Table 54.—Capital Investment on Other Field-Crop Farms in Specified Peanut Subregions, by Economic Class of Farm: 1954

		T										
Item	All	Economic class of farm										
	farms	I	11	III	IV	v	VI					
	Virginia-North Carolina (subregion 21)											
Investment per farm (dollars):												
Land and buildings Livestock Machinery	9, 962 716 2, 113	68, 702 5, 498 9, 288	27, 797 2, 159 5, 081	13,000 859 2,512	7, 863 539 1, 767	5,003 425 1,466	3, 805 274 904					
Total	12,791	83, 488	35, 037	16, 371	10, 169	6, 894	4, 983					
	Georgia-Alabama-Florida (subregion 41)											
Investment per farm		Γ	<u> </u>		·	l						
(dollars): Land and buildings Livestock Machinery	7, 385 841 2, 064	85, 371 8, 195 14, 336	25, 403 2, 937 6, 156	11, 133 1, 260 2, 976	7, 249 747 1, 995	4, 372 483 1, 364	3, 318 325 780					
Total	10, 290	107, 902	34, 496	15, 369	9, 991	6, 219	4, 423					
	Oklahoma-Texas (subregion 96)											
Investment per farm		[1		l .	Ī					
(dollars): Land and buildings Livestock Machinery	11, 721 1, 045 3, 496	16, 380 2, 770 7, 929	34, 939 4, 384 4, 884	22, 152 2, 139 6, 069	13, 963 1, 241 4, 477	9, 889 831 3, 119	6, 312 574 2, 105					
Total	16, 262	27, 079	44, 207	30, 360	19, 681	13, 839	8, 991					

In each area the amount of the investment increased as amount of gross sales increased. The average investment on Class II farms was 5 to 9 times the average investment on Class VI farms. However, the proportion of the total investment in various categories of farm capital did not change a great deal as the amount of capital investment increased. The average investment for farms in the same economic class varied substantially between the different peanut areas.

Production expense.—Items of specified farm expenditures for farms in the peanut areas are given in Table 55. Expenditures per farm averaged \$1,500 in the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area compared with \$1,374 in the Virginia-North Carolina area, and only \$964 in the Oklahoma-Texas area. On a per crop-acre basis, expenditures of \$30.70 in the Virginia-North Carolina area were almost double the amount in the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area and more than four times that in the Oklahoma-Texas area. The main factors accounting for the differences were the amounts spent for hired labor and for fertilizer and lime.

In each area, the amount of specified expense per crop acre increased as gross income increased. In the Virginia-North Carolina area, expenses that showed the largest increase were hired labor and fertilizer and lime. In the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area, hired labor, gasoline and oil, and fertilizer and lime increased as gross income increased. In the Texas-Oklahoma area, hired labor and gasoline and oil were the expenses that increased most with the increase in size of farm operation.

INCOME AND EFFICIENCY LEVELS

Source of farm income.—In both the Virginia-North Carolina and the Georgia-Alabama-Florida peanut areas, tobacco was grown on a number of farms. Generally, peanuts were the major

Table 55.—Specified Farm Expenditures on Other Field-Crop Farms in Specified Peanut Subregions, by Economic Class of Farm: 1954

Item of expense	All	Economic class of farm										
	farms	ı	II	ш	ıv	v	VI					
	Virginia-North Carolina (subregion 21)											
Amount per farm (dollars): Machine hire Hired labor	117 366	353 3, 333	200 1, 780	155 451	102 215	80 110	4: 5					
Feed for livestock and poultry	171	1, 361	631	244	96	78.	4					
Gasoline and other petro- leum fuel and oil	229	1, 162	741	302	173	100	4					
Commercial fertilizer and	482	3, 173	1, 407	615	373	253	13					
fertilizing materials Lime and liming mate- rials	9	67	26	10	7	6						
Total	1, 374	9, 449	4, 785	1,777	966	627	32					
Amount per crop acre	,		·	· '								
(dollars): Machine hire Hired labor Gasoline and other petro-	2. 97 9. 33	1. 38 13. 06	1. 86 16. 57	3. 19 9. 27	3. 27 6. 90	3. 50 4. 84	3, 4 3, 6					
leum fuel and oil	5. 84 12. 51	4. 55 12. 69	6. 90 13. 33	6. 21 12. 86	5. 57 12. 22	4.38 11.36	3. £					
Total	30.65	31. 68	38. 66	31. 53	27. 96	24. 08						
	Georgia-Alabama-Florida (subregion 41)											
Amount per farm (dollars):												
Machine hire Hired labor	160 390	503 10, 733	305 2, 210	274 613	161 222	114 112	ŧ					
Feed for livestock and poultry	135	1, 916	526	301	77	55	4					
leum fuel and oil Commercial fertilizer and	272	3, 265	1, 253	451	225	116	t					
fertilizing materials Lime and liming mate-	531	6, 303	1, 708	845	480	276	17					
rials	12	192	53	27	7	4						
Total	1,500	22, 912	6, 055	2, 511	1, 172	677	39					
Amount per crop acre (dollars): Machine hire Hired labor		0. 76 16. 32	1.04 7.52	2. 27 5. 08	2. 16 2. 98	2. 16 2. 12	1.4					
Gasoline and other petro- leum fuel and oil Fertilizer and lime	3. 22	4. 97 9. 88	4. 26 5. 99	3. 74 7. 23	3. 01 6. 53	2. 20 5. 31	1. 8 4. 6					
Total		31. 93	18. 81	18. 32	14.68	11. 79	8. 6					
	Oklahoma-Texas (subregion 96)											
Amount per farm (dollars): Machine hire Hired labor	179 115	1, 917	341 548	246 325		173 58	10					
Foed for livestock and poultry	230	1, 167	1,004	540	290	162	10					
Gasoline and other petro- leum fuel and oil	271	783	631	568	359	228	12					
Commercial fertilizer and fertilizing materials Lime and liming mate-	169	323	439	329	256	135	۱ '					
rials	(Z)				1	(Z)						
Total	964	4, 190	2, 963	2,008	1, 295	756	43					
Amount per crop acre (dollars): Machine hire	1.71		1. 57		1. 67 1. 13	1.96 .65						
Gasoline and other petro-		1	2, 52 2, 90	1								
leum fuel and oil Fertilizer and lime		4, 35 1, 79	2. 90			1, 53	1.					
Total	7.03	16.78	9.01	7.71	7. 24	6.72	5.					

Z \$0.50 or less.

enterprise. But, on a considerable number of these farms tobacco was more important. These farms were included in the other field-crop group. In this analysis there was no way to separate tobacco from peanut farms. Although peanuts were the major