## FRUITS and VEGETABLES PRICE and YIELD DATA, 2005

New England Agricultural Statistics is in the fourth year of a five-year study of fruit and vegetable prices and yields at the request of USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA). Funding was provided by the State Departments of Agriculture in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. This data series is provided as a valuable tool for growers to use in making production and marketing decisions, for State FSA offices to administer farm programs based on individual state yield and price data, for Cooperative Extension to provide needed outreach and education, and for the State Departments of Agriculture to assist growers.

Over 2,800 tree fruit, berry and vegetable growers were contacted in October and November of 2005 in the six-state region. Approximately 2,200 producer responses were tabulated for this publication. The survey was designed to provide state and regional prices and yields for selected fruit and vegetable commodities which were not in the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) estimating program. Producers in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont were asked to provide acreage, production, wholesale and retail price information for tree fruits, berries and 28 selected vegetable crops. Published prices and yields do not distinguish between organically and conventionally grown products. In 2005, approximately $21 \%$ of respondents indicated that their operations produced organic products for sale according to the National Organic Standards.

The success of this project is credited to the cooperation of the thousands of growers across New England. We sincerely appreciate their time and effort in supplying crop information. As with all NASS survey work, individual grower information is kept strictly confidential and is exempted from requests under the Freedom of Information Act. The individual reports were only used in combination with other reports to establish state and regional estimates. Estimates in this report that could disclose individual farm data were recorded as a "D".

Fresh Market Vegetables: New England's weather brought many challenges to vegetable growers in 2005. Excessive soil moisture delayed planting of many crops by one to two weeks. Emergence and growth was slow due to cold soil temperatures in May and early June. Two frosts in early May hit asparagus at harvest, causing losses in some areas. Poor germination and seed rot caused by cold wet soils forced growers to replant some early sweet corn varieties. Warm weather finally arrived in late June, which contributed to rapid growth and helped farmers catch up. However, some growers reported that warm conditions in July coupled with the cool start in the spring caused all sweet corn varieties to ripen at once, forcing acreage to be left unharvested. Hot, humid weather in July and August caused stress on more sensitive crops. Growers in southern New England reported losses on peppers, tomatoes, cucumbers and squash due to high heat. Scattered thunderstorms in August and September did not provide enough moisture for crop development and to replenish water supplies. Growers who were able to irrigate
their fields throughout August saw average to above average yields. Heavy rain arrived in September, as the remnants of three hurricanes dumped several inches of water across most of the region. Hundreds of acres were flooded along river banks and many late season vegetables were either damaged or lost.

Survey Specifics: The "All Price per Pound" column includes fresh market vegetables only, and represents the average price received by growers at the point of first sale, including both retail and wholesale prices. New England agriculture's proximity to large populations have encouraged farmers to market directly to the public through roadside stands and "Pick Your Own" (PYO) ventures; thus, commanding the higher retail price at many farm locations. Differences in average prices between states for an individual crop are largely attributed to the amount of crop sold retail or wholesale in that state. Most vegetable growers were able to provide price data. The lack of adequate farm records hindered many producers from responding to the production questions. The yield data series was modified from providing a yield that would represent a total state average, to publishing the average yield from reports tabulated.

Tree Fruits: New England utilized apple production in 2005 totaled 2.8 million bushels (42-pound units), 29 percent below 2004 utilized output. Spring began warm and wet but May brought very cold conditions. Reportedly one of the coldest May's in history. Full crop potential was limited by light bloom, poor pollination, and minor fruit scab .Two light frosts hit during the month of May which caused further damage after bloom. By June, warmer weather arrived and remained until September; However, moisture levels were extremely variable throughout the region. Major storms in September and October brought heavy rains, causing difficulty during harvest at many orchards. A warm fall delayed the first frost until mid-October. A preliminary grower estimate of price received placed 2005 New England apple crop value at $\$ 39.1$ million, 26 percent below the previous year. A revised estimate of value will be available July 6, 2006, after the majority of the 2005 crop has been marketed.

Full crop potential at Connecticut's pear orchards was also limited by May's unusually cold conditions. A mid-May frost resulted in light damage during the bloom stage at many locations. Warm weather which arrived in June and remained in the state through the beginning of September enhanced pear development, however moisture availability was scarce. Rain showers finally arrived in mid-September, but persisted into October and interfered with harvest. By the end of October, crop specialists had rated condition mostly good to fair. Utilized pear production in Connecticut totaled 40,000 bushels (50-pound units), 11 percent above last year's low yielding crop, but 23 percent short of 2003 output. The value of the 2005 pear crop in Connecticut was placed at $\$ 952,000,32$ percent above the 2004 value. The yield for the five remaining New England states averaged 63 bushels per bearing acre, seven percent below last year's crop. The value of the 2005 pear crop in Connecticut was placed at $\$ 952,000,32$ percent above the 2004 value.

Peach growers contended with less than optimal growing conditions in 2005. A cold winter with heavy snowfall lasted long into the spring. Wet and cold weather in May contributed to unfavorable conditions which delayed full bloom and resulted in late peach development. From June to early September levels of production were extremely variable throughout Connecticut depending on moisture availability. Most of the state experienced drought-like conditions. Utilized peach production in Connecticut and Massachusetts in 2005 totaled 70,000 bushels (48-pound units), seven percent lower than the 2004 utilized output. In the four remaining states, yields averaged 91 bushels per bearing acre, 11 percent below last year's crop. The value of the 2005 peach crop in Connecticut and Massachusetts was placed at $\$ 2.6$ million, six percent below 2004.

Survey Specifics: Yield per bearing acre for all tree fruit crops is based on total production, which includes unharvested production and fruit harvested but not sold due to market restrictions. Yield also includes reports from orchards with bearing acreage and no production in 2005. Apple estimates are based on reports from orchards with 100 or more apple trees. The apple prices published for the six New England States represent a preliminary estimated price for all sales, fresh and processed. After the apple crop is marketed, growers will be asked to provide final price data. Any revisions to price and production will be published in the Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts Report, scheduled for publication in July, 2006. Peach data are based on reports from orchards with ten or more peach trees. Pear data are based on reports from orchards with ten or more pear trees. The price per bushel published for peaches and pears in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont represents the fresh market average price received by growers at the point of first sale. There were
insufficient sales to establish a processed price for peaches and pears for these states. The Connecticut pear price is an "All Price," and includes sales for fresh and processed markets.

Fresh Market Berries: Field work for berry crops in 2005 began one week later than usual due to cool, wet weather prevailing throughout April and May. The late spring conditions also resulted in a wide variation in strawberry yields and delayed ripening throughout New England. The frost on May 13 forced strawberry growers to irrigate to prevent damage. Cool, cloudy weather during May also limited effectiveness of bees during pollination. Warmth and sunshine arrived in mid-June, causing strawberries to quickly ripen. The Fourth of July weekend brought perfect weather for strawberry picking, and helped with advancement of raspberries and cultivated blueberries. Blueberry and raspberry harvests began at pick-your-own operations in mid-July. Rainy nights and warm days at the end of July helped to improve the berry quality.

Survey Specifics: Price and yield data are published for cultivated blueberries, raspberries and strawberries sold for fresh market only. Fresh and processed wild blueberry prices were available for Maine. There were an insufficient number of reports received to publish a fresh wild blueberry price and yield for the other New England States. The "All Price Per Pound" published includes fresh market berries only and represents the average price received by growers at the point of first sale, which includes both retail and wholesale prices. Most berry growers were able to provide price data; however, production figures were unavailable from a large number of contacts made. The yield data series was modified from providing a yield that would represent a total state average, to publishing the average yield from reports tabulated.

Cultivated Blueberries: Yield and Price, 2002-2005

| Blueberries, Cultivated (High Bush) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{1 /} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{21}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }{ }^{3 /} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 /}$ | Blueberries, Cultivated (High Bush) |  | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{31} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 22 | 3,100 | 37 | 1.25 | 2002 | 9 | 2,800 | 16 | 1.40 |
| 2003 | 13 | 2,300 | 33 | 1.85 | 2003 | 10 | 1,400 | 18 | 1.65 |
| 2004 | 22 | 2,300 | 49 | 1.95 | 2004 | 9 | 2,700 | 21 | 1.50 |
| 2005 | 28 | 3,000 | 60 | 1.60 | 2005 | 12 | 1,900 | 22 | 1.85 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 71 | 2,600 | 97 | 1.75 | 2002 | 28 | 3,700 | 35 | 1.70 |
| 2003 | 44 | 2,800 | 115 | 1.75 | 2003 | 13 | 2,400 | 27 | 1.90 |
| 2004 | 59 | 2,400 | 135 | 2.00 | 2004 | 23 | 2,500 | 46 | 2.10 |
| 2005 | 72 | 3,000 | 142 | 1.95 | 2005 | 25 | 4,000 | 56 | 2.10 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{5 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 35 | 4,000 | 47 | 1.60 | 2002 | 165 | 3,100 | 232 | 1.60 |
| 2003 | 26 | 2,600 | 51 | 1.70 | 2003 | 106 | 2,500 | 244 | 1.65 |
| 2004 | 37 | 3,500 | 64 | 1.95 | 2004 | 150 | 2,600 | 315 | 1.95 |
| 2005 | 46 | 3,500 | 75 | 1.75 | 2005 | 183 | 3,200 | 355 | 1.85 |

Raspberries, and Strawberries: Yield and Price, 2002-2005

| Raspberries, All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{1 /} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{21}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }{ }^{3 /} \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 /}$ | Raspberries, All | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }{ }^{1 /} \end{gathered}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{2 /}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }{ }^{3 /} \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 21 | 2,000 | 33 | 2.85 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | 17 | 1,000 | 44 | 3.35 | 2003 | D | D | 9 | 2.75 |
| 2004 | 21 | 2,000 | 69 | 3.45 | 2004 | D | D | 15 | 4.00 |
| 2005 | 25 | 1,900 | 86 | 3.65 | 2005 | D | D | 7 | 5.15 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 39 | 1,750 | 67 | 3.15 | 2002 | 14 | 2,100 | 21 | 3.10 |
| 2003 | 28 | 2,700 | 69 | 3.75 | 2003 | 15 | 1,500 | 23 | 3.75 |
| 2004 | 31 | 2,100 | 111 | 3.75 | 2004 | 14 | 1,700 | 39 | 3.65 |
| 2005 | 33 | 2,100 | 95 | 3.55 | 2005 | 13 | 1,300 | 41 | 3.50 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{5 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 24 | 2,500 | 29 | 2.85 | 2002 | D | 2,000 | D | 3.00 |
| 2003 | 11 | 1,750 | 37 | 3.60 | 2003 | D | 1,900 | 182 | 3.60 |
| 2004 | 19 | 2,100 | 44 | 3.45 | 2004 | D | 2,100 | 278 | 3.65 |
| 2005 | 22 | 1,900 | 51 | 3.85 | 2005 | D | 1,800 | 280 | 3.60 |
| Strawberries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{1 /} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{21}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }{ }^{3 /} \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 l}$ | Strawberries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{1 /} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{21}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{3 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{4 /}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 30 | 6,150 | 54 | 1.50 | $2002$ | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | 38 | 6,900 | 65 | 1.45 | 2003 | D | D | 7 | 1.65 |
| 2004 | 31 | 5,000 | 64 | 1.65 | 2004 | D | D | 8 | 1.65 |
| 2005 | 28 | 5,900 | 65 | 1.70 | 2005 | D | D | 12 | 2.00 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| $2002$ | 46 | 5,500 | 71 | 1.65 | 2002 | 24 | 5,900 | 37 | 1.70 |
| 2003 | 36 | 6,400 | 88 | 1.75 | 2003 | 22 | 5,100 | 40 | 1.80 |
| 2004 | 39 | 6,200 | 108 | 1.80 | 2004 | 24 | 5,200 | 53 | 1.85 |
| 2005 | 47 | 6,300 | 110 | 1.90 |  | 15 | 4,850 | 47 | 1.95 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{5 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 24 | 6,200 | 38 | 1.55 | 2002 | D | 5,800 | D | 1.60 |
| 2003 | 17 | 6,600 | 36 | 1.80 | 2003 | D | 6,150 | 236 | 1.65 |
| 2004 | 19 | 6,400 | 43 | 2.00 | 2004 | D | 5,400 | 276 | 1.80 |
| 2005 | 20 | 6,200 | 38 | 2.05 | 2005 | D | 6,000 | 272 | 1.85 |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of farms reporting production or yield.
${ }^{2 /}$ Total tabulated pounds produced per bearing acre harvested.
${ }^{31}$ Number of farms reporting a berry price.
${ }^{4 /}$ Average price per pound received at point of first sale; fresh market average of retail and wholesale sales.
${ }^{5 /}$ New England includes ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT.
D - Data withheld to avoid disclosing information for individual farms.


| Peaches: Yield and Price 2002-2005 |  |  |  |  |  | Pears : Yield and Price 2002-2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State and Year |  | Peaches ${ }^{1 /}$ (48-lb bu) |  |  |  | Pears ${ }^{1 /}$ (50-Ib bu) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number of Reports | Yield per Bearing Acre ${ }^{2}$ | Number of Reports | Fresh Market Price per Bushel ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports | Yield per Bearing Acre | Number of Reports | Fresh Market Price per Bushel ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| Maine |  | Number | Bushels | Number | Dollars | Number | Bushels | Number | Dollars |
|  | 2002 | 10 | 45 | 8 | 38.40 | 34 | 65 | 10 | 32.50 |
|  | 2003 | D | D | D | D | 14 | 65 | 10 | 27.50 |
|  | 2004 | D | D | D | D | 12 | 55 | 8 | 28.00 |
|  | 2005 | 6 | 40 | D | D | 18 | 75 | 9 | 35.00 |
| Massachusetts ${ }^{4 /}$ | 2002 | NA | 130 | NA | 38.26 | 79 | 30 | 24 | 30.00 |
|  | 2003 | NA | 166 | NA | 38.57 | 47 | 90 | 36 | 25.00 |
|  | 2004 | NA | 105 | NA | 35.63 | 42 | 70 | 28 | 17.50 |
|  | 2005 | 78 | 111 | 40 | 36.22 | 44 | 60 | 22 | 32.50 |
| New Hampshire | 2002 | 24 | 110 | 14 | 43.20 | D | D | D | D |
|  | 2003 | 20 | 130 | 18 | 43.20 | D | D | D | D |
|  | 2004 | 24 | 80 | D | D | D | D | D | D |
|  | 2005 | 21 | 95 | 10 | 36.20 | 6 | 106 | D | D |
| Rhode Island | 2002 | 12 | 120 | 11 | 45.60 | D | D | D | D |
|  | 2003 | 15 | 155 | 12 | 43.20 | 5 | 110 | D | D |
|  | 2004 | 12 | 195 | 7 | 42.00 | D | D | D | D |
|  | 2005 | 12 | 130 | 9 | 40.80 | D | D | D | D |
| Vermont | 2002 | D | D | D | D | 7 | 40 | 6 | 25.00 |
|  | 2003 | D | D | D | D | 6 | 60 | D | D |
|  | 2004 | D | D | D | D | 6 | 50 | D | D |
|  | 2005 | 5 | 40 | D | D | 8 | 45 | 5 | 33.20 |
| NEW ENGLAND ${ }^{5 /}$ | 2002 | NA | 124 | NA | 40.80 | 127 | 40 | 45 | 37.75 |
|  | 2003 | NA | 157 | NA | 39.40 | D | 90 | 58 | 26.20 |
|  | 2004 | NA | 102 | NA | 37.00 | D | 68 | 44 | 19.30 |
|  | 2005 | 122 | 105 | NA | 36.60 | D | 63 | D | 32.50 |

${ }^{1 /}$ Peach and Pear data are based on production from orchards with ten or more peach or pear trees.
${ }^{2 /}$ Yield per bearing acre is based on total production, which includes unharvested production and fruit harvested but not sold due to market restrictions. Yield includes reports from orchards with bearing acreage and no production. Yields from pear trees grown on wire excluded.
${ }^{3 /}$ Average fresh market price received by farmers at point of first sale. Insufficient sales to establish a processed price
Massachusetts Peach SOURCE: Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts - Preliminary, 3:00 p.m., January 24, 2006, National Agricultural Statistics Service, USDA.
${ }^{5 /}$ New England includes ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT.
D - Data withheld to avoid disclosinginformation from individual farms

Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Asparagus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Asparagus | Number of Reports ${ }^{2 /}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 5 | 700 | 11 | 2.45 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 14 | 2.30 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 17 | 2.15 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | 6 | 450 | 28 | 2.45 | 2005 | D | D | 5 | 3.00 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| $2002$ | 17 | 1,400 | 40 | 1.80 | 2002 | 14 | 2,400 | 21 | 2.45 |
| 2003 | 12 | 1,100 | 36 | 2.00 | 2003 | D | D | 12 | 2.75 |
| 2004 | 22 | 1,400 | 42 | 2.30 | 2004 | D | D | 14 | 2.60 |
| 2005 | 19 | 900 | 46 | 2.50 | 2005 | 5 | 1,400 | 2 | 3.00 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 8 | 2.65 | 2002 | 39 | 1,500 | D | 1.95 |
| 2003 | D | D | 6 | 2.20 | 2003 | 19 | 1,250 | D | 2.20 |
| 2004 | D | D | D | D | 2004 | 29 | 1,450 | 80 | 2.40 |
| 2005 | D | D | 7 | 2.60 | 2005 | D | 950 | 106 | 2.55 |

See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.


See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Cabbage <br> (AII) | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Cabbage <br> (AII) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 16 | 16,000 | 51 | 0.30 | 2002 | D | D | 6 | 0.30 |
| 2003 | D | D | 26 | 0.25 | 2003 | D | D | 5 | 0.25 |
| 2004 | D | D | 52 | 0.30 | 2004 | D | D | 12 | 0.15 |
| 2005 | 7 | 13,000 | 66 | 0.40 | 2005 | D | D | 11 | 0.25 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 21 | 26,000 | 46 | 0.20 | 2002 | 5 | 17,300 | 20 | 0.35 |
| 2003 | 14 | 22,300 | 44 | 0.15 | 2003 | 4 | 17,700 | 18 | 0.30 |
| 2004 | 10 | 32,000 | 72 | 0.25 | 2004 | 8 | 22,000 | 35 | 0.25 |
| 2005 | 15 | 22,800 | 85 | 0.25 | 2005 | 7 | 16,000 | 39 | 0.35 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 5 | 14,500 | 23 | 0.55 | 2002 | D | 26,000 | 146 | 0.25 |
| 2003 | D | D | 11 | 0.45 | 2003 | 24 | 22,000 | 104 | 0.20 |
| 2004 | D | D | 30 | 0.35 | 2004 | 31 | 28,000 | 201 | 0.25 |
| 2005 | D | D | 30 | 0.50 | 2005 | D | 19,800 | 231 | 0.30 |
| Cantaloupe and Muskmelons | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }{ }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ | Cantaloupe and Muskmelons | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 l}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 l}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 7 | 24,200 | 27 | 0.65 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 20 | 0.60 | 2003 | D | D | 5 | 0.75 |
| 2004 | D | D | 37 | 0.75 | 2004 | D | D | 5 | 0.80 |
| 2005 | D | D | 30 | 0.65 | 2005 | D | D | 8 | 0.90 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 17 | 3,300 | 42 | 0.55 | 2002 | 5 | 8,500 | 21 | 0.60 |
| 2003 | 8 | 27,400 | 27 | 0.55 | 2003 | D | D | 12 | 0.75 |
| 2004 | 8 | 7,600 | 40 | 0.55 | 2004 | D | D | 22 | 0.50 |
| 2005 | 10 | 6,900 | 59 | 0.60 | 2005 | D | D | 38 | 0.80 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 8 | 13,100 | 32 | 0.60 | 2002 | D | 10,700 | D | 0.60 |
| 2003 | D | D | 17 | 0.65 | 2003 | 14 | 19,500 | 81 | 0.60 |
| 2004 | D | D | 28 | 0.65 | 2004 | 21 | 10,000 | 132 | 0.60 |
| 2005 | D | D | 31 | 0.75 | 2005 | 23 | 8,600 | 166 | 0.70 |
| Carrots | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 l}$ | Carrots | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{21} \end{gathered}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 13 | 8,900 | 53 | 0.95 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | 8 | 9,900 | 43 | 0.95 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | 10 | 8,900 | 67 | 1.00 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | 7 | 12,200 | 69 | 1.15 | 2005 | D | D | 8 | 1.35 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 16 | 3,000 | 45 | 0.65 | 2002 | 13 | 12,800 | 41 | 0.60 |
| 2003 | D | D | 35 | 0.45 | 2003 | 4 | 13,100 | 26 | 0.65 |
| 2004 | 7 | 12,000 | 56 | 0.65 | 2004 | 8 | 14,800 | 40 | 0.70 |
| 2005 | 7 | 9,000 | 65 | 0.80 | 2005 | 6 | 11,400 | 44 | 0.80 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 24 | 1.15 | 2002 | 48 | 7,000 | D | 0.70 |
| 2003 | D | D | 17 | 1.20 | 2003 | 16 | 11,700 | D | 0.70 |
| 2004 | D | D | 38 | 1.05 | 2004 | 29 | 12,800 | D | 0.80 |
| 2005 | 5 | 9,650 | 36 | 1.35 | 2005 | D | 10,400 | 222 | 0.90 |

See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

| Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{\text {1/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cauliflower | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{2 l} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Cauliflower | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{2 l} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 18 | 1.50 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 11 | 1.40 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 31 | 1.15 | 2004 | D | D | 6 | 0.95 |
| 2005 | D | D | 38 | 1.30 | 2005 | D | D | 6 | 1.15 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 15 | 1.10 | 2002 | D | D | 9 | 1.10 |
| 2003 | D | D | 18 | 1.00 | 2003 | D | D | 10 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | D | D | 33 | 1.00 | 2004 | D | D | 20 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | D | D | 38 | 1.10 | 2005 | D | D | 19 | 1.20 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ D ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 9 | 1.70 | 2002 | 10 | 3,000 | D | 1.25 |
| 2003 | D | D | 8 | 1.35 | 2003 | D | D | D | 1.20 |
| 2004 | D | D | 14 | 1.50 | 2004 | D | D | 104 | 1.10 |
| 2005 | D | D | D | D | 2005 | D | D | D | 1.20 |
| Cucumbers (Excludes Pickles) | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Cucumbers (Excludes Pickles) | Number of Reports ${ }^{2}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 7,550 | 36 | 0.70 | 2002 | D | D | 6 | 0.65 |
| 2003 | 11 | 16,200 | 40 | 0.90 | 2003 | D | D | 11 | 0.70 |
| 2004 | 12 | 10,400 | 127 | 0.85 | 2004 | D | D | 25 | 0.70 |
| 2005 | 8 | 9,000 | 137 | 0.85 | 2005 | D | D | 24 | 0.95 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 39 | 12,500 | 74 | 0.70 | 2002 | 8 | 10,300 | 32 | 0.50 |
| 2003 | 16 | 14,000 | 62 | 0.70 | 2003 | D | D | 17 | 0.70 |
| 2004 | D | D | D | D | 2004 | 7 | 8,900 | 54 | 0.55 |
| 2005 | 25 | 9,500 | 153 | 0.45 | 2005 | 10 | 13,200 | 81 | 0.75 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 / 1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 7 | 4,100 | 23 | 0.85 | 2002 | D | 10,700 | 171 | 0.70 |
| 2003 | D | D | 31 | 0.90 | 2003 | 38 | 13,600 | 161 | 0.75 |
| 2004 | 8 | 9,300 | 62 | 0.95 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | 7 | 9,300 | 81 | 1.10 | 2005 | D | 9,500 | 476 | 0.65 |
| Eggplant | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Eggplant | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 7 | 5,500 | 27 | 1.20 | $2002$ | D | D | 12 | 0.50 |
| 2003 | D | D | 17 | 1.40 | 2003 | D | D | 9 | 0.60 |
| 2004 | D | D | 23 | 1.25 | 2004 | D | D | 19 | 0.50 |
| 2005 | D | D | 25 | 1.30 | 2005 | D | D | 20 | 0.45 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 36 | 16,000 | 93 | 0.45 | 2002 | D | D | 17 | 1.70 |
| 2003 | 7 | 10,200 | 60 | 0.60 | 2003 | D | D | 11 | 1.80 |
| 2004 | 13 | 13,900 | 91 | 0.65 | 2004 | D | D | 23 | 0.90 |
| 2005 | 14 | 14,000 | 126 | 0.75 | 2005 | D | D | 31 | 1.00 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 6 | 1,800 | 28 | 1.10 | 2002 | 54 | 14,000 | 177 | 0.70 |
| 2003 | D | D | 18 | 1.15 | 2003 | 12 | 10,800 | 115 | 0.75 |
| 2004 | D | D | 24 | 1.25 | 2004 | 26 | 13,500 | 180 | 0.70 |
| 2005 | D | D | 36 | 1.20 | 2005 | 27 | 11,200 | 238 | 0.75 |

[^0]| Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{\text {1/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lettuce, Head | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Lettuce, Head | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports } \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 l}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | D | D | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 4 | 1.10 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 23 | 1.10 | 2004 | D | D | 8 | 1.30 |
| 2005 | D | D | 43 | 1.05 | 2005 | D | D | 8 | 1.25 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 12 | 1.20 | 2002 | D | D | 7 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | D | D | 9 | 1.35 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | 9 | 7,300 | 44 | 1.10 | 2004 | D | D | 24 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 10 | 8,000 | 49 | 1.10 | 2005 | D | D | 23 | 1.00 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | D | D | 2002 | 8 | 6,500 | 30 | 1.25 |
| 2003 | D | D | D | D | 2003 | D | D | 18 | 1.30 |
| 2004 | D | D | 17 | 1.10 | 2004 | 19 | 8,600 | 116 | 1.10 |
| 2005 | D | D | 28 | 1.25 | 2005 | 20 | 7,500 | 151 | 1.10 |
| Lettuce, Leaf | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 l}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Lettuce, Leaf | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports } \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 l}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 25 | 2.65 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 14 | 1.95 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 38 | 1.40 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 53 | 2.45 | 2005 | D | D | 8 | 2.80 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 13,800 | 33 | 1.20 | 2002 | 7 | 9,800 | 19 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | 6 | 16,700 | 22 | 1.50 | 2003 | D | D | 20 | 0.85 |
| 2004 | 15 | 10,800 | 68 | 1.30 | 2004 | 8 | 9,500 | 27 | 0.80 |
| 2005 | 12 | 11,800 | 83 | 1.55 | 2005 | 7 | 4,800 | 48 | 1.35 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 11 | 1.50 | 2002 | 30 | 12,500 | D | 1.20 |
| 2003 | D | D | 8 | 1.30 | 2003 | 10 | 13,200 | D | 1.35 |
| 2004 | D | D | 29 | 1.50 | 2004 | 35 | 10,700 | 168 | 1.25 |
| 2005 | D | D | 39 | 1.80 | 2005 | 28 | 7,700 | 231 | 1.60 |
| Lettuce, Romaine | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 l}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Lettuce, Romaine | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports } \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | D | D | $2002$ | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 4 | 1.30 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 22 | 1.25 | 2004 | D | D | 6 | 1.30 |
| 2005 | D | D | 24 | 1.35 | 2005 | D | D | D | D |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 16 | 1.10 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 7 | 1.55 | 2003 | D | D | 6 | 0.50 |
| 2004 | D | D | 44 | 1.40 | 2004 | D | D | 20 | 0.65 |
| 2005 | 8 | 16,000 | 43 | 1.10 | 2005 | D | D | 25 | 0.90 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | D | D | 2002 | 12 | 11,500 | 30 | 1.20 |
| 2003 | D | D | D | D | 2003 | D | D | 20 | 1.10 |
| 2004 | D | D | 15 | 1.10 | 2004 | 17 | 7,400 | 107 | 1.15 |
| 2005 | D | D | 20 | 1.35 | 2005 | 16 | 11,200 | D | 1.10 |

See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Onions, Dry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Onions, Dry | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 10 | 7,250 | 46 | 0.85 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 24 | 0.85 | 2003 | D | D | 5 | 1.30 |
| 2004 | D | D | 40 | 1.00 | 2004 | D | D | 8 | 1.05 |
| 2005 | 8 | 5,800 | 56 | 1.00 | 2005 | D | D | 9 | 1.40 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 11 | 20,500 | 29 | 0.30 | 2002 | 5 | 7,200 | 31 | 0.75 |
| 2003 | D | D | 17 | 0.35 | 2003 | D | D | 21 | 0.90 |
| 2004 | 8 | 12,000 | 45 | 0.35 | 2004 | D | D | 32 | 0.85 |
| 2005 | 5 | 28,000 | 50 | 0.30 | 2005 | 7 | 5,700 | 42 | 0.90 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 6 | 6,800 | 16 | 0.80 | 2002 | D | 15,000 | D | 0.50 |
| 2003 | D | D | 7 | 1.10 | 2003 | 10 | 20,000 | 75 | 0.60 |
| 2004 | D | D | 20 | 1.05 | 2004 | 24 | 11,600 | 145 | 0.60 |
| 2005 | D | D | 21 | 1.35 | 2005 | 23 | 18,900 | 178 | 0.60 |
| Onions, Green | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{2 l} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Onions, Green | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{41}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 14 | 1.55 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 10 | 1.45 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 21 | 1.65 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 26 | 1.65 | 2005 | D | D | D | D |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 8 | 4,000 | 19 | 1.40 | 2002 | D | D | 14 | 0.85 |
| 2003 | D | D | 9 | 1.30 | 2003 | D | D | 4 | 0.85 |
| 2004 | D | D | 32 | 1.30 | 2004 | D | D | 21 | 1.50 |
| 2005 | D | D | 37 | 1.25 | 2005 | D | D | 26 | 1.75 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 13 | 1.05 | 2002 | 14 | 4,500 | D | 1.20 |
| 2003 | D | D | 8 | 1.00 | 2003 | D | D | D | 1.20 |
| 2004 | D | D | 15 | 1.30 | 2004 | D | D | D | 1.45 |
| 2005 | D | D | 21 | 1.25 | 2005 | D | D | D | 1.45 |
| Peas, Green (Fresh Only) | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Peas, Green (Fresh Only) | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 10 | 1,900 | 72 | 1.55 | 2002 | D | D | 7 | 2.10 |
| 2003 | 5 | 1,650 | 63 | 1.75 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | 15 | 2,300 | 89 | 1.80 | 2004 | D | D | 6 | 2.35 |
| 2005 | 10 | 1,950 | 83 | 1.90 | 2005 | D | D | 11 | 2.55 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 18 | 6,000 | 55 | 1.70 | 2002 | 8 | 3,900 | 42 | 2.40 |
| 2003 | D | D | 35 | 1.95 | 2003 | D | D | 26 | 2.50 |
| 2004 | 13 | 3,500 | 64 | 2.15 | 2004 | D | D | 44 | 2.15 |
| 2005 | 5 | 2,700 | 67 | 2.20 | 2005 | D | D | 55 | 2.35 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 8 | 2,350 | 37 | 2.00 | 2002 | D | 3,400 | 213 | 1.80 |
| 2003 | 5 | 2,000 | 22 | 2.05 | 2003 | 18 | 2,200 | D | 1.95 |
| 2004 | 8 | 5,000 | 40 | 2.45 | 2004 | 44 | 3,000 | 243 | 2.00 |
| 2005 | 7 | 4,300 | 38 | 2.20 | 2005 | 27 | 2,600 | 254 | 2.10 |

See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Peppers, Bell | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4 /}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Peppers, Bell | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 11 | 5,200 | 52 | 0.95 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 32 | 1.15 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 65 | 1.10 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 79 | 1.20 | 2005 | D | D | D | D |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 52 | 17,700 | 124 | 0.60 | 2002 | 9 | 16,000 | 35 | 0.85 |
| 2003 | 22 | 18,600 | 92 | 0.60 | 2003 | D | D | 22 | 1.15 |
| 2004 | 31 | 17,800 | 156 | 0.55 | 2004 | 7 | 14,200 | 40 | 0.65 |
| 2005 | 29 | 17,000 | 175 | 0.65 | 2005 | D | D | 48 | 0.85 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 10 | 12,500 | 39 | 0.90 | 2002 | D | 16,000 | D | 0.60 |
| 2003 | D | D | 27 | 1.20 | 2003 | 34 | 17,000 | D | 0.75 |
| 2004 | D | D | 50 | 1.25 | 2004 | 55 | 15,700 | D | 0.65 |
| 2005 | 6 | 8,100 | 64 | 1.20 | 2005 | 50 | 13,100 | D | 0.75 |
| Peppers, Other (Excludes Bell) | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Peppers, Other (Excludes Bell) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 13 | 1.40 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 12 | 1.00 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 26 | 1.45 | 2004 | D | D | 11 | 0.40 |
| 2005 | D | D | 34 | 1.60 | 2005 | D | D | 14 | 0.50 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 21 | 21,000 | 52 | 0.40 | 2002 | D | D | 14 | 2.50 |
| 2003 | 6 | 13,800 | 33 | 0.45 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | 13 | 20,300 | 74 | 0.30 | 2004 | D | D | 19 | 1.55 |
| 2005 | 14 | 19,200 | 75 | 0.50 | 2005 | D | D | 31 | 1.85 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 7 | 10,000 | 17 | 1.30 | 2002 | 33 | 20,500 | D | 0.55 |
| 2003 | D | D | D | D | 2003 | D | D | 58 | 0.65 |
| 2004 | D | D | 21 | 1.45 | 2004 | D | D | 151 | 0.55 |
| 2005 | D | D | 20 | 1.95 | 2005 | 20 | 17,600 | 174 | 0.75 |
| Pumpkins | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{41}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ | Pumpkins | Number of Reports ${ }^{2}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | Number of Reports ${ }^{4}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 30 | 18,750 | 85 | 0.30 | 2002 | D | D | 17 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | 25 | 14,700 | 83 | 0.25 | 2003 | 9 | 8,300 | 24 | 0.30 |
| 2004 | 38 | 13,500 | 164 | 0.35 | 2004 | 12 | 9,500 | 33 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | 55 | 9,100 | 167 | 0.30 | 2005 | 10 | 9,300 | 30 | 0.30 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 114 | 8,400 | 211 | 0.30 | 2002 | 19 | 12,000 | 61 | 0.20 |
| 2003 | 59 | 9,100 | 172 | 0.30 | 2003 | 18 | 9,700 | 61 | 0.20 |
| 2004 | 86 | 12,900 | 252 | 0.30 | 2004 | 28 | 12,200 | 88 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 97 | 9,300 | 274 | 0.30 | 2005 | 22 | 13,000 | 99 | 0.25 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 38 | 13,850 | 77 | 0.30 | 2002 | D | 10,700 | 451 | 0.30 |
| 2003 | 27 | 12,200 | 70 | 0.30 | 2003 | 138 | 10,300 | 410 | 0.30 |
| 2004 | 29 | 13,000 | 95 | 0.35 | 2004 | 139 | 12,700 | 632 | 0.30 |
| 2005 | 30 | 15,000 | 95 | 0.30 | 2005 | 214 | 10,500 | 665 | 0.30 |

[^1]Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Rutabaga | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{2 l} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { per Acre } \\ \text { (Pounds) }^{3 l} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Rutabaga | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 15 | 0.25 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | D | D | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | D | D | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 19 | 0.45 | 2005 | D | D | D | D |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 10 | 0.30 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 8 | 0.30 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | D | D | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 12 | 0.40 | 2005 | D | D | 11 | 0.60 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | D | D | 2002 | 14 | 14,200 | 33 | 0.35 |
| 2003 | D | D | D | D | 2003 | D | D | 23 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | D | D | D | D | 2004 | D | D | 39 | 0.50 |
| 2005 | D | D | 5 | 0.70 | 2005 | D | D | D | 0.45 |
| Squash, Summer | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ | Squash, Summer | Number of Reports ${ }^{21}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 20 | 6,000 | 78 | 0.50 | 2002 | D | D | 16 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | D | D | 51 | 0.70 | 2003 | D | D | 15 | 0.50 |
| 2004 | D | D | 100 | 0.85 | 2004 | D | D | 25 | 0.45 |
| 2005 | D | D | 114 | 0.90 | 2005 | D | D | 26 | 0.45 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 48 | 13,900 | 139 | 0.40 | 2002 | 11 | 16,300 | 54 | 0.60 |
| 2003 | 19 | 16,500 | 111 | 0.55 | 2003 | D | D | 35 | 0.70 |
| 2004 | 22 | 12,000 | 171 | 0.60 | 2004 | 8 | 8,100 | 51 | 0.65 |
| 2005 | 31 | 6,500 | 190 | 0.50 | 2005 | 11 | 8,100 | 76 | 0.70 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 13 | 13,000 | 68 | 0.80 | 2002 | D | 13,600 | 355 | 0.50 |
| 2003 | D | D | 43 | 0.95 | 2003 | 40 | 12,800 | 255 | 0.60 |
| 2004 | 19 | 11,800 | 64 | 0.90 | 2004 | 51 | 11,600 | 411 | 0.60 |
| 2005 | 10 | 8,600 | 82 | 1.00 | 2005 | 63 | 9,600 | 488 | 0.60 |
| Squash, Winter | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{21} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { per Acre } \\ (\text { Pounds })^{3 l} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{4 /} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Squash, Winter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{4 /} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 31 | 5,800 | 98 | 0.40 | 2002 | D | D | 14 | 0.20 |
| 2003 | 17 | 6,800 | 84 | 0.40 | 2003 | D | D | 15 | 0.25 |
| 2004 | 29 | 5,400 | 147 | 0.50 | 2004 | 6 | 14,000 | 27 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 34 | 8,800 | 145 | 0.50 | 2005 | D | D | 24 | 0.20 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 86 | 10,900 | 172 | 0.25 | 2002 | 20 | 9,750 | 67 | 0.35 |
| 2003 | 42 | 12,700 | 150 | 0.30 | 2003 | 12 | 12,400 | 61 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | 67 | 14,500 | 215 | 0.25 | 2004 | 17 | 8,800 | 74 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | 66 | 11,500 | 198 | 0.30 | 2005 | 18 | 10,800 | 91 | 0.45 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 24 | 6,400 | 69 | 0.50 | 2002 | D | 10,500 | 420 | 0.30 |
| 2003 | 12 | 11,900 | 51 | 0.50 | 2003 | 88 | 11,900 | 361 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | 17 | 7,600 | 72 | 0.45 | 2004 | 136 | 12,100 | 535 | 0.30 |
| 2005 | 17 | 11,200 | 81 | 0.45 | 2005 | D | 10,500 | 539 | 0.35 |

See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

Fresh Market Vegetables: Yield and Price, 2002-2005 ${ }^{1 /}$

| Spinach | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{2 /} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{51}$ | Spinach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 26 | 2.05 | 2002 | D | D | D | D |
| 2003 | D | D | 15 | 1.75 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 34 | 2.15 | 2004 | D | D | D | D |
| 2005 | D | D | 28 | 2.25 | 2005 | D | D | D | D |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 5 | 2,400 | 23 | 1.45 | 2002 | 5 | 2,450 | 27 | 2.05 |
| 2003 | D | D | 19 | 0.80 | 2003 | D | D | 21 | 2.30 |
| 2004 | D | D | 33 | 1.30 | 2004 | D | D | 32 | 2.10 |
| 2005 | D | D | 30 | 1.95 | 2005 | D | D | 36 | 2.25 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 11 | 2.00 | 2002 | 14 | 2,000 | D | 1.80 |
| 2003 | D | D | 7 | 1.20 | 2003 | D | D | D | 1.65 |
| 2004 | D | D | 18 | 1.50 | 2004 | 18 | 3,100 | D | 1.80 |
| 2005 | D | D | 16 | 1.35 | 2005 | D | D | D | 2.15 |
| Tomatoes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{21} \end{gathered}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Tomatoes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yield per Acre (Pounds) ${ }^{3 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{41} \end{aligned}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 8,200 | 88 | 1.45 | 2002 | 7 | 10,600 | 26 | 1.15 |
| 2003 | 12 | 8,300 | 85 | 1.55 | 2003 | 10 | 7,100 | 25 | 1.05 |
| 2004 | D | D | 130 | 1.60 | 2004 | 9 | 14,700 | 41 | 1.05 |
| 2005 | 13 | 7,500 | 148 | 1.75 | 2005 | D | D | 38 | 1.25 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 78 | 11,400 | 172 | 1.45 | 2002 | 13 | 6,700 | 60 | 1.50 |
| 2003 | 38 | 10,400 | 161 | 1.50 | 2003 | D | D | 51 | 1.75 |
| 2004 | 43 | 12,600 | 230 | 1.45 | 2004 | 9 | 16,500 | 60 | 1.55 |
| 2005 | 34 | 13,400 | 258 | 1.50 | 2005 | D | D | 87 | 1.80 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 23 | 11,600 | 71 | 1.50 | 2002 | 136 | 10,400 | 417 | 1.45 |
| 2003 | 10 | 16,600 | 70 | 1.60 | 2003 | D | 10,200 | 392 | 1.50 |
| 2004 | 12 | 11,000 | 84 | 1.60 | 2004 | D | 13,100 | 545 | 1.50 |
| 2005 | 13 | 8,300 | 102 | 1.70 | 2005 | 77 | 11,800 | 633 | 1.60 |
| Watermelon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { per Acre } \\ \text { (Pounds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{4 /} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ | Watermelon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Reports }^{21} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { per Acre } \\ \text { (Pounds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Reports }^{4 /} \\ \hline \end{array}{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | All Price per Pound (Dollars) ${ }^{5 /}$ |
| Maine |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | D | D | 20 | 0.50 | 2002 | D | D | 5 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | D | D | 16 | 0.50 | 2003 | D | D | D | D |
| 2004 | D | D | 22 | 0.55 | 2004 | D | D | 6 | 0.60 |
| 2005 | D | D | 15 | 0.50 | 2005 | D | D | 8 | 0.60 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 16 | 7,600 | 31 | 0.40 | 2002 | D | D | 19 | 0.55 |
| 2003 | D | D | 22 | 0.30 | 2003 | D | D | 6 | 0.40 |
| 2004 | 8 | 13,900 | 39 | 0.35 | 2004 | D | D | 13 | 0.40 |
| 2005 | 15 | 18,000 | 54 | 0.40 | 2005 | D | D | 29 | 0.60 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  | New England ${ }^{6 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 6 | 7,300 | 18 | 0.50 | 2002 | 30 | 7,350 | 93 | 0.45 |
| 2003 | D | D | 8 | 0.55 | 2003 | D | D | D | 0.40 |
| 2004 | D | D | 17 | 0.60 | 2004 | D | D | 97 | 0.45 |
| 2005 | D | D | 23 | 0.75 | 2005 | 23 | 11,300 | 129 | 0.50 |

${ }^{1 /}$ Fresh market vegetable yield data are based on production from farms with $3 / 10$ or more acres harvested of specified crop. Price data are based on reports from farmers with $1 / 10$ or more acres harvested of specified crop.
${ }_{31}^{2 /}$ Number of farms reporting production or yield.
${ }^{3 /}$ Total tabulated pounds produced per acre harvested.
${ }^{4 /}$ Number of farms reporting the specified vegetable price.
${ }^{5 /}$ Average price per pound received at point of first sale. Fresh market average of retail and wholesale sales.
${ }^{6 /}$ New England includes ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT.
D - Data withheld to avoid disclosing information for individual farms.


[^0]:    See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

[^1]:    See Footnotes at the end of table on page 14.

