.1	15	6.5	710	14.6	16	6.3	320	8.4
Warren .....	8	7.4	466	7.0	44	3.8	7 266	3.5	40	4.4	652	6.3
Washington .....	51	3.8	3 061	2.8	63	3.2	8 289	4.3	35	4.4	2 362	8.2
Wayne .....	527	1.1	30 349	.8	221	2.0	50 217	2.0	116	2.6	4 480	5.9
Williams .....	22	7.2	769	6.1	70	3.8	19 254	3.2	25	6.6	1 689	7.0
Wood .....	17	7.6	631	7.1	64	3.5	10 658	2.9	31	5.1	1 342	7.1
Wyandot .....	17	5.8	1 318	3.4	58	3.1	38 470	.8	32	5.0	1 083	4.2
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory						Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold					
	Farms		Total		Farms		Farms		Total			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Ohio .....	3 065	.7	26 135 888	.2	496	1.3	41 135 469	—	—	—	.8	
Adams .....	42	4.5	4 738	25.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Allen .....	11	7.8	(D)	(D)	1	28.8	(D)	(D)	466	15.1		
Ashland .....	66	3.8	4 408	7.7	7	11.6	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Ashtrabula .....	68	3.5	11 585	24.0	5	13.2	—	—	—	—		
Athens .....	42	4.5	1 046	5.9	2	13.9	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Auglaize .....	13	5.8	598 218	(L)	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Belmont .....	25	5.8	434	7.0	1	29.7	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Brown .....	42	4.8	1 275	6.8	2	22.9	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Butler .....	34	5.3	985	8.3	5	15.5	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Carroll .....	40	4.4	(D)	(D)	5	13.3	798	22.3	—	—		
Champaign .....	23	5.6	756	7.1	4	15.8	(D)	(D)	630	7.3		
Clark .....	28	6.0	775	9.0	6	11.2	—	—	—	—		
Clermont .....	41	5.0	915	6.3	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Clinton .....	15	8.1	738	17.1	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Columbiana .....	52	4.0	2 380	15.2	10	9.2	(D)	(D)	772	5.6		
Coshocton .....	51	3.6	130 385	.1	15	5.2	380	22.3	—	—		
Crawford .....	23	6.2	1 054	11.1	3	16.6	—	—	—	—		
Cuyahoga .....	7	10.4	495	11.9	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Darke .....	73	2.6	5 606 525	.7	8	9.3	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Defiance .....	25	6.1	662	15.9	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Delaware .....	25	6.3	612	8.8	4	19.2	313	30.9	—	—		
Erie .....	13	8.0	336	9.9	1	26.5	(D)	(D)	670	11.2		
Fairfield .....	56	4.1	(D)	(D)	11	9.6	—	—	—	—		
Fayette .....	9	8.6	263	15.5	2	19.7	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Franklin .....	13	8.2	1 202	5.9	1	31.7	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Fulton .....	13	9.0	376	10.6	6	16.4	1 532	28.4	—	—		
Gallia .....	20	7.6	548	7.8	1	31.5	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Geauga .....	66	3.8	5 040	28.3	4	13.4	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Greene .....	31	5.2	637	6.3	5	14.1	915	24.0	—	—		
Guerney .....	30	5.7	662	7.6	2	24.0	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Hamilton .....	12	8.3	297	10.1	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Hancock .....	13	8.2	(D)	(D)	1	33.8	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Hardin .....	29	6.2	(D)	(D)	1	35.4	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Harrison .....	16	7.8	542	9.4	1	33.0	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Henry .....	8	8.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Highland .....	29	6.0	553	8.5	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Hocking .....	21	5.4	691	5.9	1	30.2	(D)	(D)	12 965	1.7		
Holmes .....	218	1.8	154 527	1.5	122	2.1	680	(D)	—	—		
Huron .....	26	6.4	820	9.8	3	18.6	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Jackson .....	16	7.0	744	12.3	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Jefferson .....	18	7.6	373	11.1	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Knox .....	66	3.8	10 088	28.2	15	8.7	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Lake .....	12	8.2	430	8.4	2	25.7	(D)	(D)	—	—		
Lawrence .....	19	7.7	324	9.0	—	—	—	—	—	—		

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold							
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total					
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				
Licking.....	60	3.4	(D)	(D)	6	10.8	957	13.9				
Logan.....	33	4.9	(D)	(D)	9	10.3	512	15.5				
Lorain.....	36	5.0	601	6.6	1	28.0	(D)	(D)				
Lucas.....	14	8.2	(D)	(D)	2	13.8	(D)	(D)				
Madison.....	21	6.8	590	11.9	2	23.5	(D)	(D)				
Mahoning.....	40	4.2	(D)	(D)	9	8.4	1 905	17.6				
Marion.....	12	9.1	296	11.0	2	24.7	(D)	(D)				
Medina.....	62	4.0	2 397	6.4	10	8.9	748	11.5				
Meigs.....	24	5.0	27 520	12.4	—	—	—	—				
Mercer.....	67	1.6	7 245 916	.6	4	10.8	450 000	4.8				
Miami.....	29	5.5	3 997	5.5	9	10.0	1 366	17.7				
Monroe.....	40	3.9	1 125	6.4	—	—	—	—				
Montgomery.....	39	4.6	(D)	(D)	6	10.8	137	11.7				
Morgan.....	28	4.8	1 336	5.0	3	13.9	(D)	(D)				
Morrow.....	47	4.5	11 515	27.8	1	32.2	(D)	(D)				
Muskingum.....	52	3.6	1 716	5.6	3	16.0	(D)	(D)				
Noble.....	28	4.8	720	6.7	1	23.1	(D)	(D)				
Ottawa.....	17	7.3	470	10.8	4	17.0	1 016	18.9				
Paulding.....	9	8.5	(D)	(D)	2	15.4	(D)	(D)				
Perry.....	42	4.8	1 221	7.3	3	16.0	(D)	(D)				
Pickaway.....	14	7.4	511	11.3	—	—	—	—				
Pike.....	17	8.1	418	8.2	1	29.8	(D)	(D)				
Portage.....	46	4.3	1 218	6.5	3	16.4	173	18.2				
Preble.....	41	4.2	5 747	24.1	3	21.1	465	23.4				
Putnam.....	6	8.4	209 130	(L)	3	18.3	(D)	(D)				
Richland.....	70	4.0	3 118	6.4	10	8.2	936 586	(L)				
Ross.....	32	5.6	1 545	8.5	1	33.9	(D)	(D)				
Sandusky.....	24	5.6	869	8.5	4	13.4	(D)	(D)				
Scioto.....	31	5.1	(D)	(D)	1	34.0	(D)	(D)				
Seneca.....	37	5.3	(D)	(D)	6	12.0	475	17.3				
Shelby.....	21	6.3	(D)	(D)	3	15.8	(D)	(D)				
Stark.....	62	3.5	(D)	(D)	13	5.2	7 163 141	(L)				
Summit.....	19	6.9	637	15.1	—	—	—	—				
Trumbull.....	53	3.6	2 001	9.1	4	11.4	560	11.0				
Tuscarawas.....	50	4.6	1 947	8.9	46	4.1	6 619 035	2.8				
Union.....	26	6.1	424	7.5	3	17.8	52	19.9				
Van Wert.....	12	5.5	(D)	(D)	1	25.6	(D)	(D)				
Vinton.....	17	6.1	1 074	12.8	2	20.1	(D)	(D)				
Warren.....	27	5.3	387	6.2	6	13.1	670	19.6				
Washington.....	44	4.3	1 331	6.6	1	25.8	(D)	(D)				
Wayne.....	149	2.5	210 508	1.2	40	4.1	10 962 756	1.2				
Williams.....	21	7.2	(D)	(D)	5	13.0	694	14.1				
Wood.....	25	5.7	758	4.9	3	19.8	90	22.5				
Wyandot.....	21	5.7	(D)	(D)	2	21.8	(D)	(D)				
Selected crops harvested												
Geographic area	Corn for grain or seed				Corn for silage or green chop							
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms					
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				
<b>Ohio .....</b>	<b>31 517</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>3 378 205</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>429 619 833</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>5 526</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>177 045</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>2 710 560</b>	<b>.5</b>
Adams.....	312	1.5	13 329	2.0	1 114 469	2.2	52	4.2	1 860	3.9	27 579	4.1
Allen.....	609	.7	62 863	1.0	8 112 105	1.1	19	4.8	894	4.8	18 801	6.2
Ashland.....	521	.9	37 856	1.2	4 137 729	1.3	166	2.1	5 639	1.5	80 000	1.5
Ashtrabula.....	278	1.5	13 087	1.8	1 134 711	1.9	130	2.4	5 151	2.2	52 121	2.7
Athens.....	79	2.9	2 182	5.5	195 140	5.7	20	5.9	560	5.2	8 596	5.1
Auglaize.....	667	.7	60 944	.8	8 419 104	.9	121	2.1	4 153	1.7	73 186	1.6
Belmont.....	109	2.6	1 834	3.1	151 175	3.2	37	4.4	723	6.0	12 355	6.1
Brown.....	336	1.5	28 547	1.6	2 804 714	1.7	29	5.3	680	6.5	8 085	6.9
Butler.....	313	1.5	34 453	1.5	3 596 832	1.5	78	3.1	2 436	2.7	33 210	2.8
Carroll.....	230	1.5	9 499	2.1	802 604	2.0	77	3.1	2 569	3.3	32 759	2.6
Champaign.....	442	.9	84 452	.8	11 173 829	.8	56	3.6	2 035	4.9	30 677	4.1
Clark.....	351	1.1	63 493	.9	9 064 289	.9	42	4.2	1 081	4.6	20 946	5.1
Clermont.....	156	2.2	13 849	2.3	1 407 705	2.4	6	12.7	76	10.0	1 092	6.7
Clinton.....	415	1.2	76 629	1.0	10 304 527	1.0	29	5.9	408	7.4	6 920	7.6
Columbiana.....	406	1.1	23 011	1.3	2 221 804	1.3	162	1.9	6 293	1.5	82 691	1.5
Coshcton.....	392	1.0	31 831	1.3	3 834 353	1.3	124	2.1	2 728	2.9	42 442	2.7
Crawford.....	485	.8	71 833	.7	9 486 238	.7	43	3.5	1 473	2.7	25 436	1.8
Cuyahoga.....	2	24.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	46.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Darke.....	1 146	.6	114 598	.7	14 241 946	.8	127	2.1	6 777	1.7	104 175	1.7
Defiance.....	397	1.1	37 115	1.4	4 603 845	1.4	32	4.7	1 367	3.0	21 344	2.5
Delaware.....	263	1.3	44 539	.9	5 753 417	.9	23	5.8	579	5.3	9 627	5.8
Erie.....	206	1.2	27 486	1.3	3 535 652	1.3	28	4.7	815	3.8	11 928	4.2
Fairfield.....	475	1.1	63 679	1.2	8 832 412	1.3	45	4.6	1 174	4.6	20 741	4.0
Fayette.....	348	.8	86 805	.6	12 145 781	.5	14	6.7	389	16.2	6 794	16.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed						Corn for silage or green chop					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, green	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Franklin.....	178	1.7	22 733	1.6	2 908 765	1.6	7	8.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fulton.....	538	1.0	76 774	.9	10 426 451	.9	60	3.5	2 518	2.4	44 271	2.4
Gallia.....	117	2.5	3 252	3.9	357 575	3.9	38	4.5	1 276	4.0	22 288	4.5
Geauga.....	190	1.9	4 956	3.6	371 784	2.9	131	2.4	2 261	3.1	21 990	3.0
Greene.....	388	1.1	62 130	1.0	8 712 736	1.1	23	5.3	524	6.8	7 363	5.4
Guernsey.....	153	2.1	5 517	5.3	570 896	5.7	44	4.0	679	4.8	8 804	4.6
Hamilton.....	40	3.8	4 491	2.4	388 547	2.8	6	8.3	317	9.0	3 550	8.6
Hancock.....	720	.8	85 450	.9	11 169 095	.9	18	5.2	664	2.7	10 748	3.2
Hardin.....	524	1.1	70 790	1.0	9 469 263	1.1	57	4.4	1 159	4.9	19 636	4.2
Harrison.....	88	2.8	3 099	2.5	291 934	3.0	19	6.6	1 204	3.5	17 144	2.6
Henry.....	682	.6	78 541	.7	11 010 952	.7	27	4.3	994	4.3	16 512	4.6
Highland.....	461	1.2	45 608	1.3	5 356 230	1.3	45	4.3	1 245	4.2	21 225	3.5
Hocking.....	80	2.7	3 208	7.0	377 211	8.8	6	11.5	120	15.2	1 359	17.9
Holmes.....	876	.7	24 738	1.2	2 664 428	1.2	578	1.0	8 607	1.2	119 889	1.2
Huron.....	449	1.1	60 807	.9	7 221 692	1.0	47	4.2	1 459	3.8	20 865	3.9
Jackson.....	90	2.4	4 639	4.1	497 813	4.0	20	5.4	472	4.2	7 720	3.6
Jefferson.....	106	2.5	3 189	4.3	302 518	4.5	28	5.4	707	5.7	10 253	4.6
Knox.....	550	1.0	53 553	1.4	6 233 980	1.4	105	3.0	2 550	3.8	39 982	4.3
Lake.....	17	6.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	8.8	146	9.2	734	10.1
Lawrence.....	57	3.4	1 056	6.5	104 610	7.1	19	6.4	298	5.5	4 729	5.4
Licking.....	471	1.0	60 903	.8	7 676 349	.8	70	2.9	2 180	2.5	35 437	2.2
Logan.....	407	1.2	60 324	1.0	7 352 573	.9	81	2.9	3 294	3.5	56 963	3.6
Lorain.....	191	1.7	17 579	1.2	1 836 844	1.2	51	3.3	2 721	1.7	40 203	1.4
Lucas.....	172	1.5	25 767	1.2	3 494 715	1.3	4	6.9	340	1.2	5 510	.1
Madison.....	395	1.0	91 071	.7	13 155 810	.7	36	4.5	1 664	3.4	31 381	2.5
Mahoning.....	227	1.4	14 884	1.5	1 410 938	1.6	85	2.6	4 110	2.4	56 197	2.4
Marion.....	308	1.2	63 537	.8	8 150 188	.8	16	4.3	628	2.2	10 862	1.3
Medina.....	281	1.5	15 370	1.5	1 452 447	1.4	77	3.3	3 642	2.9	41 093	3.0
Meigs.....	113	2.3	3 186	4.4	300 089	4.9	38	4.1	555	4.9	8 315	5.5
Mercer.....	885	.6	84 797	.6	12 022 660	.6	221	1.5	9 650	1.2	179 334	1.3
Miami.....	602	.9	72 125	1.0	9 946 248	1.0	45	3.9	1 315	4.3	22 631	4.1
Monroe.....	88	2.4	1 697	4.1	152 008	4.0	36	3.8	631	4.8	7 346	4.4
Montgomery.....	349	1.2	34 907	1.4	4 086 224	1.5	18	6.1	754	10.0	9 827	9.0
Morgan.....	141	1.9	2 997	2.3	307 944	2.4	35	4.0	706	3.0	11 921	3.3
Morrow.....	368	1.1	42 356	1.0	4 966 189	1.0	42	4.4	1 107	5.0	17 130	4.9
Muskingum.....	298	1.3	17 919	1.5	2 088 453	1.6	64	3.2	1 343	3.6	26 014	3.0
Noble.....	55	3.3	1 467	2.1	132 891	2.6	18	5.6	480	9.9	6 726	10.5
Ottawa.....	188	1.4	15 586	1.5	2 036 788	1.5	5	7.5	291	8.1	4 130	5.7
Paulding.....	303	1.2	53 237	.9	7 196 740	.9	5	13.2	218	5.6	4 582	7.2
Perry.....	252	1.5	19 230	1.9	2 317 171	1.9	33	5.2	626	5.1	9 379	6.0
Pickaway.....	401	.9	94 646	.6	12 863 857	.6	29	5.1	1 107	4.5	18 971	3.6
Pike.....	91	2.6	5 618	2.9	612 114	3.0	32	5.0	743	4.6	12 163	3.5
Portage.....	204	1.6	13 849	2.2	1 234 915	1.9	62	3.2	2 733	2.3	33 139	2.3
Prelble.....	561	.8	74 758	.9	9 270 798	.9	50	3.7	1 533	4.1	24 134	3.5
Putnam.....	945	.6	75 079	.7	10 121 355	.8	54	3.2	1 517	3.8	26 457	3.6
Richland.....	442	1.2	36 411	1.3	3 872 701	1.4	148	2.5	4 816	3.5	68 801	4.5
Ross.....	346	1.3	54 958	1.0	7 341 449	.9	45	4.1	1 459	4.3	25 846	4.0
Sandusky.....	516	.8	57 548	.8	7 716 421	.8	30	4.2	839	4.4	12 898	4.3
Scioto.....	152	2.0	10 055	2.0	1 135 516	2.2	29	5.6	550	7.6	8 832	6.1
Seneca.....	834	.8	76 688	.9	9 804 596	1.0	51	4.1	1 238	5.8	19 130	6.8
Shelby.....	653	.7	61 902	.9	7 992 387	.9	122	2.1	4 772	2.1	85 678	1.9
Stark.....	488	1.0	31 859	1.1	3 338 774	1.1	138	2.1	5 905	1.7	71 119	1.6
Summit.....	42	4.0	1 593	6.1	155 142	4.9	8	9.7	163	7.8	1 944	5.5
Trumbull.....	292	1.3	17 716	2.0	1 647 014	2.1	98	2.5	2 819	2.4	30 649	2.1
Tuscarawas.....	368	1.3	18 740	2.2	1 806 472	2.2	147	2.1	6 238	1.9	94 795	1.7
Union.....	333	1.2	49 559	.9	6 091 184	.9	39	4.0	1 721	3.2	31 548	3.3
Van Wert.....	560	.5	78 184	.7	10 917 823	.7	21	4.4	460	3.2	8 107	4.1
Vinton.....	28	4.3	1 368	3.2	158 314	3.2	2	22.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Warren.....	199	1.6	30 065	1.1	3 578 721	1.1	26	4.9	628	6.1	9 682	5.3
Washington.....	300	1.3	10 690	1.9	1 261 046	2.1	80	2.8	1 520	2.4	25 055	2.4
Wayne.....	917	.8	62 333	1.0	7 124 091	1.0	508	1.1	18 877	1.2	309 431	1.0
Williams.....	408	1.3	46 369	1.2	5 760 827	1.2	33	4.9	1 503	3.9	20 867	2.7
Wood.....	694	.8	87 189	.8	12 065 974	.8	30	5.7	740	6.3	9 229	6.7
Wyandot.....	407	.9	63 132	.9	8 123 106	.9	17	5.9	837	4.8	14 795	4.5
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain						Oats for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ohio.....	18 747	.5	994 276	.4	55 105 157	.4	5 728	.6	81 168	.6	5 393 500	.6
Adams.....	128	2.4	2 980	2.6	102 839	2.7	24	6.5	208	6.8	9 059	7.3
Allen.....	449	1.0	21 631	1.1	1 185 120	1.2	34	4.5	358	5.9	25 965	7.1
Ashland.....	298	1.4	8 341	1.7	371 136	1.7	243	1.7	3 329	1.8	214 621	2.0
Ashtabula.....	91	2.8	2 725	3.3	115 297	3.4	131	2.5	2 497	2.2	135 114	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain						Oats for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Athens.....	13	6.7	518	5.3	20 101	4.5	3	9.7	47	3.1	(D)	(D)
Auglaize.....	494	.9	23 576	1.2	1 407 852	1.2	56	3.6	1 118	4.0	85 302	3.7
Belmont.....	17	7.2	99	9.8	4 102	10.6	55	3.6	606	3.5	27 640	3.0
Brown.....	95	3.0	2 790	2.7	113 412	3.2	13	8.7	151	10.4	6 680	13.0
Butler.....	164	2.2	7 421	2.0	330 211	2.2	17	7.9	215	8.6	11 938	9.2
Carroll.....	72	3.2	1 746	2.8	82 620	3.0	133	2.3	2 136	2.5	133 890	2.6
Champaign.....	198	1.7	8 870	1.8	502 147	1.7	18	7.2	283	12.5	16 732	9.4
Clark.....	131	2.2	7 005	1.6	420 007	1.5	13	6.4	115	8.8	7 199	9.6
Clermont.....	41	4.3	1 629	2.4	62 959	2.8	3	25.8	57	26.1	(D)	(D)
Clinton.....	171	2.1	8 572	1.6	453 202	1.7	13	9.2	105	14.6	7 301	15.9
Columbiana.....	190	1.8	5 506	1.9	261 103	2.1	219	1.8	3 809	2.2	250 501	2.9
Coshocton.....	138	2.0	3 152	2.1	151 316	2.3	132	2.0	1 572	2.4	98 394	3.1
Crawford.....	407	1.0	29 626	.8	1 731 904	.8	41	4.0	664	5.4	39 575	3.7
Cuyahoga.....	4	12.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Darke.....	685	.8	25 564	.9	1 501 172	.9	93	2.8	1 048	3.4	84 877	3.5
Defiance.....	444	1.1	23 163	1.3	1 182 865	1.3	28	5.6	667	5.6	50 543	6.1
Delaware.....	161	1.8	12 013	1.3	644 661	1.3	40	4.5	500	6.0	35 256	6.3
Erie.....	135	1.8	7 368	1.7	445 146	1.7	19	5.8	560	5.5	51 942	4.9
Fairfield.....	247	1.7	13 683	1.7	697 549	1.6	52	4.5	821	5.4	56 324	5.3
Fayette.....	178	1.5	13 803	1.3	815 702	1.1	18	6.1	416	3.3	24 976	3.9
Franklin.....	97	2.4	5 701	1.8	315 800	1.9	3	10.6	(D)	(D)	2 788	11.4
Fulton.....	341	1.4	15 972	1.5	1 043 865	1.5	28	5.7	351	3.5	27 639	3.8
Gallia.....	16	7.9	148	8.6	5 821	8.6	1	31.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Geauga.....	48	4.1	641	3.0	26 951	2.8	172	2.0	1 843	2.3	104 571	2.5
Greene.....	167	1.9	7 373	1.5	416 273	1.5	22	5.6	406	5.2	27 386	5.4
Guernsey.....	20	6.0	399	4.2	14 613	4.5	54	3.4	608	3.6	29 119	4.1
Hamilton.....	17	6.0	712	5.4	32 935	5.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hancock.....	647	.9	43 408	1.0	2 515 484	1.0	51	4.2	494	3.5	32 447	3.8
Hardin.....	361	1.4	27 298	1.7	1 450 306	1.6	93	3.4	1 459	3.8	103 682	4.2
Harrison.....	13	7.3	440	2.8	22 135	2.7	48	3.7	591	4.6	32 160	4.7
Henry.....	580	.7	39 836	.9	2 482 309	.9	13	8.5	117	11.7	8 473	12.9
Highland.....	230	1.8	12 312	2.0	557 584	2.1	22	6.0	262	6.9	14 712	6.8
Hocking.....	22	6.3	470	8.3	16 127	8.5	10	10.3	72	11.0	2 138	12.3
Holmes.....	288	1.6	3 582	3.8	151 088	1.8	674	.9	7 519	1.0	564 863	1.1
Huron.....	355	1.3	23 839	1.2	1 308 672	1.1	52	4.3	810	6.1	51 044	6.2
Jackson.....	22	5.3	548	4.9	24 256	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson.....	31	5.0	376	7.5	19 083	8.4	62	3.3	642	4.2	40 326	5.7
Knox.....	194	2.1	6 072	2.3	295 270	2.6	131	2.6	1 649	3.8	98 690	4.1
Lake.....	12	7.5	359	5.7	15 052	4.0	11	8.1	118	8.0	5 660	8.3
Lawrence.....	8	8.7	216	10.7	5 790	8.3	1	38.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Licking.....	194	1.9	9 520	2.0	488 702	2.0	93	2.9	1 073	3.4	60 632	3.6
Logan.....	250	1.6	15 229	1.4	807 156	1.4	73	3.6	1 083	4.0	71 016	4.2
Lorain.....	92	2.5	4 766	1.9	228 008	1.8	35	4.3	780	4.0	41 384	4.4
Lucas.....	124	1.7	9 064	1.6	574 270	1.8	4	—	39	—	3 254	—
Madison.....	201	1.6	13 106	1.5	820 434	1.5	28	4.8	824	5.2	61 188	3.6
Mahoning.....	98	2.4	2 628	2.7	127 104	2.8	106	2.4	2 183	3.5	142 449	3.4
Marion.....	233	1.5	19 868	1.2	1 125 194	1.2	30	5.3	760	5.5	47 011	4.1
Medina.....	135	2.4	4 259	2.2	182 224	2.4	105	3.0	1 574	3.4	99 944	4.3
Meigs.....	9	7.8	218	6.6	10 380	9.2	11	6.7	150	7.9	7 042	10.9
Mercer.....	609	.8	24 863	.9	1 517 496	.9	129	2.3	2 131	2.2	165 368	2.2
Miami.....	299	1.4	11 721	1.3	649 303	1.3	38	5.2	251	6.2	18 546	6.8
Monroe.....	7	9.3	56	10.4	2 635	11.9	28	4.4	257	5.8	14 491	5.5
Montgomery.....	172	2.0	5 714	1.9	308 260	1.9	6	9.4	30	11.4	2 494	10.5
Morgan.....	36	4.2	451	3.9	16 528	3.8	30	4.5	294	5.6	12 883	6.0
Morrow.....	180	1.9	10 327	1.5	573 071	1.5	105	2.7	1 384	3.8	105 444	4.5
Muskingum.....	101	2.3	2 506	2.5	115 860	2.8	104	2.4	1 009	2.6	50 352	2.9
Noble.....	3	11.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	10	8.1	80	14.8	2 980	18.5
Ottawa.....	268	1.0	15 246	1.2	884 436	1.2	17	6.1	275	7.6	16 252	6.7
Paulding.....	332	1.1	37 230	.9	1 918 935	.9	26	5.4	606	6.0	42 130	6.1
Perry.....	77	3.2	2 490	3.5	105 426	3.5	56	3.9	626	4.9	35 113	5.1
Pickaway.....	284	1.3	27 134	1.3	1 461 645	1.1	11	7.0	237	4.5	12 578	4.5
Pike.....	27	4.8	887	4.3	39 602	3.8	13	8.9	114	11.0	4 262	10.5
Portage.....	86	2.7	2 751	2.4	128 896	2.5	99	2.7	1 443	2.9	86 357	2.7
Prelle.....	263	1.4	10 625	1.6	582 073	1.6	19	6.6	172	6.2	11 067	5.9
Putnam.....	911	.6	50 024	.8	2 775 464	.8	28	4.4	398	4.2	25 150	5.0
Richland.....	256	1.7	9 625	1.8	458 082	1.8	153	2.4	2 302	3.6	142 653	3.8
Ross.....	250	1.6	19 614	1.3	1 041 633	1.4	28	5.8	344	7.9	23 756	7.5
Sandusky.....	428	.9	22 013	1.0	1 355 370	1.1	39	4.0	580	3.7	42 533	3.5
Scioto.....	28	5.0	1 589	3.0	78 338	2.9	8	10.2	74	13.4	1 937	11.3
Seneca.....	768	.8	43 138	1.0	2 462 205	1.0	117	2.7	1 814	3.2	147 961	2.9
Shelby.....	447	1.0	18 454	1.1	1 008 887	1.1	81	3.0	1 116	3.7	95 620	4.1
Stark.....	251	1.5	6 951	1.7	318 011	1.9	249	1.6	3 585	2.1	226 445	2.0
Summit.....	20	6.2	464	7.0	19 731	7.0	29	5.0	341	5.9	21 113	6.4
Trumbull.....	107	2.4	2 622	2.1	129 423	2.1	124	2.3	2 257	2.5	157 452	1.9
Tuscarawas.....	112	2.6	2 050	2.8	100 119	3.1	162	2.2	1 510	2.6	87 064	2.8
Union.....	287	1.2	18 527	1.3	1 038 647	1.2	36	4.6	489	5.7	27 279	4.7
Van Wert.....	356	.9	22 212	.9	1 287 700	.9	45	3.3	774	3.4	61 367	3.7
Vinton.....	5	10.0	118	7.6	2 955	8.2	1	25.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Warren.....	90	2.4	5 199	1.7	280 695	1.6	11	8.5	544	3.4	31 263	2.3
Washington.....	104	2.6	1 850	3.0	74 400	3.4	28	4.4	280	4.8	12 624	4.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain						Oats for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne .....	401	1.3	9 634	2.0	472 923	1.9	477	1.3	7 708	1.6	556 943	1.6
Williams .....	376	1.3	21 826	1.4	1 087 710	1.3	32	4.9	527	3.4	37 286	3.0
Wood .....	673	.9	55 779	.9	3 536 895	.9	33	5.3	551	3.9	32 721	5.6
Wyandot .....	377	1.0	30 297	1.0	1 583 268	.9	25	5.9	291	7.3	24 838	7.5
Selected crops harvested—Con.												
Geographic area	Soybeans for beans						Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Ohio .....	28 554	.5	4 115 575	.4	172 972 596	.3	31 475	.4	1 196 243	.4	2 813 975
Adams .....	183	2.0	10 137	2.8	304 904	2.9	754	.9	29 475	1.2	65 288	1.5
Allen .....	672	.7	78 619	1.0	3 362 198	1.0	177	1.9	4 336	2.6	12 467	2.8
Ashland .....	396	1.2	30 756	1.5	1 204 062	1.5	566	.9	23 806	1.2	64 835	1.4
Ashtabula .....	176	2.0	16 580	2.4	497 827	2.3	645	.8	33 164	1.2	64 870	1.4
Athens .....	13	8.0	1 508	5.3	47 015	6.2	362	.9	15 234	2.0	30 025	2.2
Auglaize .....	717	.7	84 179	.9	3 706 220	.9	304	1.4	10 405	1.6	31 711	1.7
Belmont .....	3	10.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	517	.7	38 010	1.2	73 179	1.5
Brown .....	436	1.3	54 803	1.6	1 781 719	1.7	599	1.1	17 517	1.5	36 612	1.8
Butler .....	282	1.6	33 080	1.6	1 235 723	1.6	456	1.1	14 582	1.9	34 146	2.2
Carroll .....	49	3.9	2 701	3.2	99 697	2.7	495	.8	26 705	1.3	57 650	1.5
Champaign .....	450	.9	80 174	.8	3 908 800	.8	310	1.4	8 092	2.2	21 458	2.8
Clark .....	329	1.2	62 382	.9	3 056 566	.9	242	1.6	7 228	1.9	19 589	2.3
Clermont .....	162	2.2	27 506	2.5	908 010	3.0	354	1.4	9 421	2.6	16 658	2.7
Clinton .....	498	1.0	99 326	1.0	4 441 489	1.0	211	2.0	4 393	2.6	10 174	2.9
Columbiana .....	159	2.0	11 172	2.4	448 378	2.4	654	.8	31 813	1.3	72 986	1.6
Coshocton .....	74	2.7	6 417	1.6	279 850	1.7	604	.7	26 232	1.1	64 064	1.3
Crawford .....	514	.8	92 636	.7	4 012 712	.6	191	1.8	5 835	1.7	16 690	1.9
Cuyahoga .....	3	20.0	127	22.0	3 798	22.7	21	6.5	550	9.7	748	11.5
Darke .....	1 238	.6	133 567	.7	5 680 046	.7	452	1.2	13 526	1.6	39 150	1.6
Defiance .....	610	.8	84 876	1.1	3 045 008	1.1	177	2.1	5 246	2.6	15 338	2.7
Delaware .....	323	1.1	69 564	1.1	2 873 842	1.1	257	1.5	7 414	3.1	20 436	3.2
Erie .....	229	1.1	33 263	1.2	1 397 451	1.2	104	2.2	3 658	2.7	10 930	3.1
Fairfield .....	390	1.3	46 441	1.3	2 152 179	1.2	501	1.1	15 082	1.9	36 880	2.2
Fayette .....	344	.9	106 015	.6	5 058 814	.6	149	1.9	6 101	2.2	18 248	2.1
Franklin .....	167	1.8	27 237	1.7	1 172 867	1.5	108	2.6	3 214	3.1	7 202	3.2
Fulton .....	556	1.0	78 288	1.0	3 381 608	.9	153	2.4	4 262	3.0	12 537	2.8
Gallia .....	29	5.8	1 593	8.7	74 683	10.9	487	1.0	18 518	1.4	40 311	1.5
Geauga .....	32	5.3	2 417	7.2	77 024	7.5	420	1.1	14 842	1.9	31 555	2.2
Greene .....	385	1.1	66 435	.9	3 012 650	.9	287	1.5	6 834	2.0	16 012	2.1
Guernsey .....	14	8.8	875	8.4	30 813	8.0	648	.7	30 132	1.2	58 351	1.3
Hamilton .....	38	3.9	5 503	3.4	211 168	3.2	118	2.2	3 085	2.7	6 519	2.8
Hancock .....	802	.7	120 270	.8	5 234 347	.8	186	2.0	4 549	2.5	11 990	2.4
Hardin .....	578	1.0	102 839	1.1	4 288 697	1.1	201	2.2	5 024	2.8	13 309	3.0
Harrison .....	8	9.3	454	3.9	20 774	4.1	346	.9	26 077	1.7	51 631	2.0
Henry .....	740	.5	99 956	.7	4 392 281	.7	185	1.8	6 721	3.5	22 165	2.7
Highland .....	515	1.1	65 439	1.3	2 651 716	1.3	543	1.1	19 620	1.5	48 292	1.9
Hocking .....	28	5.3	1 831	6.7	59 685	5.0	237	1.1	7 542	2.1	12 438	2.3
Holmes .....	84	3.1	6 787	3.1	290 754	2.7	1 086	.6	36 650	.9	93 501	.9
Huron .....	531	.9	92 219	.9	3 607 840	.9	255	1.7	7 665	2.0	19 314	2.1
Jackson .....	24	5.4	1 437	6.0	56 169	5.6	308	.9	15 543	1.7	34 383	2.2
Jefferson .....	6	10.8	445	4.7	16 022	5.4	338	.9	19 731	1.8	40 873	2.1
Knox .....	346	1.4	40 996	1.6	1 663 723	1.5	651	.9	26 954	1.5	68 890	1.6
Lake .....	7	9.3	715	4.6	22 110	4.8	71	2.9	2 725	4.9	4 650	5.6
Lawrence .....	3	10.5	244	11.9	8 900	11.4	306	1.3	7 579	2.1	13 511	2.2
Licking .....	393	1.2	54 931	1.2	2 257 530	1.1	690	.8	25 332	1.3	61 220	1.5
Logan .....	433	1.1	74 142	1.0	3 118 909	1.0	362	1.3	11 690	2.0	31 516	2.1
Lorain .....	360	1.1	58 673	1.2	1 851 008	1.2	305	1.3	10 979	1.8	26 042	2.1
Lucas .....	215	1.2	33 726	1.3	1 343 248	1.4	49	3.9	1 282	8.7	4 214	9.1
Madison .....	452	.9	116 150	.8	5 366 226	.7	186	1.9	6 276	2.5	17 201	2.3
Mahoning .....	120	2.1	9 226	1.7	328 791	1.8	308	1.1	12 451	1.7	31 892	2.0
Marion .....	377	.9	112 641	.8	4 651 083	.7	144	2.2	4 410	2.2	11 716	1.7
Medina .....	277	1.6	29 273	1.8	971 228	1.7	456	1.1	15 515	1.5	32 868	1.6
Meigs .....	14	7.3	823	11.8	37 656	11.2	353	.9	15 964	1.7	33 385	1.8
Mercer .....	881	.6	91 518	.7	4 183 346	.7	387	1.1	19 658	1.3	68 624	1.1
Miami .....	596	.9	76 360	1.0	3 565 824	.9	296	1.6	6 969	2.4	18 391	2.7
Monroe .....	1	25.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	492	.6	21 643	1.3	43 070	1.5
Montgomery .....	356	1.1	36 816	1.6	1 598 233	1.5	280	1.5	6 938	3.2	15 071	3.5
Morgan .....	9	9.0	279	11.6	11 309	13.0	397	.8	17 826	1.2	40 129	1.4
Morrow .....	379	1.1	56 759	1.2	2 300 341	1.1	317	1.3	8 876	1.7	24 497	2.2
Muskingum .....	75	2.7	6 855	2.5	304 298	2.5	782	.6	35 944	1.0	85 234	1.2
Noble .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	447	.6	20 109	1.1	35 081	1.5
Ottawa .....	362	.8	56 213	1.0	2 110 028	1.0	118	2.2	3 598	2.1	11 450	2.0
Paudling .....	452	.7	92 839	.8	3 678 134	.8	81	3.1	3 470	5.4	6 649	4.6
Perry .....	106	2.6	8 783	2.9	404 976	2.9	404	1.1	14 617	2.0	30 206	2.2

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Soybeans for beans						Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Pickaway .....	417	.9	93 962	.7	4 358 129	.7	209	1.7	8 164	2.9	23 088	3.3
Pike .....	52	3.8	6 115	4.1	254 309	4.9	266	1.3	10 908	2.2	23 931	2.5
Portage .....	147	2.0	13 980	2.1	447 253	2.0	416	1.0	14 428	1.7	32 169	1.8
Preble .....	540	.8	69 223	.8	3 173 201	.8	366	1.2	8 661	1.8	22 016	2.3
Putnam .....	1 151	.5	129 313	.7	5 288 436	.7	293	1.4	8 891	1.6	27 873	1.7
Richland .....	352	1.4	35 142	1.6	1 391 180	1.7	494	1.1	16 732	1.9	37 158	2.0
Ross .....	314	1.4	52 465	1.0	2 480 648	1.0	459	1.2	19 158	1.5	46 940	1.6
Sandusky .....	617	.6	86 702	.8	3 555 068	.8	224	1.6	6 926	1.5	21 829	1.9
Scioto .....	82	2.9	13 672	2.4	512 961	2.6	380	1.1	12 721	2.1	26 620	2.3
Seneca .....	965	.7	119 353	.9	4 654 921	.9	322	1.5	7 031	2.3	18 533	2.8
Shelby .....	681	.7	77 236	.9	3 418 915	.9	324	1.3	11 624	1.5	32 716	1.5
Stark .....	244	1.5	20 215	1.7	781 113	1.6	614	.8	22 477	1.3	51 450	1.4
Summit .....	27	5.4	1 144	9.2	37 707	8.8	99	2.4	2 937	2.6	6 059	3.1
Trumbull .....	233	1.5	22 303	1.9	682 636	1.8	486	.9	17 362	1.4	35 192	1.4
Tuscarawas .....	66	3.6	6 176	4.1	251 485	4.0	670	.8	31 368	1.2	72 474	1.4
Union .....	487	.8	94 585	.9	3 787 503	.8	250	1.5	8 095	1.8	19 074	1.8
Van Wert .....	595	.4	110 874	.6	4 832 513	.6	104	2.2	2 455	2.8	6 222	3.0
Vinton .....	6	7.5	653	4.7	26 696	4.6	153	1.2	8 508	2.2	16 602	2.3
Warren .....	233	1.5	38 828	1.1	1 532 323	1.0	298	1.4	7 657	2.0	16 689	2.4
Washington .....	90	2.6	4 478	2.0	201 678	2.1	673	.7	23 755	.9	50 833	1.2
Wayne .....	480	1.2	35 523	1.6	1 490 693	1.5	976	.8	43 876	.9	116 902	1.0
Williams .....	508	1.1	71 497	1.1	2 808 445	1.1	208	2.1	5 014	2.4	13 498	2.7
Wood .....	803	.7	129 727	.8	5 686 680	.7	184	2.1	5 621	3.3	15 873	3.3
Wyandot .....	463	.8	84 232	.9	3 443 743	.9	117	2.4	3 235	3.1	10 212	3.3
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)						Acres					
	Farms			Acres							Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
	Number		Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		Number							
Ohio .....	2 177										.5	
Adams .....	22										18.5	
Allen .....	7										6.2	
Ashland .....	32										6.9	
Ashtrabula .....	39										11.7	
Athens .....	12										11.8	
Auglaize .....	2										(D)	
Belmont .....	9										10.1	
Brown .....	19										9.3	
Butler .....	25										16.2	
Carroll .....	27										8.7	
Champaign .....	14										(D)	
Clark .....	26										10.2	
Clermont .....	33										9.6	
Clinton .....	15										8.4	
Columbiana .....	41										2.1	
Coshcoton .....	19										5.5	
Crawford .....	8										13.6	
Cuyahoga .....	16										7.7	
Darke .....	32										3.2	
Defiance .....	5										20.2	
Delaware .....	26										10.4	
Erie .....	36										6.0	
Fairfield .....	23										9.5	
Fayette .....	5										16.7	
Franklin .....	21										6.1	
Fulton .....	37										1.0	
Gallia .....	37										9.5	
Geauga .....	51										6.2	
Greene .....	30										1.5	
Guerney .....	12										11.9	
Hamilton .....	23										4.0	
Hancock .....	12										2.4	
Hardin .....	9										(D)	
Harrison .....	6										7.8	
Henry .....	24										1.9	
Highland .....	20										10.3	
Hocking .....	11										13.4	
Holmes .....	58										7.1	
Huron .....	27										.3	
Jackson .....	15										11.0	
Jefferson .....	6										14.5	
Knox .....	28										8.8	
Lake .....	30										7.4	
Lawrence .....	40										6.3	

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.			
	Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)			
	Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Licking.....	34	4.9	191	6.7
Logan.....	12	8.0	229	8.6
Lorain.....	59	3.8	1 087	4.9
Lucas.....	42	3.5	1 948	1.4
Madison.....	18	7.3	171	11.0
Mahoning.....	45	4.0	893	3.9
Marion.....	10	9.7	56	7.1
Medina.....	54	4.0	503	3.7
Meigs.....	49	3.8	845	4.6
Mercer.....	11	8.9	70	9.6
Miami.....	20	6.6	348	3.5
Monroe.....	5	12.4	20	15.1
Montgomery.....	36	4.7	205	8.7
Morgan.....	10	8.0	28	10.5
Morrow.....	12	8.1	47	11.4
Muskingum.....	33	4.3	216	4.9
Noble.....	1	27.2	(D)	(D)
Ottawa.....	27	4.4	1 464	1.0
Paulding.....	4	12.0	(D)	(D)
Perry.....	11	8.9	53	14.9
Pickaway.....	14	7.5	228	8.4
Pike.....	17	7.5	61	9.3
Portage.....	54	3.9	1 030	6.2
Preble.....	21	5.6	167	5.8
Putnam.....	33	3.6	2 811	1.8
Richland.....	29	6.0	207	11.3
Ross.....	14	8.3	58	4.6
Sandusky.....	54	2.6	3 930	1.2
Scioto.....	26	5.4	221	4.1
Seneca.....	13	5.6	939	3.3
Shelby.....	5	12.2	18	19.3
Stark.....	80	3.1	1 842	1.4
Summit.....	35	5.3	736	9.6
Trumbull.....	50	3.7	301	4.9
Tuscarawas.....	14	8.4	70	10.8
Union.....	11	9.5	34	11.5
Van Wert.....	6	9.4	14	7.6
Vinton.....	7	9.8	15	11.7
Warren.....	40	4.6	318	6.4
Washington.....	35	4.1	740	4.6
Wayne.....	75	3.5	521	6.1
Williams.....	12	8.5	688	2.4
Wood.....	46	3.8	2 864	2.1
Wyandot.....	3	13.3	(D)	(D)

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table G. Coverage Estimates: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census total	Coverage total <sup>1</sup>	Adjusted census		Relative standard error (percent)	Coverage adjustment (percent)
			Total			
Farms ..... number..	68 591	10 131	78 722		2.0	12.9
Land in farms ..... acres..	14 103 085	468 799	14 571 884		1.4	3.2
Average size of farm .....	206	46	185		(X)	(X)
Farms by size of farm:						
Less than 10 acres .....	5 271	1 973	7 244		7.5	27.2
10 to 49 acres .....	15 811	5 089	20 900		4.8	24.3
50 to 179 acres .....	26 658	2 260	28 918		2.7	7.8
180 acres or more .....	20 851	809	21 660		2.3	3.7
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$2,500 .....	15 967	7 582	23 549		4.9	32.2
\$2,500 to \$9,999 .....	16 750	1 591	18 341		3.6	8.7
\$10,000 or more .....	35 874	958	36 832		1.9	2.6
Market value of agricultural products sold.....\$1,000..	4 684 277	43 030	4 727 307		.8	.9
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family .....	59 716	10 025	69 741		2.3	14.4
Partnership, corporation, or other .....	8 875	106	8 981		2.8	1.2
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners .....	40 819	8 743	49 562		2.9	17.6
Part owners .....	21 505	487	21 992		1.4	2.2
Tenants .....	6 267	901	7 168		5.4	12.6
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated .....	53 398	8 621	62 019		2.2	13.9
Not on farm operated .....	10 983	1 040	12 023		5.3	8.7
Not reported .....	4 210	470	4 680		8.8	10.0
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming .....	31 022	2 544	33 566		2.2	7.6
Other .....	37 569	7 587	45 156		3.0	16.8
Operators by sex:						
Male .....	63 852	8 835	72 687		2.1	12.2
Female .....	4 739	1 296	6 035		8.1	21.5
Operators by race:						
White .....	68 272	10 074	78 346		2.0	12.9
Black and other races .....	319	57	376		30.9	15.2
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less .....	6 750	2 461	9 211		5.5	26.7
5 years or more .....	51 439	6 379	57 818		1.8	11.0
Not reported .....	10 402	1 291	11 693		7.6	11.0

<sup>1</sup> See text in Appendix C regarding coverage estimates.